## MATH 200B WINTER 2022 MIDTERM SOLUTIONS

1 (15 pts). Let  $A \in M_3(F)$  where F is an algebraically closed field. Classify up to similarity the matrices A which satisfy  $A^3 = A$ , by describing their possible Jordan forms. There may be cases depending on the characteristic of F. Make sure you justify your answer.

## Solution.

Because  $A^3 = A$ , the matrix A satisfies the polynomial  $x^3 - x = x(x-1)(x+1)$ . Thus the minimal polynomial of A divides this.

Case 1: char  $F \neq 2$ . When the characteristic is not 2, the numbers -1, 0, 1 are distinct and so the primes x, x-1, x+1 are pairwise non-associate. The invariant factors of A all divide the minimal polynomial, which is the largest invariant factor. So every invariant factor is also a product of distinct primes in F[x]. The elementary divisors are found by splitting each invariant factor as a product of powers of distinct primes. Thus every elementary divisor is linear and is equal to x, x - 1, or x + 1. The Jordan form of A is then of the form

 $J = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$  where each  $\lambda_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Conversely, it is obvious that every such

Jordan form J satisfies  $J^3 = J$ , and thus every matrix A similar to J satisfies  $A^3 = A$ . So the set of possible matrices A is the set of all conjugates of these J.

This shows every such A is in the union of certain similarity classes. To be more exact, one can say how many distinct similarity classes of matrices there are: since Jordan forms are similar if and only if they are the same after rearranging blocks, there are 3 classes in which all  $\lambda_i$  are equal; 6 classes in which two of the  $\lambda_i$  are equal; and 1 class in which all  $\lambda_i$ are distinct, so there are 10 classes total.

Case 2: char F = 2. In this case  $x^3 - x = x(x-1)^2$  and so the minimal polynomial is not necessarily a product of distinct primes. Since each invariant factor divides this, splitting the invariant factors into elementary divisors we find that each elementary divisor is either

 $x, x-1, \text{ or } (x-1)^2$ . Thus every Jordan form of A is either of the form  $J = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$  or else of the form  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where each  $\lambda_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Conversely it is easy to calculate that every such J satisfies  $J^3 = J$ , so the set of possible A is the set of J is the set of J.

these J.

Date: February 7, 2021.

Counting the number of similarity classes, one has 2 diagonal matrices in which the  $\lambda_i$  are all equal and 2 in which two of them are equal. There are 2 that contain the Jordan block. Thus there are 6 classes total.

2 (15 pts). Let R be a PID and M a nonzero finitely generated torsion left R-module. Recall that M is *uniserial* if there is a finite chain of submodules

$$M_0 = \{0\} \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_n = M$$

such that the  $M_i$  are all of the *R*-submodules of *M*.

(a) (10 pts) Show that M has only one elementary divisor if and only if M is uniserial.

## Solution.

(The hypothesis that M is finitely generated was inadvertently left out of the original version. The elementary divisors and invariant factors are not even defined for an infinitely generated torsion module.)

Let  $p_1^{e_1}, \ldots, p_m^{e_m}$  be the elementary divisors of M, so that  $M \cong R/(p_1^{e_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(p_m^{e_m})$ . Suppose that  $m \ge 2$ , that is that there is more than one elementary divisor. In particular, we can decompose M as a nontrivial direct sum  $M = N \oplus P$  with  $N \ne 0$  and  $P \ne 0$  (take  $N = R/(p_1^{e_1})$  and  $P = R/(p_2^{e_2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(p_m^{e_m})$ ). But no such nontrivial direct sum can be a uniserial module, because thinking of M as an internal direct sum of N and P, then N and P are submodules of M such that  $N \cap P = 0$ , and thus neither  $N \subseteq P$  nor  $P \subseteq N$  holds. So it is impossible to write all of the submodules of M in a single chain.

Conversely, suppose that M has one elementary divisor  $p^e$  for a prime p, so  $M = R/(p^e)$ . Since this is a factor module of R, its submodules are are in bijective correspondence with submodules I of R (that is, ideals) such that  $(p^e) \subseteq I \subseteq R$ . Since R is a PID, I = (a)is principal and  $(p^e) \subseteq (a)$  is equivalent to  $a|p^e$ . Since p is prime, by unique factorization the only divisors of  $p^e$  (up to associates) are the elements  $p^i$  with  $0 \le i \le e$ . So  $I = (p^i)$ for some such i. Then the possible I form a single chain  $(p^e) \subseteq (p^{e-1}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (p) \subseteq R$ . Then by submodule correspondence, the submodules of M also form a single chain  $(0) \subseteq (p^{e-1})/(p^e) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (p)/(p^e) \subseteq R/(p^e)$  and M is uniserial.

(b) (5 pts) Show that M has only one invariant factor if and only if M is cyclic.

We have  $M \cong R/(f_1) \oplus R/(f_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(f_k)$  where each  $f_i$  is nonzero, nonunit, and  $f_i|_{f_{i+1}}$  for  $0 \le i \le k-1$ . Then  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k$  is the sequence of invariant factors of M.

Suppose that M has a single invariant factor. Then k = 1 and  $M \cong R/(f_1)$ . The module  $R/(f_1)$  is cyclic, generated by  $1 + (f_1)$ .

Conversely, suppose that M is cyclic. As we saw in class, a cyclic module is isomorphic to R/I for some ideal I. (you can quote this without proof. But here is why: suppose that M is generated by m. Define a homomorphism  $\phi : R \to M$  by  $\phi(r) = rm$ . Then  $\phi$  is surjective.

The kernel of  $\phi$  is a submodule of R, that is, an ideal I. By the 1st isomorphism theorem,  $M \cong R/I$ .) Since R is a PID, I = (g) for some g. Since  $M \neq 0$ , g is not a unit. Since Mis torsion,  $g \neq 0$ . We now have  $R/(f_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(f_k) \cong R/(g)$  and both expressions are in invariant factor form. By the uniqueness of the invariant factor form, k = 1,  $f_1 = g$ , and there is a single invariant factor.