

## Math 103B HW 2 Solutions to Selected Problems

5. **Prove that  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is not cyclic. Does your proof work for  $\mathbb{Z} \times G$  where  $G$  is any group with more than one element?**

**Solution:** Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} = \langle (a, b) \rangle$  is cyclic. Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(a + 1, b) = n \cdot (a, b) = (n \cdot a, n \cdot b)$ . This implies that  $a + 1 = n \cdot a$ , so that  $1 = (n - 1) \cdot a$ , which implies that  $a \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $n - 1 \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Then since  $b = n \cdot b$ , we get that  $0 = (n - 1) \cdot b$  with  $n - 1 \in \{-1, 1\}$ , which implies that  $b = 0$ . But then  $(0, 1) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$m \cdot (a, b) = (m \cdot a, m \cdot b) = (m \cdot a, 0) \neq (0, 1),$$

so  $(0, 1) \notin \langle (a, b) \rangle$ , contradicting our assumption that  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} = \langle (a, b) \rangle$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is not cyclic.

We can use a similar to proof to show that  $\mathbb{Z} \times G$  is not cyclic if  $G$  has more than one element. Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z} \times G = \langle (a, g) \rangle$  is cyclic. Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(a + 1, g) = (n \cdot a, g^n)$ . This tells us that  $a + 1 = n \cdot a$ , which implies that  $1 = (n - 1) \cdot a$ , so  $a \in \{1, -1\}$  and  $(n - 1) \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Then since  $g = g^n$ , we get that  $e_G = g^{n-1}$ , which implies that  $g = e_G$  since  $n - 1 \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Since  $G$  has more than one element, there exists  $h \in G \setminus \{e_G\}$ . Then  $(0, h) \in \mathbb{Z} \times G$ , but for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$(n \cdot a, g^n) = (n \cdot a, e_G) \neq (0, h)$$

since  $h \neq e_G$ . Hence,  $(0, h) \notin \langle (a, g) \rangle$ , contradicting our assumption that  $\mathbb{Z} \times G = \langle (a, g) \rangle$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{Z} \times G$  is not cyclic.

8. **Is  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ ? Why or why not?**

**Solution:**  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ . Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there is an isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{27} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$ . Since isomorphisms preserve orders of elements, we get that  $\varphi(1) \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$  has order 27. However, by Theorem 8.1, the maximum order of an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$  is 9, a contradiction. Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ .

19. **If  $r$  is a divisor of  $m$  and  $s$  is a divisor of  $n$ , find a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  that is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$ .**

Below, we will use the following facts

**Lemma 1.** Suppose  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are groups and  $H_1 \leq G_1$  and  $H_2 \leq G_2$ . Then  $(H_1 \times H_2) \leq (G_1 \times G_2)$ .

*Proof.* Exercise. □

**Lemma 2** (Problem 8.16). If  $G_1, G_2, H_1, H_2$  are groups such that  $G_1 \cong H_1$  and  $G_2 \cong H_2$ , then  $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G_1 \cong H_1$  and  $G_2 \cong H_2$ , there exist isomorphisms  $\varphi_1 : G_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $\varphi_2 : G_2 \rightarrow H_2$ . Define a function  $\varphi : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow H_1 \times H_2$  by  $\varphi(g_1, g_2) = (\varphi_1(g_1), \varphi_2(g_2))$ . As an exercise, show that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism (using the fact that  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are isomorphisms). □

**Solution:** We know that the subgroup  $\langle \frac{m}{r} \rangle \leq \mathbb{Z}_m$  is cyclic of order  $r$  (so it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ ), and the subgroup  $\langle \frac{n}{s} \rangle \leq \mathbb{Z}_n$  is cyclic of order  $s$  (so it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_s$ ). Then  $\langle \frac{m}{r} \rangle \times \langle \frac{n}{s} \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  by Lemma 1 and it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$  by Lemma 2.

35. **Prove that  $\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .**

**Solution:** By way of contradiction, suppose that there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*$ . Note that  $i$  has order 4 in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , which implies that  $\varphi(i) \in \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*$  has order 4 as well since isomorphisms preserve orders of elements. We claim that the only elements of finite order in  $\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*$  are  $\{(1, 1), (-1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, -1)\}$ , which will lead to a contradiction since these elements all have order either 1 or 2. To see this, suppose  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*$  has finite order. Then there is  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $(1, 1) = (a, b)^n = (a^n, b^n)$ , so  $a^n = b^n = 1$ , which tells us that  $a$  and  $b$  have finite order in  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . But the only elements of finite order in  $\mathbb{R}^*$  are 1 and -1, so the only elements of finite order in  $\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*$  are  $\{(1, 1), (-1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, -1)\}$ , as claimed. As stated above, this is a contradiction.

36. **Let**

$$H = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \right\}.$$

**Show that  $H$  is an abelian group of order 9. Is  $H$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_9$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ ?**

**Solution:** Let  $\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & c & d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \in H$  (i.e.  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ ). Then

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & c & d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & c+a & d+b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \in H,$$

so  $H$  is closed under matrix multiplication. Similarly,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & c & d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+c & b+d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c+a & d+b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which shows that matrix multiplication is commutative on  $H$ . Also, we know that matrix multiplication is associative, and clearly  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in H$  is the identity element

of  $H$ . Finally, the above computations show that the inverse of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in H$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a & -b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in H. \text{ Hence, } H \text{ is an abelian group.}$$

Now, we claim that  $H$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ . To see this, define a function  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow H$  by

$$\varphi(a, b) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the definition of  $H$ , it is clear that  $\varphi$  is a bijection. Also, for  $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ , we have

$$\varphi((a, b) + (c, d)) = \varphi(a+c, b+d) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+c & b+d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c & d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \varphi(a, b)\varphi(c, d).$$

Hence,  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism as well, and is therefore an isomorphism.

60. **Give an example of an infinite non-abelian group that has exactly six elements of finite order.**

**Solution:** We claim that  $\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$  is an infinite non-abelian group with exactly six elements of finite order. First, it is clear that  $\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$  is infinite since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is infinite, and  $\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$  is non-abelian since

$$(0, (12))(0, (23)) = (0, (123)) \neq (0, (132)) = (0, (23))(0, (12)).$$

Finally, the elements of finite order in  $\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$  are precisely the elements of the form  $(a, \sigma)$  where  $a$  has finite order in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\sigma$  has finite order in  $S_3$ . The only element of finite order in  $\mathbb{Z}$  is 0, and all 6 elements of  $S_3$  have finite order, so there are exactly 6 elements of  $\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$  of finite order (namely the elements of the form  $(0, \sigma)$  for any  $\sigma \in S_3$ ).

61. **Give an example to show that there exists a group with elements  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $|a| = |b| = \infty$ , but  $|ab| = 2$ .**

**Solution:** In  $\mathbb{R}^*$ , we have that 2 and  $-\frac{1}{2}$  both have infinite order, but  $-\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 = -1$  has order 2. For an example that involves direct products, note that  $(1, 1), (-1, 0) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  both have infinite order, but  $(1, 1) + (-1, 0) = (0, 1)$  has order 2.

69. Use the results presented in this chapter to prove that  $U(55)$  is isomorphic to  $U(75)$ .

**Solution:** We have

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 U(55) \cong U(5) \times U(11) & \text{by Theorem 8.3, since 5 and 11 are coprime} \\
 \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_{10} & \text{by the paragraph at the bottom of page 161} \\
 \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 & \text{since } \mathbb{Z}_{10} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \text{ by Corollary 2 to Theorem 8.2} \\
 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{20} & \text{since } \mathbb{Z}_{20} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \text{ by Corollary 2 to Theorem 8.2} \\
 \cong U(3) \times U(25) & \text{by the paragraph at the bottom of page 161} \\
 \cong U(75) & \text{by Theorem 8.3, since 3 and 25 are coprime.}
 \end{array}$$