

ON THE RAMSEY NUMBERS $N(3, 3, \dots, 3; 2)$

Fan Rong K. CHUNG

*Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia, Pa. 19104, USA*

Received 5 July 1972*

Abstract. The main results of this paper are $N(3, 3, 3, 3; 2) > 50$ and $f(k+1) \geq 3f(k) + f(k-2)$, where $f(k) = N(\underbrace{3, 3, \dots, 3}_{k \text{ times}}; 2) - 1$ for $k \geq 3$.

1. Introduction

The theorem of Ramsey says: Given integers $S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots, S_k$, where $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k \geq 2$, there exists a minimum integer $N(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k; 2)$ such that the following property is valid for all $n \geq N(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k; 2)$. Let the edges of a complete graph of n vertices be colored in k colors, then there exists a subset of S_i vertices with all its interconnecting segments of the i^{th} color for some $i \leq k$.

Now, consider the case of $S_1 = S_2 = \dots = S_k = 3$. Let

$$f(k) = N(\underbrace{3, 3, \dots, 3}_{k \text{ times}}; 2) - 1 .$$

The problem reduces to the following: If the edges of K_n are colored in k colors and if $n > f(k)$, then there exists some triangle with all its sides in the same color. Find $f(k)$.

It is known [1] that $2^k \leq f(k) \leq [k!e]$. Particularly, $f(1) = 2, f(2) = 5, f(3) = 16$. Whitehead [3, 4] has proved $f(4) \geq 49$. It will be shown here that $f(k+1) \geq 3f(k) + f(k-2)$ for $k \geq 3$ and, in particular, $f(4) \geq 50$, thus $N(3, 3, 3, 3; 2) > 50$.

* Original version received 18 April 1972.

2. $N(3, 3, 3, 3; 2) > 50$ ¹

Consider the symmetric 16×16 matrix:

$$T_3(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{matrix} x_0 \\ x_1 x_0 \\ x_1 x_2 x_0 \\ x_1 x_2 x_3 x_0 \\ x_1 x_3 x_3 x_2 x_0 \\ x_1 x_3 x_2 x_3 x_2 x_0 \\ x_2 x_3 x_2 x_2 x_1 x_1 x_0 \\ x_2 x_2 x_3 x_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_0 \\ x_2 x_2 x_1 x_3 x_2 x_1 x_3 x_1 x_0 \\ x_2 x_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_2 x_1 x_1 x_3 x_0 \\ x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_1 x_3 x_1 x_3 x_0 \\ x_3 x_2 x_1 x_1 x_3 x_3 x_2 x_3 x_3 x_2 x_2 x_0 \\ x_3 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_3 x_1 x_3 x_1 x_3 x_2 x_3 x_1 x_0 \\ x_3 x_1 x_3 x_2 x_1 x_3 x_3 x_2 x_1 x_3 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_0 \\ x_3 x_3 x_3 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_2 x_3 x_1 x_3 x_2 x_2 x_1 x_0 \\ x_3 x_3 x_1 x_3 x_1 x_2 x_2 x_3 x_2 x_3 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_0 \end{matrix}$$

It is known [2] that $T_3(0,1,2,3)$ is the incidence matrix of one of the two non-isomorphic edge-coloring schemes of K_{16} without any one-color triangles.

Now construct the 50×50 incidence matrix in the following way:

$$T_4(0,1,2,3,4) = \begin{matrix} A & & & & \\ D & B & & & \\ E & F & C & & \\ 11 \dots\dots\dots 1 & 22 \dots\dots\dots 2 & 33 \dots\dots\dots 3 & 0 & \\ 11 \dots\dots\dots 1 & 22 \dots\dots\dots 2 & 33 \dots\dots\dots 3 & 4 & 0 \end{matrix}$$

¹ Dr. G.J. Porter proved 2 independently in Univ. of Pennsylvania.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } A &= T_3(0, 2, 3, 4), \\ B &= T_3(0, 3, 1, 4), \\ C &= T_3(0, 1, 2, 4), \\ D &= T_3(3, 2, 1, 4), \\ E &= T_3(2, 1, 3, 4), \\ F &= T_3(1, 3, 2, 4). \end{aligned}$$

If there are some one-color triangles with vertices i, j, k , then $t_{i,j} = t_{k,j} = t_{k,i}$. We may assume $k > i > j$ without loss of generality.

Case 1: $t_{i,j} = t_{k,j} = t_{k,i} = 4$.

We notice that $t_{m,n} = t_{m',n'} = 4$ if $m \equiv m' \pmod{16}$, $n \equiv n' \pmod{16}$ for $m, m', n, n' \leq 48$. Hence we may pick i', j', k' such that $i \equiv i'$, $j \equiv j'$, $k \equiv k' \pmod{16}$ and $i', j', k' \leq 16$; then $t_{i',j'} = t_{k',j'} = t_{k',i'} = 4$. This contradicts the fact that T_3 is the incidence matrix of a coloring without a one-color triangle. In case of $k = 50, i = 49$, we know that $t_{50,49} = 4$ and that $t_{j,49}, t_{j,50}$ do not have value 4 for any $j \neq 49, 50$.

Case 2: $t_{i,j} = t_{k,j} = t_{k,i} = 2$.

(1) $16 \geq j \geq 1, 16 \geq i \geq 1, t_{i,j}$ is in part A .

(a) If $t_{k,j}$ is in part A , then $t_{k,i}$ is in part A . This contradicts the structure of T_3 .

(b) If $t_{k,j}$ is in part D , then $t_{k,i}$ is in part D . We know that $t_{i+16,j} = t_{i,j} = 2$. Then $t_{i+16,j} = t_{k,j} = t_{k,i} = 2$. Impossible.

(c) If $t_{k,j}$ is in part E , then $t_{k,i}$ is in part E . But there is only one entry with value 2 in each row of E . Contradiction.

(2) $16 \geq j \geq 1, 32 \geq i \geq 17, t_{i,j}$ is in part D .

(a) If $t_{k,j}$ is in part D , then $t_{k,i}$ is in part B . But there is no entry with value 2 in B . This is impossible.

(b) If $t_{k,j}$ is in part E , then $t_{k,i}$ is in part F . It is known that only the entries on the diagonal are of value 2 in E . Hence $k = 32+j$.

We have $t_{i,j} = t_{32+j,j} = t_{32+j,i} = 2$. But $t_{32+j,i} = 3$ if $t_{i,j} = 2$. Contradiction.

(3) $16 \geq j \geq 1, 50 \geq i \geq 33, t_{i,j}$ is in part E . There is only one entry with value 2 in part E . This is impossible.

(4) $32 \geq j \geq 17, 32 \geq i \geq 17, t_{i,j}$ is in part B . This is impossible because there is no entry with value 2 in B .

(5) $32 \geq j \geq 17, 48 \geq i \geq 33, t_{i,j}$ is in part F .

(a) $t_{k,j}$ is in part F and $t_{k,i}$ is in part C and $t_{k,i} = t_{k,i-16} = 2$. Then

$t_{i,j}, t_{k,j}, t_{k,i-16}$ are all in F and all with value 2. This contradicts the structure of T_3 .

- (b) $k = 49$ or 50 . In this case, $t_{k,i} = 3 \neq t_{i,j}$.
- (6) $i = 49, 32 \geq j \geq 17, k = 50$. Then $t_{50,49} = 4 \neq 2$. Impossible.
- (7) $48 \geq j \geq 33, 48 \geq i \geq 33, t_{i,j}$ is in part C. $t_{k,j}, t_{k,i}$ is in part C.

This contradicts the structure of T_3 .

Case 3: $t_{i,j} = t_{k,j} = t_{k,i} = 1$. This is impossible. The proof is similar to case 2.

Case 4: $t_{i,j} = t_{k,j} = t_{k,i} = 3$. Similarly impossible.

Hence we prove that $T_4(0,1,2,3,4)$ is the incidence matrix of the coloring of K_{50} without a one-color triangle.

Thus, $f(4) \geq 50$, i.e., $N(3,3,3,3;2) > 50$.

3. $f(k + 1) \geq 3f(k) + f(k - 2)$

The result in Section 2 can be generalized to any $k \geq 4$.

Let $T_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be the incidence matrix of the coloring of the complete graph of n_k vertices without a one-color triangle in k colors.

Similarly, we construct $T_{k+1}(0,1,2, \dots, k+1)$ as shown in Diagram 1.

$T_{k+1}(0,1,2, \dots, k+1) =$

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| A | | | |
| D | B | | |
| E | F | C | |
| 111 ⋮ ⋮ 1.....1 | 222 ⋮ ⋮ 2.....2 | 333 ⋮ ⋮ 3.....3 | G |

Diagram 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= T_k(0, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, k+1), & B &= T_k(0, 3, 1, 4, 5, \dots, k+1), \\
 C &= T_k(0, 1, 2, 4, 5, \dots, k+1), & D &= T_k(3, 2, 1, 4, 5, \dots, k+1), \\
 E &= T_k(2, 1, 3, 4, 5, \dots, k+1), & F &= T_k(1, 3, 2, 4, 5, \dots, k+1), \\
 G &= T_{k-2}(0, 4, 5, \dots, k+1).
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof that such a coloring has no one-color triangle is quite similar to the proof in Section 2. Hence we have $f(k+1) \geq 3f(k) + f(k-2)$.

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank professor Herbert S. Wilf for his guidance and encouragement.

References

- [1] R.E. Greenwood and A.M. Gleason, Combinatorial relations and chromatic graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* 7 (1955) 9–20.
- [2] J.G. Kalbfleisch and R.G. Stanton, On the maximal triangle-free edge-chromatic graphs in three colors, *J. Combin. Theory* 5 (1968) 1–7.
- [3] E.G. Whitehead, Jr., Dissertation, Univ. of Southern California, Calif. (1971).
- [4] E.G. Whitehead, Jr., Algebraic structure of chromatic graphs associated with the Ramsey number $N(3,3,3;2)$ *Discrete Math.* 1 (1971) 113.