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## 1. Introduction

These notes represent a much expanded and updated version of the "mini course" that the author gave at the ETH (Zürich) and the University of Zürich in February of 1995. The purpose of these notes is to first provide some basic background to Riemannian geometry and stochastic calculus on manifolds and then to cover some of the more recent developments pertaining to analysis on "curved Wiener spaces." Essentially no differential geometry is assumed. However, it is assumed that the reader is comfortable with stochastic calculus and differential equations on Euclidean spaces. Here is a brief description of what will be covered in the text below.

Section 2 is a basic introduction to differential geometry through imbedded submanifolds. Section 3 is an introduction to the Riemannian geometry that will be needed in the sequel. Section 4 records a number of results pertaining to flows of vector fields and "Cartan's rolling map." The stochastic version of these results will be important tools in the sequel. Section 5 is a rapid introduction to stochastic calculus on manifolds and related geometric constructions. Section 6 briefly gives applications of stochastic calculus on manifolds to representation formulas for derivatives of heat kernels. Section 7 is devoted to the study of the calculus and integral geometry associated with the path space of a Riemannian manifold equipped with "Wiener measure." In particular, quasi-invariance, Poincaré and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities are developed for the Wiener measure on path spaces in this section. Section 8 is a short introduction to Malliavin's probabilistic methods for dealing with hypoelliptic diffusions. The appendix in section 9 records some basic martingale and stochastic differential equation estimates which are mostly used in section 8

Although the majority of these notes form a survey of known results, many proofs have been cleaned up and some proofs are new. Moreover, Section 8 is written using the geometric language introduced in these notes which is not completely standard in the literature. I have also tried (without complete success) to give an overview of many of the major techniques which have been used to date in this subject. Although numerous references are given to the literature, the list is far from complete. I apologize in advance to anyone who feels cheated by not being included in the references. However, I do hope the list of references is sufficiently
rich that the interested reader will be able to find additional information by looking at the related articles and the references that they contain.

Acknowledgement: It is pleasure to thank Professor A. Sznitman and the ETH for their hospitality and support and the opportunity to give the talks which started these notes. I also would like to thank Professor E. Bolthausen for his hospitality and his role in arranging the first lecture to be held at University of Zürich.

## 2. Manifold Primer

## Conventions:

(1) If $A, B$ are linear operators on some vector space, then $[A, B]:=A B-B A$ is the commutator of $A$ and $B$.
(2) If $X$ is a topological space we will write $A \subset_{o} X, A \sqsubset X$ and $A \sqsubset \sqsubset X$ to mean $A$ is an open, closed, and respectively a compact subset of $X$.
(3) Given two sets $A$ and $B$, the notation $f: A \rightarrow B$ will mean that $f$ is a function from a subset $\mathcal{D}(f) \subset A$ to $B$. (We will allow $\mathcal{D}(f)$ to be the empty set.) The set $\mathcal{D}(f) \subset A$ is called the domain of $f$ and the subset $\mathcal{R}(f):=f(\mathcal{D}(f)) \subset B$ is called the range of $f$. If $f$ is injective, let $f^{-1}$ : $B \rightarrow A$ denote the inverse function with domain $\mathcal{D}\left(f^{-1}\right)=\mathcal{R}(f)$ and range $\mathcal{R}\left(f^{-1}\right)=\mathcal{D}(f)$. If $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$, then $g \circ f$ denotes the composite function from $A$ to $C$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(g \circ f):=f^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(g))$ and range $\mathcal{R}(g \circ f):=g \circ f(\mathcal{D}(g \circ f))=g(\mathcal{R}(f) \cap \mathcal{D}(g))$.

Notation 2.1. Throughout these notes, let $E$ and $V$ denote finite dimensional vector spaces. A function $F: E \rightarrow V$ is said to be smooth if $\mathcal{D}(F)$ is open in $E(\mathcal{D}(F)=\emptyset$ is allowed) and $F: \mathcal{D}(F) \rightarrow V$ is infinitely differentiable. Given a smooth function $F: E \rightarrow V$, let $F^{\prime}(x)$ denote the differential of $F$ at $x \in \mathcal{D}(F)$. Explicitly, $F^{\prime}(x)=D F(x)$ denotes the linear map from $E$ to $V$ determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D F(x) a=F^{\prime}(x) a:=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} F(x+t a) \forall a \in E . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime \prime}(x)(v, w)=F^{\prime \prime}(x)(v, w):=\left(\partial_{v} \partial_{w} F\right)(x)=\left.\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} F(x+t v+s w) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.1. Imbedded Submanifolds. Rather than describe the most abstract setting for Riemannian geometry, for simplicity we choose to restrict our attention to imbedded submanifolds of a Euclidean space $E=\mathbb{R}^{N}$. ${ }^{1}$ We will equip $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with the standard inner product,

$$
\langle a, b\rangle=\langle a, b\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}:=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} b_{i}
$$

In general, we will denote inner products in these notes by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$.
Definition 2.2. A subset $M$ of $E$ (see Figure 1) is a $d$ - dimensional imbedded submanifold (without boundary) of $E$ iff for all $m \in M$, there is a function $z: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that:
(1) $\mathcal{D}(z)$ is an open neighborhood of $E$ containing $m$,

[^1](2) $\mathcal{R}(z)$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$,
(3) $z: \mathcal{D}(z) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(z)$ is a diffeomorphism (a smooth invertible map with smooth inverse), and
(4) $z(M \cap \mathcal{D}(z))=\mathcal{R}(z) \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times\{0\}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(We write $M^{d}$ if we wish to emphasize that $M$ is a $d$-dimensional manifold.)


Figure 1. An imbedded one dimensional submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Notation 2.3. Given an imbedded submanifold and diffeomorphism $z$ as in the above definition, we will write $z=\left(z_{<}, z_{>}\right)$where $z_{<}$is the first $d$ components of $z$ and $z_{>}$consists of the last $N-d$ components of $z$. Also let $x: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ denote the function defined by $\mathcal{D}(x):=M \cap \mathcal{D}(z)$ and $x:=\left.z_{\ll}\right|_{\mathcal{D}(x)}$. Notice that $\mathcal{R}(x):=x(\mathcal{D}(x))$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and that $x^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(x)$, thought of as a function taking values in $E$, is smooth. The bijection $x: \mathcal{D}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(x)$ is called a chart on $M$. Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}(M)$ denote the collection of charts on $M$. The collection of charts $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}(M)$ is often referred to as an atlas for $M$.

Remark 2.4. The imbedded submanifold $M$ is made into a topological space using the induced topology from $E$. With this topology, each chart $x \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ is a homeomorphism from $\mathcal{D}(x) \subset_{o} M$ to $\mathcal{R}(x) \subset_{o} \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Theorem 2.5 (A Basic Construction of Manifolds). Let $F: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-d}$ be $a$ smooth function and $M:=F^{-1}(\{0\}) \subset E$ which we assume to be non-empty. Suppose that $F^{\prime}(m): E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-d}$ is surjective for all $m \in M$. Then $M$ is a $d-$ dimensional imbedded submanifold of $E$.

Proof. Let $m \in M$, we will begin by constructing a smooth function $G: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $(G, F)^{\prime}(m): E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}=\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-d}$ is invertible. To do this, let $X=\operatorname{Nul}\left(F^{\prime}(m)\right)$ and $Y$ be a complementary subspace so that $E=X \oplus Y$ and let $P: E \rightarrow X$ be the associated projection map, see Figure 2. Notice that $F^{\prime}(m): Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-d}$ is a linear isomorphism of vector spaces and hence

$$
\operatorname{dim}(X)=\operatorname{dim}(E)-\operatorname{dim}(Y)=N-(N-d)=d
$$

In particular, $X$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ are isomorphic as vector spaces. Set $G(m)=A P m$ where $A: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is an arbitrary but fixed linear isomorphism of vector spaces. Then
for $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(G, F)^{\prime}(m)(x+y) & =\left(G^{\prime}(m)(x+y), F^{\prime}(m)(x+y)\right) \\
& =\left(A P(x+y), F^{\prime}(m) y\right)=\left(A x, F^{\prime}(m) y\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-d}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows that $(G, F)^{\prime}(m)$ is an isomorphism.


Figure 2. Constructing charts for $M$ using the inverse function theorem. For simplicity of the drawing, $m \in M$ is assumed to be the origin of $E=X \oplus Y$.

By the inverse function theorem, there exists a neighborhood $U \subset_{o} E$ of $m$ such that $V:=(G, F)(U) \subset_{o} \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $(G, F): U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism. Let $z=(G, F)$ with $\mathcal{D}(z)=U$ and $\mathcal{R}(z)=V$. Then $z$ is a chart of $E$ about $m$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.2. Indeed, items 1) - 3) are clear by construction. If $p \in M \cap \mathcal{D}(z)$ then $z(p)=(G(p), F(p))=(G(p), 0) \in \mathcal{R}(z) \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times\{0\}\right)$. Conversely, if $p \in \mathcal{D}(z)$ is a point such that $z(p)=(G(p), F(p)) \in \mathcal{R}(z) \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times\{0\}\right)$, then $F(p)=0$ and hence $p \in M \cap \mathcal{D}(z)$; so item 4) of Definition 2.2 is verified.

Example 2.6. Let $g l(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ real matrices. The following are examples of imbedded submanifolds.
(1) Any open subset $M$ of $E$.
(2) The graph,

$$
\Gamma(f):=\left\{(x, f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-d}: x \in \mathcal{D}(f)\right\} \subset \mathcal{D}(f) \times \mathbb{R}^{N-d} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

of any smooth function $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-d}$ as can be seen by applying Theorem 2.5 with $F(x, y):=y-f(x)$. In this case it would be a good idea for the reader to produce an explicit chart $z$ as in Definition 2.2 such that $\mathcal{D}(z)=\mathcal{R}(z)=\mathcal{D}(f) \times \mathbb{R}^{N-d}$.
(3) The unit sphere, $S^{N-1}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\langle x, x\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}=1\right\}$, as is seen by applying Theorem 2.5 with $E=\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $F(x):=\langle x, x\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}-1$. Alternatively, express $S^{N-1}$ locally as the graph of smooth functions and then use item 2.
(4) $G L(n, \mathbb{R}):=\{g \in g l(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \operatorname{det}(g) \neq 0\}$, see item 1 .
(5) $S L(n, \mathbb{R}):=\{g \in g l(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \operatorname{det}(g)=1\}$ as is seen by taking $E=g l(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $F(g):=\operatorname{det}(g)$ and then applying Theorem 2.5 with the aid of Lemma 2.7 below.
(6) $O(n):=\left\{g \in g l(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid g^{\operatorname{tr}} g=I\right\}$ where $g^{\operatorname{tr}}$ denotes the transpose of $g$. In this case take $F(g):=g^{\operatorname{tr}} g-I$ thought of as a function from $E=g l(n, \mathbb{R})$ to $\mathcal{S}(n)$, where

$$
\mathcal{S}(n):=\left\{A \in g l(n, \mathbb{R}): A^{\operatorname{tr}}=A\right\}
$$

is the subspace of symmetric matrices. To show $F^{\prime}(g)$ is surjective, show

$$
F^{\prime}(g)(g B)=B+B^{\operatorname{tr}} \text { for all } g \in O(n) \text { and } B \in g l(n, \mathbb{R})
$$

(7) $S O(n):=\{g \in O(n) \mid \operatorname{det}(g)=1\}$, an open subset of $O(n)$.
(8) $M \times N \subset E \times V$, where $M$ and $N$ are imbedded submanifolds of $E$ and $V$ respectively. The reader should verify this by constructing appropriate charts for $E \times V$ by taking "tensor" products of the charts for $E$ and $V$ associated to $M$ and $N$ respectively.
(9) The $n$ - dimensional torus,

$$
T^{n}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\left|z_{i}\right|=1 \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}=\left(S^{1}\right)^{n}
$$

where $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ and $\left|z_{i}\right|=\sqrt{z_{i} \overline{z_{i}}}$. This follows by induction using items 3. and 8. Alternatively apply Theorem 2.5 with $F(z):=$ $\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-1, \ldots,\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}-1\right)$.

Lemma 2.7. Suppose $g \in G L(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $A \in \operatorname{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(g) A=\operatorname{det}(g) \operatorname{tr}\left(g^{-1} A\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By definition we have

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(g) A=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \operatorname{det}(g+t A)=\left.\operatorname{det}(g) \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \operatorname{det}\left(I+t g^{-1} A\right)
$$

So it suffices to prove $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \operatorname{det}(I+t B)=\operatorname{tr}(B)$ for all matrices $B$. If $B$ is upper triangular, then $\operatorname{det}(I+t B)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+t B_{i i}\right)$ and hence by the product rule,

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \operatorname{det}(I+t B)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i i}=\operatorname{tr}(B)
$$

This completes the proof because; 1) every matrix can be put into upper triangular form by a similarity transformation, and 2) "det" and "tr" are invariant under similarity transformations.
Definition 2.8. Let $E$ and $V$ be two finite dimensional vector spaces and $M^{d} \subset E$ and $N^{k} \subset V$ be two imbedded submanifolds. A function $f: M \rightarrow N$ is said to be smooth if for all charts $x \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}(N)$ the function $y \circ f \circ x^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ is smooth.

Exercise 2.9. Let $M^{d} \subset E$ and $N^{k} \subset V$ be two imbedded submanifolds as in Definition 2.8.
(1) Show that a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow M$ is smooth iff $f$ is smooth when thought of as a function from $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ to $E$.
(2) If $F: E \rightarrow V$ is a smooth function such that $F(M \cap \mathcal{D}(F)) \subset N$, show that $f:=\left.F\right|_{M}: M \rightarrow N$ is smooth.
(3) Show the composition of smooth maps between imbedded submanifolds is smooth.

Proposition 2.10. Assuming the notation in Definition 2.8, a function $f: M \rightarrow$ $N$ is smooth iff there is a smooth function $F: E \rightarrow V$ such that $f=\left.F\right|_{M}$.

Proof. (Sketch.) Suppose that $f: M \rightarrow N$ is smooth, $m \in M$ and $n=f(m)$. Let $z$ be as in Definition 2.2 and $w$ be a chart on $N$ such that $n \in \mathcal{D}(w)$. By shrinking the domain of $z$ if necessary, we may assume that $\mathcal{R}(z)=U \times W$ where $U \subset_{o} \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $W \subset_{o} \mathbb{R}^{N-d}$ in which case $z(M \cap \mathcal{D}(z))=U \times\{0\}$. For $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(z)$, let $F(\xi):=f\left(z^{-1}\left(z_{<}(\xi), 0\right)\right)$ with $z=\left(z_{<}, z_{>}\right)$as in Notation 2.3. Then $F: \mathcal{D}(z) \rightarrow N$ is a smooth function such that $\left.F\right|_{M \cap \mathcal{D}(z)}=\left.f\right|_{M \cap \mathcal{D}(z)}$. The function $F$ is smooth. Indeed, letting $x=\left.z_{<}\right|_{\mathcal{D}(z) \cap M}$,

$$
w_{<} \circ F=w_{<} \circ f\left(z^{-1}\left(z_{<}(\xi), 0\right)\right)=w_{<} \circ f \circ x^{-1} \circ\left(z_{<}(\cdot), 0\right)
$$

which, being the composition of the smooth maps $w_{<} \circ f \circ x^{-1}$ (smooth by assumption) and $\xi \rightarrow\left(z_{<}(\xi), 0\right)$, is smooth as well. Hence by definition, $F$ is smooth as claimed. Using a standard partition of unity argument (which we omit), it is possible to piece this local argument together to construct a globally defined smooth function $F: E \rightarrow V$ such that $f=\left.F\right|_{M}$.

Definition 2.11. A function $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism if $f$ is smooth and has a smooth inverse. The set of diffeomorphisms $f: M \rightarrow M$ is a group under composition which will be denoted by $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$.

### 2.2. Tangent Planes and Spaces.

Definition 2.12. Given an imbedded submanifold $M \subset E$ and $m \in M$, let $\tau_{m} M \subset$ $E$ denote the collection of all vectors $v \in E$ such there exists a smooth path $\sigma$ : $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ with $\sigma(0)=m$ and $v=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \sigma(s)$. The subset $\tau_{m} M$ is called the tangent plane to $M$ at $m$ and $v \in \tau_{m} M$ is called a tangent vector, see Figure 3.


Figure 3. Tangent plane, $\tau_{m} M$, to $M$ at $m$ and a vector, $v$, in $\tau_{m} M$.

Theorem 2.13. For each $m \in M, \tau_{m} M$ is a $d$-dimensional subspace of $E$. If $z: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is as in Definition 2.2, then $\tau_{m} M=\operatorname{Nul}\left(z_{>}^{\prime}(m)\right)$. If $x$ is a chart on $M$ such that $m \in \mathcal{D}(x)$, then

$$
\left\{\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} x^{-1}\left(x(m)+s e_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{d}
$$

is a basis for $\tau_{m} M$, where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Proof. Let $\sigma:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ be a smooth path with $\sigma(0)=m$ and $v=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \sigma(s)$ and $z$ be a chart (for $E$ ) around $m$ as in Definition 2.2 such that $x=z_{<}$. Then $z_{>}(\sigma(s))=0$ for all $s$ and therefore,

$$
0=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} z_{>}(\sigma(s))=z_{>}^{\prime}(m) v
$$

which shows that $v \in \operatorname{Nul}\left(z_{>}^{\prime}(m)\right)$, i.e. $\tau_{m} M \subset \operatorname{Nul}\left(z_{>}^{\prime}(m)\right)$.
Conversely, suppose that $v \in \operatorname{Nul}\left(z_{>}^{\prime}(m)\right)$. Let $w=z_{<}^{\prime}(m) v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\sigma(s):=$ $x^{-1}\left(z_{<}(m)+s w\right) \in M$ - defined for $s$ near 0 . Differentiating the identity $z^{-1} \circ z=i d$ at $m$ shows

$$
\left(z^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z(m)) z^{\prime}(m)=I
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\prime}(0) & =\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} x^{-1}\left(z_{<}(m)+s w\right)=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} z^{-1}\left(z_{<}(m)+s w, 0\right) \\
& =\left(z^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\left(z_{<}(m), 0\right)\right)\left(z_{<}^{\prime}(m) v, 0\right) \\
& =\left(z^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\left(z_{<}(m), 0\right)\right)\left(z_{<}^{\prime}(m) v, z_{>}^{\prime}(m) v\right) \\
& =\left(z^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z(m)) z^{\prime}(m) v=v
\end{aligned}
$$

and so by definition $v=\sigma^{\prime}(0) \in \tau_{m} M$. We have now shown $\operatorname{Nul}\left(z_{>}^{\prime}(m)\right) \subset \tau_{m} M$ which completes the proof that $\tau_{m} M=\operatorname{Nul}\left(z_{>}^{\prime}(m)\right)$.

Since $z_{<}^{\prime}(m): \tau_{m} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a linear isomorphism, the above argument also shows

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} x^{-1}(x(m)+s w)=\left(\left.z_{<}^{\prime}(m)\right|_{\tau_{m} M}\right)^{-1} w \in \tau_{m} M \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

In particular it follows that

$$
\left\{\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} x^{-1}\left(x(m)+s e_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{d}=\left\{\left(\left.z_{<}^{\prime}(m)\right|_{\tau_{m} M}\right)^{-1} e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}
$$

is a basis for $\tau_{m} M$, see Figure 4 below.
The following proposition is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.13 and the proof of Theorem 2.5.

Proposition 2.14. Suppose that $M$ is an imbedded submanifold constructed as in Theorem 2.5. Then $\tau_{m} M=\operatorname{Nul}\left(F^{\prime}(m)\right)$.
Exercise 2.15. Show:
(1) $\tau_{m} M=E$, if $M$ is an open subset of $E$.
(2) $\tau_{g} G L(n, \mathbb{R})=g l(n, \mathbb{R})$, for all $g \in G L(n, \mathbb{R})$.
(3) $\tau_{m} S^{N-1}=\{m\}^{\perp}$ for all $m \in S^{N-1}$.
(4) Let $\operatorname{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the traceless matrices,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}):=\{A \in g l(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \operatorname{tr}(A)=0\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\tau_{g} S L(n, \mathbb{R})=\left\{A \in g l(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid g^{-1} A \in \operatorname{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})\right\}
$$

and in particular $\tau_{I} S L(n, \mathbb{R})=\operatorname{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$.
(5) Let so $(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the skew symmetric matrices,

$$
\text { so }(n, \mathbb{R}):=\left\{A \in g l(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid A=-A^{\operatorname{tr}}\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\tau_{g} O(n)=\left\{A \in g l(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid g^{-1} A \in \operatorname{so}(n, \mathbb{R})\right\}
$$

and in particular $\tau_{I} O(n)=s o(n, \mathbb{R})$. Hint: $g^{-1}=g^{\operatorname{tr}}$ for all $g \in O(n)$.
(6) If $M \subset E$ and $N \subset V$ are imbedded submanifolds then

$$
\tau_{(m, n)}(M \times N)=\tau_{m} M \times \tau_{n} N \subset E \times V
$$

It is quite possible that $\tau_{m} M=\tau_{m^{\prime}} M$ for some $m \neq m^{\prime}$, with $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ in $M$ (think of the sphere). Because of this, it is helpful to label each of the tangent planes with their base point.

Definition 2.16. The tangent space $\left(T_{m} M\right)$ to $M$ at $m$ is given by

$$
T_{m} M:=\{m\} \times \tau_{m} M \subset M \times E
$$

Let

$$
T M:=\cup_{m \in M} T_{m} M
$$

and call $T M$ the tangent space (or tangent bundle) of $M$. A tangent vector is a point $v_{m}:=(m, v) \in T M$ and we let $\pi: T M \rightarrow M$ denote the canonical projection defined by $\pi\left(v_{m}\right)=m$. Each tangent space is made into a vector space with the vector space operations being defined by: $c\left(v_{m}\right):=(c v)_{m}$ and $v_{m}+w_{m}:=(v+w)_{m}$.
Exercise 2.17. Prove that $T M$ is an imbedded submanifold of $E \times E$. Hint: suppose that $z: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a function as in the Definition 2.2. Define $\mathcal{D}(Z):=$ $\mathcal{D}(z) \times E$ and $Z: \mathcal{D}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ by $Z(x, a):=\left(z(x), z^{\prime}(x) a\right)$. Use $Z$ 's of this type to check $T M$ satisfies Definition 2.2

Notation 2.18. In the sequel, given a smooth path $\sigma:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$, we will abuse notation and write $\sigma^{\prime}(0)$ for either

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \sigma(s) \in \tau_{\sigma(0)} M
$$

or for

$$
\left(\sigma(0),\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \sigma(s)\right) \in T_{\sigma(0)} M=\{\sigma(0)\} \times \tau_{\sigma(0)} M
$$

Also given a chart $x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ on $M$ and $m \in \mathcal{D}(x)$, let $\partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m}$ denote the element $T_{m} M$ determined by $\partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m}=\sigma^{\prime}(0)$, where $\sigma(s):=x^{-1}\left(x(m)+s e_{i}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{m}=\left(m,\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} x^{-1}\left(x(m)+s e_{i}\right)\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Figure 4.
The reason for the strange notation in Eq. 2.5 will be explained after Notation 2.20. By definition, every element of $T_{m} M$ is of the form $\sigma^{\prime}(0)$ where $\sigma$ is a smooth path into $M$ such that $\sigma(0)=m$. Moreover by Theorem 2.13, $\left\{\partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is a basis for $T_{m} M$.

Definition 2.19. Suppose that $f: M \rightarrow V$ is a smooth function, $m \in \mathcal{D}(f)$ and $v_{m} \in T_{m} M$. Write

$$
v_{m} f=d f\left(v_{m}\right):=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} f(\sigma(s))
$$

where $\sigma$ is any smooth path in $M$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}(0)=v_{m}$. The function $d f: T M \rightarrow V$ will be called the differential of $f$.


Figure 4. Forming a basis of tangent vectors.

Notation 2.20. If $M$ and $N$ are two manifolds $f: M \times N \rightarrow V$ is a smooth function, we will write $d_{M} f(\cdot, n)$ to indicate that we are computing the differential of the function $m \in M \rightarrow f(m, n) \in V$ for fixed $n \in N$.

To understand the notation in (2.5), suppose that $f=F \circ x=F\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ where $F: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function and $x$ is a chart on $M$. Then

$$
\frac{\partial f(m)}{\partial x^{i}}:=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{m} f=\left(D_{i} F\right)(x(m)),
$$

where $D_{i}$ denotes the $i^{\text {th }}$ - partial derivative of $F$. Also notice that $d x^{j}\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{m}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ so that $\left\{\left.d x^{i}\right|_{T_{m} M}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is the dual basis of $\left\{\partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ and therefore if $v_{m} \in T_{m} M$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m}=\left.\sum_{i=1}^{d} d x^{i}\left(v_{m}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{m} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This explicitly exhibits $v_{m}$ as a first order differential operator acting on "germs" of smooth functions defined near $m \in M$.

Remark 2.21 (Product Rule). Suppose that $f: M \rightarrow V$ and $g: M \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ are smooth functions, then

$$
v_{m}(g f)=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0}[g(\sigma(s)) f(\sigma(s))]=v_{m} g \cdot f(m)+g(m) v_{m} f
$$

or equivalently

$$
d(g f)\left(v_{m}\right)=d g\left(v_{m}\right) f(m)+g(m) d f\left(v_{m}\right) .
$$

This last equation will be abbreviated as $d(g f)=d g \cdot f+g d f$.
Definition 2.22. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map of imbedded submanifolds. Define the differential, $f_{*}$, of $f$ by

$$
f_{*} v_{m}=(f \circ \sigma)^{\prime}(0) \in T_{f(m)} N,
$$

where $v_{m}=\sigma^{\prime}(0) \in T_{m} M$, and $m \in \mathcal{D}(f)$.
Lemma 2.23. The differentials defined in Definitions 2.19 and 2.22 are well defined linear maps on $T_{m} M$ for each $m \in \mathcal{D}(f)$.


Figure 5. The differential of $f$.

Proof. I will only prove that $f_{*}$ is well defined, since the case of $d f$ is similar. By Proposition 2.10, there is a smooth function $F: E \rightarrow V$, such that $f=\left.F\right|_{M}$. Therefore by the chain rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*} v_{m}=(f \circ \sigma)^{\prime}(0):=\left[\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} f(\sigma(s))\right]_{f(\sigma(0))}=\left[F^{\prime}(m) v\right]_{f(m)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is a smooth path in $M$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}(0)=v_{m}$. It follows from (2.7) that $f_{*} v_{m}$ does not depend on the choice of the path $\sigma$. It is also clear from (2.7), that $f_{*}$ is linear on $T_{m} M$.

Remark 2.24. Suppose that $F: E \rightarrow V$ is a smooth function and that $f:=\left.F\right|_{M}$. Then as in the proof of Lemma 2.23 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f\left(v_{m}\right)=F^{\prime}(m) v \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v_{m} \in T_{m} M$, and $m \in \mathcal{D}(f)$. Incidentally, since the left hand sides of 2.7) and $(2.8)$ are defined "intrinsically," the right members of 2.7 and 2.8 are independent of the possible choices of functions $F$ which extend $f$.

Lemma 2.25 (Chain Rules). Suppose that $M, N$, and $P$ are imbedded submanifolds and $V$ is a finite dimensional vector space. Let $f: M \rightarrow N, g: N \rightarrow P$, and $h: N \rightarrow V$ be smooth functions. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g \circ f)_{*} v_{m}=g_{*}\left(f_{*} v_{m}\right), \quad \forall v_{m} \in T M \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(h \circ f)\left(v_{m}\right)=d h\left(f_{*} v_{m}\right), \quad \forall v_{m} \in T M \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations will be written more concisely as $(g \circ f)_{*}=g_{*} f_{*}$ and $d(h \circ f)=d h f_{*}$ respectively.

Proof. Let $\sigma$ be a smooth path in $M$ such that $v_{m}=\sigma^{\prime}(0)$. Then, see Figure 6 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g \circ f)_{*} v_{m} & :=(g \circ f \circ \sigma)^{\prime}(0)=g_{*}(f \circ \sigma)^{\prime}(0) \\
& =g_{*} f_{*} \sigma^{\prime}(0)=g_{*} f_{*} v_{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(h \circ f)\left(v_{m}\right) & :=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0}(h \circ f \circ \sigma)(s)=d h\left((f \circ \sigma)^{\prime}(0)\right) \\
& =d h\left(f_{*} \sigma^{\prime}(0)\right)=d h\left(f_{*} v_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 6. The chain rule.

If $f: M \rightarrow V$ is a smooth function, $x$ is a chart on $M$, and $m \in \mathcal{D}(f) \cap \mathcal{D}(x)$, we will write $\partial f(m) / \partial x^{i}$ for $d f\left(\partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m}\right)$. Combining this notation with Eq. 2.6) leads to the pleasing formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}} d x^{i} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

by which we mean

$$
d f\left(v_{m}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial f(m)}{\partial x^{i}} d x^{i}\left(v_{m}\right)
$$

Suppose that $f: M^{d} \rightarrow N^{k}$ is a smooth map of imbedded submanifolds, $m \in M$, $x$ is a chart on $M$ such that $m \in \mathcal{D}(x)$, and $y$ is a chart on $N$ such that $f(m) \in \mathcal{D}(y)$. Then the matrix of

$$
f_{* m}:=\left.f_{*}\right|_{T_{m} M}: T_{m} M \rightarrow T_{f(m)} N
$$

relative to the bases $\left\{\partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ of $T_{m} M$ and $\left\{\partial /\left.\partial y^{j}\right|_{f(m)}\right\}_{j=1}^{k}$ of $T_{f(m)} N$ is $\left(\partial\left(y^{j} \circ f\right)(m) / \partial x^{i}\right)$. Indeed, if $v_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} v^{i} \partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{*} v_{m} & =\sum_{j=1}^{k} d y^{j}\left(f_{*} v_{m}\right) \partial /\left.\partial y^{j}\right|_{f(m)} \\
& \left.=\sum_{j=1}^{k} d\left(y^{j} \circ f\right)\left(v_{m}\right) \partial /\left.\partial y^{j}\right|_{f(m)} \quad \quad \text { (by Eq. 2.10) }\right) \\
& \left.=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial\left(y^{j} \circ f\right)(m)}{\partial x^{i}} \cdot d x^{i}\left(v_{m}\right) \partial /\left.\partial y^{j}\right|_{f(m)} \quad \text { (by Eq. 2.11) }\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial\left(y^{j} \circ f\right)(m)}{\partial x^{i}} v^{i} \partial /\left.\partial y^{j}\right|_{f(m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2.26. Let $M=O(n), k \in O(n)$, and $f: O(n) \rightarrow O(n)$ be defined by $f(g):=k g$. Then $f$ is a smooth function on $O(n)$ because it is the restriction of a smooth function on $g l(n, \mathbb{R})$. Given $A_{g} \in T_{g} O(n)$, by Eq. 2.7),

$$
f_{*} A_{g}=(k g, k A)=(k A)_{k g}
$$

(In the future we denote $f$ by $L_{k} ; L_{k}$ is left translation by $k \in O(n)$.)
Definition 2.27. A Lie group is a manifold, $G$, which is also a group such that the group operations are smooth functions. The tangent space, $\mathfrak{g}:=\operatorname{Lie}(G):=T_{e} G$, to $G$ at the identity $e \in G$ is called the Lie algebra of $G$.
Exercise 2.28. Verify that $G L(n, \mathbb{R}), S L(n, \mathbb{R}), O(n), S O(n)$ and $T^{n}$ (see Example 2.6 are all Lie groups and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Lie}(G L(n, \mathbb{R})) & \cong g l(n, \mathbb{R}) \\
\operatorname{Lie}(S L(n, \mathbb{R}))) & \cong \operatorname{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \\
\operatorname{Lie}(O(n))) & =\operatorname{Lie}(S O(n))) \cong \operatorname{so}(n, \mathbb{R}) \text { and } \\
\left.\operatorname{Lie}\left(T^{n}\right)\right) & \cong(i \mathbb{R})^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

See Exercise 2.15 for the notation being used here.
Exercise 2.29 (Continuation of Exercise 2.17). Show for each chart $x$ on $M$ that the function

$$
\phi\left(v_{m}\right):=\left(x(m), d x\left(v_{m}\right)\right)=x_{*} v_{m}
$$

is a chart on $T M$. Note that $\mathcal{D}(\phi):=\cup_{m \in \mathcal{D}(x)} T_{m} M$.
The following lemma gives an important example of a smooth function on $M$ which will be needed when we consider $M$ as a "Riemannian manifold."
Lemma 2.30. Suppose that $(E,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is an inner product space and the $M \subset E$ is an imbedded submanifold. For each $m \in M$, let $P(m)$ denote the orthogonal projection of $E$ onto $\tau_{m} M$ and $Q(m):=I-P(m)$ denote the orthogonal projection onto $\tau_{m} M^{\perp}$. Then $P$ and $Q$ are smooth functions from $M$ to $g l(E)$, where $g l(E)$ denotes the vector space of linear maps from $E$ to $E$.

Proof. Let $z: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be as in Definition 2.2. To simplify notation, let $F(p):=$ $z_{>}(p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{D}(z)$, so that $\tau_{m} M=\operatorname{Nul}\left(F^{\prime}(m)\right)$ for $m \in \mathcal{D}(x)=\mathcal{D}(z) \cap M$. Since $F^{\prime}(m): E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-d}$ is surjective, an elementary exercise in linear algebra shows

$$
\left(F^{\prime}(m) F^{\prime}(m)^{*}\right): \mathbb{R}^{N-d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-d}
$$

is invertible for all $m \in \mathcal{D}(x)$. The orthogonal projection $Q(m)$ may be expressed as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(m)=F^{\prime}(m)^{*}\left(F^{\prime}(m) F^{\prime}(m)^{*}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}(m) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since being invertible is an open condition, $\left(F^{\prime}(\cdot) F^{\prime}(\cdot)^{*}\right)$ is invertible in an open neighborhood $\mathcal{N} \subset E$ of $\mathcal{D}(x)$. Hence $Q$ has a smooth extension $\tilde{Q}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ given by

$$
\tilde{Q}(x):=F^{\prime}(x)^{*}\left(F^{\prime}(x) F^{\prime}(x)^{*}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}(x)
$$

Since $\left.Q\right|_{\mathcal{D}(x)}=\left.\tilde{Q}\right|_{\mathcal{D}(x)}$ and $\tilde{Q}$ is smooth on $\mathcal{N},\left.Q\right|_{\mathcal{D}(x)}$ is also smooth. Since $z$ as in Definition 2.2 was arbitrary and smoothness is a local property, it follows that $Q$ is smooth on $M$. Clearly, $P:=I-Q$ is also a smooth function on $M$.

Definition 2.31. A local vector field $Y$ on $M$ is a smooth function $Y: M \rightarrow T M$ such that $Y(m) \in T_{m} M$ for all $m \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$, where $\mathcal{D}(Y)$ is assumed to be an open subset of $M$. Let $\Gamma(T M)$ denote the collection of globally defined (i.e. $\mathcal{D}(Y)=M$ ) smooth vector-fields $Y$ on $M$.

Note that $\partial / \partial x^{i}$ are local vector-fields on $M$ for each chart $x \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, d$. The next exercise asserts that these vector fields are smooth.

Exercise 2.32. Let $Y$ be a vector field on $M, x \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ be a chart on $M$ and $Y^{i}:=d x^{i}(Y)$. Then

$$
Y(m):=\sum_{i=1}^{d} Y^{i}(m) \partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m} \forall m \in \mathcal{D}(x)
$$

which we abbreviate as $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{d} Y^{i} \partial / \partial x^{i}$. Show the condition that $Y$ is smooth translates into the statement that each of the functions $Y^{i}$ is smooth.

Exercise 2.33. Let $Y: M \rightarrow T M$, be a vector field. Then

$$
Y(m)=(m, y(m))=y(m)_{m}
$$

for some function $y: M \rightarrow E$ such that $y(m) \in \tau_{m} M$ for all $m \in \mathcal{D}(Y)=\mathcal{D}(y)$. Show that $Y$ is smooth iff $y: M \rightarrow E$ is smooth.

Example 2.34. Let $M=S L(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $A \in \operatorname{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})=\tau_{I} S L(n, \mathbb{R})$, i.e. $A$ is a $n \times n$ real matrix such that $\operatorname{tr}(A)=0$. Then $\tilde{A}(g):=L_{g *} A_{e}=(g, g A)$ for $g \in M$ is a smooth vector field on $M$.

Example 2.35. Keep the notation of Lemma 2.30. Let $y: M \rightarrow E$ be any smooth function. Then $Y(m):=(m, P(m) y(m))$ for all $m \in M$ is a smooth vector-field on $M$.

Definition 2.36. Given $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, let $Y f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ be defined by $(Y f)(m):=d f(Y(m))$, for all $m \in \mathcal{D}(f) \cap \mathcal{D}(Y)$. In this way the vector-field $Y$ may be viewed as a first order differential operator on $C^{\infty}(M)$.

Notation 2.37. The Lie bracket of two smooth vector fields, $Y$ and $W$, on $M$ is the vector field $[Y, W]$ which acts on $C^{\infty}(M)$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
[Y, W] f:=Y(W f)-W(Y f), \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(M) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In general one might suspect that $[Y, W]$ is a second order differential operator, however this is not the case, see Exercise 2.38.) Sometimes it will be convenient to write $L_{Y} W$ for $[Y, W]$.

Exercise 2.38. Show that $[Y, W]$ is again a first order differential operator on $C^{\infty}(M)$ coming from a vector-field. In particular, if $x$ is a chart on $M, Y=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{d} Y^{i} \partial / \partial x^{i}$ and $W=\sum_{i=1}^{d} W^{i} \partial / \partial x^{i}$, then on $\mathcal{D}(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[Y, W]=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(Y W^{i}-W Y^{i}\right) \partial / \partial x^{i} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.39. If $Y(m)=(m, y(m))$ and $W(m)=(m, w(m))$ and $y, w: M \rightarrow$ $E$ are smooth functions such that $y(m), w(m) \in \tau_{m} M$, then we may express the Lie bracket, $[Y, W](m)$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[Y, W](m)=(m,(Y w-W y)(m))=(m, d w(Y(m))-d y(W(m))) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f$ be a smooth function $M$ which we may take, by Proposition 2.10. to be the restriction of a smooth function on $E$. Similarly we we may assume that $y$ and $w$ are smooth functions on $E$ such that $y(m), w(m) \in \tau_{m} M$ for all $m \in M$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
(Y W-W Y) f & =Y\left[f^{\prime} w\right]-W\left[f^{\prime} y\right] \\
& =f^{\prime \prime}(y, w)-f^{\prime \prime}(w, y)+f^{\prime}(Y w)-f^{\prime}(W y) \\
& =f^{\prime}(Y w-W y) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

wherein the last equality we have use the fact that mixed partial derivatives commute to conclude

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(u, v)-f^{\prime \prime}(v, u):=\left(\partial_{u} \partial_{v}-\partial_{v} \partial_{u}\right) f=0 \forall u, v \in E .
$$

Taking $f=z_{>}$in Eq. 2.16 with $z=\left(z_{<}, z_{>}\right)$being a chart on $E$ as in Definition 2.2, shows

$$
0=(Y W-W Y) z_{>}(m)=z_{>}^{\prime}(d w(Y(m))-d y(W(m)))
$$

and thus $(m, d w(Y(m))-d y(W(m))) \in T_{m} M$. With this observation, we then have

$$
f^{\prime}(Y w-W y)=d f((m, d w(Y(m))-d y(W(m))))
$$

which combined with Eq. 2.16) verifies Eq. 2.15.
Exercise 2.40. Let $M=S L(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $A, B \in \operatorname{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ be the associated left invariant vector fields on $M$ as introduced in Example 2.34. Show $[\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}]=\widehat{[A, B]}$ where $[A, B]:=A B-B A$ is the matrix commutator of $A$ and $B$.
2.3. More References. The reader wishing to learn about manifolds is referred to [1, 9, 19, 41, 42, 95, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 164]. The texts by Kobayashi and Nomizu are very thorough while the books by Klingenberg give an idea of why differential geometers are interested in loop spaces. There is a vast literature on Lie groups and there representations. Here are just two books which I have found very useful, [24, 178].

## 3. Riemannian Geometry Primer

This section introduces the following objects: 1) Riemannian metrics, 2) Riemannian volume forms, 3) gradients, 4) divergences, 5) Laplacians, 6) covariant derivatives, 7) parallel translations, and 8) curvatures.

### 3.1. Riemannian Metrics.

Definition 3.1. A Riemannian metric, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ (also denoted by $g$ ), on $M$ is a smoothly varying choice of inner product, $g_{m}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{m}$, on each of the tangent spaces $T_{m} M, m \in M$. The smoothness condition is the requirement that the function $m \in M \rightarrow\langle X(m), Y(m)\rangle_{m} \in \mathbb{R}$ is smooth for all smooth vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$.

It is customary to write $d s^{2}$ for the function on $T M$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}\left(v_{m}\right):=\left\langle v_{m}, v_{m}\right\rangle_{m}=g_{m}\left(v_{m}, v_{m}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By polarization, the Riemannian metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is uniquely determined by the function $d s^{2}$. Given a chart $x$ on $M$ and $v \in T_{m} M$, by Eqs. (3.1) and (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}\left(v_{m}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{d}\left\langle\partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m}, \partial /\left.\partial x^{j}\right|_{m}\right\rangle_{m} d x^{i}\left(v_{m}\right) d x^{j}\left(v_{m}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will abbreviate this equation in the future by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} g_{i j}^{x} d x^{i} d x^{j} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{i, j}^{x}(m):=\left\langle\partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m}, \partial /\left.\partial x^{j}\right|_{m}\right\rangle_{m}=g\left(\partial /\left.\partial x^{i}\right|_{m}, \partial /\left.\partial x^{j}\right|_{m}\right) .
$$

Typically $g_{i, j}^{x}$ will be abbreviated by $g_{i j}$ if no confusion is likely to arise.
Example 3.2. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and let $x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{N}\right)$ denote the standard chart on $M$, i.e. $x(m)=m$ for all $m \in M$. The standard Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is determined by

$$
d s^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(d x^{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} d x^{i} \cdot d x^{i}
$$

and so $g^{x}$ is the identity matrix here. The general Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is determined by $d s^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} g_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}$, where $g=\left(g_{i j}\right)$ is a smooth $g l(N, \mathbb{R})$ valued function on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $g(m)$ is positive definite matrix for all $m \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Let $M$ be an imbedded submanifold of a finite dimensional inner product space $(E,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$. The manifold $M$ inherits a metric from $E$ determined by

$$
d s^{2}\left(v_{m}\right)=\langle v, v\rangle \forall v_{m} \in T M
$$

It is a well known deep fact that all finite dimensional Riemannian manifolds may be constructed in this way, see Nash [143] and Moser [138, 139, 140]. To simplify the exposition, in the sequel we will usually assume that $(E,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is an inner product space, $M^{d} \subset E$ is an imbedded submanifold, and the Riemannian metric on $M$ is determined in this way, i.e.

$$
\left\langle v_{m}, w_{m}\right\rangle=\langle v, w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}, \quad \forall v_{m}, w_{m} \in T_{m} M \text { and } m \in M
$$

In this setting the components $g_{i, j}^{x}$ of the metric $d s^{2}$ relative to a chart $x$ may be computed as $g_{i, j}^{x}(m)=\left\langle\phi_{; i}(x(m)), \phi_{; j}(x(m))\right\rangle$, where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\phi:=x^{-1} \text { and } \phi_{; i}(a):=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \phi\left(a+t e_{i}\right) .
$$

Example 3.3. Let $M=G:=S L(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $A_{g} \in T_{g} M$.
(1) Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}\left(A_{g}\right):=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} A\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a Riemannian metric on $G$. This metric is the inherited metric from the inner product space $E=g l(n, \mathbb{R})$ with inner product $\langle A, B\rangle:=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} B\right)$.
(2) A more "natural" choice of a metric on $G$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}\left(A_{g}\right):=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(g^{-1} A\right)^{*} g^{-1} A\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This metric is invariant under left translations, i.e. $d s^{2}\left(L_{k *} A_{g}\right)=d s^{2}\left(A_{g}\right)$, for all $k \in G$ and $A_{g} \in T G$. According to the imbedding theorem of Nash and Moser, it would be possible to find another imbedding of $G$ into a Euclidean space, $E$, so that the metric in Eq. 3.5 is inherited from an inner product on $E$.

Example 3.4. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ be equipped with the standard Riemannian metric and $(r, \varphi, \theta)$ be spherical coordinates on $M$, see Figure 7. Here $r, \varphi$, and $\theta$ are


Figure 7. Defining the spherical coordinates, $(r, \theta, \phi)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
taken to be functions on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left\{p \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: p_{2}=0\right.$ and $\left.p_{1}>0\right\}$ defined by $r(p)=|p|$, $\varphi(p)=\cos ^{-1}\left(p_{3} /|p|\right) \in(0, \pi)$, and $\theta(p) \in(0,2 \pi)$ is given by $\theta(p)=\tan ^{-1}\left(p_{2} / p_{1}\right)$ if $p_{1}>0$ and $p_{2}>0$ with similar formulas for $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ in the other three quadrants of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Since $x^{1}=r \sin \varphi \cos \theta, x^{2}=r \sin \varphi \sin \theta$, and $x^{3}=r \cos \varphi$, it follows using Eq. (2.11) that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d x^{1} & =\frac{\partial x^{1}}{\partial r} d r+\frac{\partial x^{1}}{\partial \varphi} d \varphi+\frac{\partial x^{1}}{\partial \theta} d \theta \\
& =\sin \varphi \cos \theta d r+r \cos \varphi \cos \theta d \varphi-r \sin \varphi \sin \theta d \theta \\
d x^{2} & =\sin \varphi \sin \theta d r+r \cos \varphi \sin \theta d \varphi+r \sin \varphi \cos \theta d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
d x^{3}=\cos \varphi d r-r \sin \varphi d \varphi
$$

An elementary calculation now shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(d x^{i}\right)^{2}=d r^{2}+r^{2} d \varphi^{2}+r^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi d \theta^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this last equation, we see that

$$
g^{(r, \varphi, \theta)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{3.7}\\
0 & r^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & r^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi
\end{array}\right]
$$

Exercise 3.5. Let $M:=\left\{m \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|m|^{2}=\rho^{2}\right\}$, so that $M$ is a sphere of radius $\rho$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Since $r=\rho$ on $M$ and $d r(v)=0$ for all $v \in T_{m} M$, it follows from Eq. (3.6) that the induced metric $d s^{2}$ on $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\rho^{2} d \varphi^{2}+\rho^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi d \theta^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
g^{(\varphi, \theta)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\rho^{2} & 0  \tag{3.9}\\
0 & \rho^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 3.2. Integration and the Volume Measure.

Definition 3.6. Let $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(M)$ (the smooth functions on $M^{d}$ with compact support) and assume the support of $f$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}(x)$, where $x$ is some chart on $M$. Set

$$
\int_{M} f d x=\int_{\mathcal{R}(x)} f \circ x^{-1}(a) d a
$$

where $d a$ denotes Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
The problem with this notion of integration is that (as the notation indicates) $\int_{M} f d x$ depends on the choice of chart $x$. To remedy this, consider a small cube $C(\delta)$ of side $\delta$ contained in $\mathcal{R}(x)$, see Figure 8 . We wish to estimate "the volume" of $\phi(C(\delta))$ where $\phi:=x^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(x)$. Heuristically, we expect the volume of $\phi(C(\delta))$ to be approximately equal to the volume of the parallelepiped, $\tilde{C}(\delta)$, in the tangent space $T_{m} M$ determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{C}(\delta):=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{d} s_{i} \delta \cdot \phi_{; i}(x(m)) \mid 0 \leq s_{i} \leq 1, \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, d\right\} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we are using the notation proceeding Example 3.3, see Figure 8. Since $T_{m} M$


Figure 8. Defining the Riemannian "volume element."
is an inner product space, the volume of $\tilde{C}(\delta)$ is well defined. For example choose an isometry $\theta: T_{m} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and define the volume of $\tilde{C}(\delta)$ to be $m(\theta(\tilde{C}(\delta)))$ where $m$ is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The next elementary lemma will be used to give a formula for the volume of $\tilde{C}(\delta)$.
Lemma 3.7. If $V$ is a finite dimensional inner product space, $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\operatorname{dim} V}$ is any basis for $V$ and $A: V \rightarrow V$ is a linear transformation, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(A)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle A v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right]} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle A v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right]$ is the determinant of the matrix with $i-j^{\text {th }}-$ entry being $\left\langle A v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle$. Moreover if

$$
\tilde{C}(\delta):=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \delta s_{i} \cdot v_{i}: 0 \leq s_{i} \leq 1, \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, d\right\}
$$

then the volume of $\tilde{C}(\delta)$ is $\delta^{d} \sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right]}$.
Proof. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\operatorname{dim}_{i=1} V}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$, then

$$
\left\langle A v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{l, k}\left\langle v_{i}, e_{l}\right\rangle\left\langle A e_{l}, e_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{k}, v_{j}\right\rangle
$$

and therefore by the multiplicative property of the determinant,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle A v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right] & =\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle v_{i}, e_{l}\right\rangle\right] \operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle A e_{l}, e_{k}\right\rangle\right] \operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle e_{k}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\operatorname{det}(A) \operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle v_{i}, e_{l}\right\rangle\right] \cdot \operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle e_{k}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right] \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $A=I$ in this equation then shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right]=\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle v_{i}, e_{l}\right\rangle\right] \cdot \operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle e_{k}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right] . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing Eq. (3.13) into Eq. (3.12) proves Eq. (3.11).
For the second assertion, it suffices to assume $V=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with the usual innerproduct. Define $T: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ so that $T e_{i}=v_{i}$ where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, then $\tilde{C}(\delta)=T\left([0, \delta]^{d}\right)$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(\tilde{C}(\delta)) & =|\operatorname{det} T| m\left([0, \delta]^{d}\right)=\delta^{d}|\operatorname{det} T|=\delta^{d} \sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(T^{\operatorname{tr}} T\right)} \\
& =\delta^{d} \sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle T^{\operatorname{tr}} T e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right]}=\delta^{d} \sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle T e_{i}, T e_{j}\right\rangle\right]}=\delta^{d} \sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the second assertion in Lemma 3.7, the volume of $\tilde{C}(\delta)$ in Eq. 3.10 is $\delta^{d} \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g^{x}(m)}$, where $g_{i j}^{x}(m)=\left\langle\phi_{; i}(x(m)), \phi_{; j}(x(m))\right\rangle_{m}$. Because of the above computations, it is reasonable to try to define a new integral on $\mathcal{D}(x) \subset M$ by

$$
\int_{\mathcal{D}(x)} f d \lambda_{\mathcal{D}(x)}:=\int_{\mathcal{D}(x)} f \sqrt{g^{x}} d x
$$

i.e. let $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}(x)}$ be the measure satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \lambda_{\mathcal{D}(x)}=\sqrt{g^{x}} d x \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sqrt{g^{x}}$ is shorthand for $\sqrt{\operatorname{det} g^{x}}$.

Lemma 3.8. Suppose that $y$ and $x$ are two charts on $M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{l, k}^{y}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} g_{i, j}^{x} \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial y^{k}} \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial y^{l}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Inserting the identities

$$
d x^{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial y^{k}} d y^{k} \text { and } d x^{j}=\sum_{l=1}^{d} \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial y^{l}} d y^{l}
$$

and into the formula $d s^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} g_{i, j}^{x} d x^{i} d x^{j}$ gives

$$
d s^{2}=\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{d} g_{i, j}^{x} \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial y^{k}} \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial y^{l}} d y^{l} d y^{k}
$$

from which 3.15 follows.
Exercise 3.9. Suppose that $x$ and $y$ are two charts on $M$ and $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(M)$ such that the support of $f$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}(x) \cap \mathcal{D}(y)$. Using Lemma 3.8 and the change of variable formula show,

$$
\int_{\mathcal{D}(x) \cap \mathcal{D}(y)} f \sqrt{g^{x}} d x=\int_{\mathcal{D}(x) \cap \mathcal{D}(y)} f \sqrt{g^{y}} d y
$$

Theorem 3.10 (Riemann Volume Measure). There exists a unique measure, $\lambda_{M}$ on the Borel $\sigma$ - algebra of $M$ such that for any chart $x$ on $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \lambda_{M}(x)=d \lambda_{\mathcal{D}(x)}=\sqrt{g^{x}} d x \text { on } \mathcal{D}(x) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choose a countable collection of charts, $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $M=$ $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and let $U_{1}:=\mathcal{D}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $U_{i}:=\mathcal{D}\left(x_{i}\right) \backslash\left(\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{D}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)$ for $i \geq 1$. Then if $B \subset X$ is a Borel set, define the measure $\lambda_{M}(B)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{M}(B):=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathcal{D}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left(B \cap U_{i}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x$ is any chart on $M$ and $B \subset \mathcal{D}(x)$, then $B \cap U_{i} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(x_{i}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}(x)$ and so by Exercise 3.9, $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left(B \cap U_{i}\right)=\lambda_{\mathcal{D}(x)}(B)$. Using this identity in Eq. (3.17) implies

$$
\lambda_{M}(B):=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathcal{D}(x)}\left(B \cap U_{i}\right)=\lambda_{\mathcal{D}(x)}(B)
$$

and hence we have proved the existence of $\lambda_{M}$. The uniqueness assertion is easy and will be left to the reader.

Example 3.11. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with the standard Riemannian metric, and let $x$ denote the standard coordinates on $M$ determined by $x(m)=m$ for all $m \in M$. Then $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}$ is Lebesgue measure which in spherical coordinates may be written as

$$
d \lambda_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}=r^{2} \sin \varphi d r d \varphi d \theta
$$

because $\sqrt{g^{(r, \varphi, \theta)}}=r^{2} \sin \varphi$ by Eq. (3.7). Similarly using Eq. (3.9),

$$
d \lambda_{M}=\rho^{2} \sin \varphi d \varphi d \theta
$$

when $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the sphere of radius $\rho$ centered at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Exercise 3.12. Compute the "volume element," $d \lambda_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}$, for $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ in cylindrical coordinates.

Theorem 3.13 (Change of Variables Formula). Let $\left(M,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{M}\right)$ and $\left(N,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{N}\right)$ be two Riemannian manifolds, $\psi: M \rightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism and $\rho \in$ $C^{\infty}(M,(0, \infty))$ be determined by the equation

$$
\rho(m)=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left[\psi_{* m}^{\operatorname{tr}} \psi_{* m}\right]} \text { for all } m \in M
$$

where $\psi_{* m}^{\mathrm{tr}}$ denotes the adjoint of $\psi_{* m}$ relative to Riemannian inner products on $T_{m} M$ and $T_{\psi(m)} N$. If $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a positive Borel measurable function, then

$$
\int_{N} f d \lambda_{N}=\int_{M} \rho \cdot(f \circ \psi) d \lambda_{M}
$$

In particular if $\psi$ is an isometry, i.e. $\psi_{* m}: T_{m} M \rightarrow T_{\psi(m)} N$ is orthogonal for all $m$, then

$$
\int_{N} f d \lambda_{N}=\int_{M} f \circ \psi d \lambda_{M}
$$

Proof. By a partition of unity argument (see the proof of Theorem 3.10), it suffices to consider the case where $f$ has "small" support, i.e. we may assume that the support of $f \circ \psi$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}(x)$ for some chart $x$ on $M$. Letting $\phi:=x^{-1}$, by Eq. 3.11) of Lemma 3.7.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle\partial_{i}(\psi \circ \phi)(t), \partial_{j}(\psi \circ \phi)(t)\right\rangle_{N}\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle\partial_{i} \phi(t), \partial_{j} \phi(t)\right\rangle_{M}\right]} \\
& \quad=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle\psi_{*} \partial_{i} \phi(t), \psi_{*} \partial_{j} \phi(t)\right\rangle_{N}\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle\partial_{i} \phi(t), \partial_{j} \phi(t)\right\rangle_{M}\right]}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle\psi_{*}^{\operatorname{tr}} \psi_{*} \partial_{i} \phi(t), \partial_{j} \phi(t)\right\rangle_{M}\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle\partial_{i} \phi(t), \partial_{j} \phi(t)\right\rangle_{M}\right]} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{det}\left[\psi_{* \phi(t)}^{\text {tr }} \psi_{* \phi(t)}\right]=\rho^{2}(\phi(t)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{N} f d \lambda_{N} & =\int_{\mathcal{R}(x)} f \circ(\psi \circ \phi)(t) \sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle\partial_{i}(\psi \circ \phi)(t), \partial_{j}(\psi \circ \phi)(t)\right\rangle_{N}\right]} d t \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}(x)}(f \circ \psi) \circ \phi(t) \cdot \rho(\phi(t)) \sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle\partial_{i} \phi(t), \partial_{j} \phi(t)\right\rangle_{M}\right]} d t \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{D}(x)}(f \circ \psi) \cdot \rho \cdot \sqrt{g^{x}} d x=\int_{M} \rho \cdot f \circ \psi d \lambda_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3.14. Let $M=S L(n, \mathbb{R})$ as in Example 3.3 and let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{M}$ be the metric given by Eq. 3.5. Because $L_{g}: M \rightarrow M$ is an isometry, Theorem 3.13 implies

$$
\int_{S L(n, \mathbb{R})} f(g x) d \lambda_{G}(x)=\int_{S L(n, \mathbb{R})} f(x) d \lambda_{G}(x) \text { for all } g \in G
$$

That is $\lambda_{G}$ is invariant under left translations by elements of $G$ and such an invariant left invariant measure is called a "left Haar" measure on $G$.

Similarly if $G=O(n)$ with Riemannian metric determined by Eq. 3.5, then, since $g \in G$ is orthogonal, we have

$$
d s^{2}\left(A_{g}\right):=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(g^{-1} A\right)^{*} g^{-1} A\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(g^{*} A\right)^{*} g^{-1} A\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} g g^{-1} A\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} A\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(A g^{-1}\right)^{*} A g^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(g A^{*} A g^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} A g^{-1} g\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} A\right)
$$

Therefore, both left and right translations by element $g \in G$ are isometries for this Riemannian metric on $O(m)$ and so by Theorem 3.13 ,

$$
\int_{O(n)} f(g x) d \lambda_{G}(x)=\int_{O(n)} f(x) d \lambda_{G}(x)=\int_{O(n)} f(x g) d \lambda_{G}(x)
$$

for all $g \in G$.
3.3. Gradients, Divergence, and Laplacians. In the sequel, let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold, $x$ be a chart on $M, g_{i j}:=\left\langle\partial / \partial x^{i}, \partial / \partial x^{j}\right\rangle$, and $d s^{2}=$ $\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} g_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}$.

Definition 3.15. Let $g^{i j}$ denote the $i-j^{\text {th }}$ - matrix element for the inverse matrix to the matrix, $\left(g_{i j}\right)$.

Given $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $m \in M, d f_{m}:=\left.d f\right|_{T_{m} M}$ is a linear functional on $T_{m} M$. Hence there is a unique vector $v_{m} \in T_{m} M$ such that $d f_{m}=\left\langle v_{m}, \cdot\right\rangle_{m}$.

Definition 3.16. The vector $v_{m}$ above is called the gradient of $f$ at $m$ and will be denoted by either grad $f(m)$ or $\vec{\nabla} f(m)$.

Exercise 3.17. If $x$ is a chart on $M$ and $m \in \mathcal{D}(x)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\nabla} f(m)=\operatorname{grad} f(m)=\left.\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} g^{i j}(m) \frac{\partial f(m)}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\right|_{m} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where as usual, $g_{i j}=g_{i j}^{x}$ and $g^{i j}=\left(g_{i j}\right)^{-1}$. Notice from Eq. 3.18 that $\vec{\nabla} f$ is a smooth vector field on $M$.

Exercise 3.18. Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an imbedded submanifold with the induced Riemannian structure. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and set $f:=\left.F\right|_{M}$. Then $\operatorname{grad} f(m)=(P(m) \vec{\nabla} F(m))_{m}$, where $\vec{\nabla} F(m)$ denotes the usual gradient on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and $P(m)$ denotes orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ onto $\tau_{m} M$.

We now introduce the divergence of a vector field $Y$ on $M$.
Lemma 3.19 (Divergence). To every smooth vector field $Y$ on $M$ there is a unique smooth function, $\vec{\nabla} \cdot Y=\operatorname{div} Y$, on $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} Y f d \lambda_{M}=-\int_{M} \operatorname{div} Y \cdot f d \lambda_{M}, \quad \forall f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(M) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The function, $\vec{\nabla} \cdot Y=\operatorname{div} Y$, is called the divergence of $Y$.) Moreover if $x$ is a chart on $M$, then on its domain, $\mathcal{D}(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\nabla} \cdot Y=\operatorname{div} Y=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial\left(\sqrt{g} Y^{i}\right)}{\partial x^{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\{\frac{\partial Y^{i}}{\partial x^{i}}+\frac{\partial \log \sqrt{g}}{\partial x^{i}} Y^{i}\right\} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y^{i}:=d x^{i}(Y)$ and $\sqrt{g}=\sqrt{g^{x}}=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}^{x}\right)}$.

Proof. (Sketch) Suppose that $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(M)$ such that the support of $f$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}(x)$. Because $Y f=\sum_{i=1}^{d} Y^{i} \partial f / \partial x^{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M} Y f d \lambda_{M} & =\int_{M} \sum_{i=1}^{d} Y^{i} \partial f / \partial x^{i} \cdot \sqrt{g} d x=-\int_{M} \sum_{i=1}^{d} f \frac{\partial\left(\sqrt{g} Y^{i}\right)}{\partial x^{i}} d x \\
& =-\int_{M} f \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial\left(\sqrt{g} Y^{i}\right)}{\partial x^{i}} d \lambda_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality follows by an integration by parts. This shows that if div $Y$ exists it must be given on $\mathcal{D}(x)$ by Eq. 3.20. This proves the uniqueness assertion. Using what we have already proved, it is easy to conclude that the formula for $\operatorname{div} Y$ is chart independent. Hence we may define smooth function $\operatorname{div} Y$ on $M$ using Eq. 3.20 in each coordinate chart $x$ on $M$. It is then possible to show (again using a smooth partition of unity argument) that this function satisfies Eq. (3.19).

Remark 3.20. We may write Eq. (3.19) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\langle Y, \operatorname{grad} f\rangle d \lambda_{M}=-\int_{M} \operatorname{div} Y \cdot f d \lambda_{M}, \quad \forall f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(M) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that "div" is the negative of the formal adjoint of "grad."
Exercise 3.21 (Product Rule). If $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ then

$$
\vec{\nabla} \cdot(f Y)=\langle\vec{\nabla} f, Y\rangle+f \vec{\nabla} \cdot Y
$$

Lemma 3.22 (Integration by Parts). Suppose that $Y \in \Gamma(T M), f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(M)$, and $h \in C^{\infty}(M)$, then

$$
\int_{M} Y f \cdot h d \lambda_{M}=\int_{M} f\{-Y h-h \cdot \operatorname{div} Y\} d \lambda_{M}
$$

Proof. By the definition of $\operatorname{div} Y$ and the product rule,

$$
\int_{M} f h \operatorname{div} Y d \lambda_{M}=-\int_{M} Y(f h) d \lambda_{M}=-\int_{M}\{h Y f+f Y h\} d \lambda_{M}
$$

Definition 3.23. The Laplacian on $M$ is the second order differential operator, $\Delta: C^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f:=\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} f)=\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} f \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In local coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f=\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d} \partial_{i}\left\{\sqrt{g} g^{i j} \partial_{j} f\right\} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{i}=\partial / \partial x^{i}, g=g^{x}, \sqrt{g}=\sqrt{\operatorname{det} g}$, and $\left(g^{i j}\right)=\left(g_{i j}^{x}\right)^{-1}$.
Remark 3.24. The Laplacian, $\Delta f$, may be characterized by the equation:

$$
\int_{M} \Delta f \cdot h d \lambda_{M}=-\int_{M}\langle\vec{\nabla} f, \vec{\nabla} h\rangle d \lambda_{M}
$$

which is to hold for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $h \in C_{c}^{\infty}(M)$.

Example 3.25. Suppose that $M=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with the standard Riemannian metric $d s^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(d x^{i}\right)^{2}$, then the standard formulas:

$$
\operatorname{grad} f=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial f / \partial x^{i} \cdot \partial / \partial x^{i}, \operatorname{div} Y=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial Y^{i} / \partial x^{i} \text { and } \Delta f=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\left(\partial x^{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

are easily verified, where $f$ is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{N} Y^{i} \partial / \partial x^{i}$ is a smooth vector-field.

Exercise 3.26. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{3},(r, \varphi, \theta)$ be spherical coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{3}, \partial_{r}=\partial / \partial r$, $\partial_{\varphi}=\partial / \partial \varphi$, and $\partial_{\theta}=\partial / \partial_{\theta}$. Given a smooth function $f$ and a vector-field $Y=$ $Y_{r} \partial_{r}+Y_{\varphi} \partial_{\varphi}+Y_{\theta} \partial_{\theta}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ verify:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{grad} f=\left(\partial_{r} f\right) \partial_{r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\partial_{\varphi} f\right) \partial_{\varphi}+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}\left(\partial_{\theta} f\right) \partial_{\theta} \\
& \operatorname{div} Y=\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin \varphi}\left\{\partial_{r}\left(r^{2} \sin \varphi Y_{r}\right)+\partial_{\varphi}\left(r^{2} \sin \varphi Y_{\varphi}\right)+r^{2} \sin \varphi \partial_{\theta} Y_{\theta}\right\} \\
&=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \partial_{r}\left(r^{2} Y_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{\sin \varphi} \partial_{\varphi}\left(\sin \varphi Y_{\varphi}\right)+\partial_{\theta} Y_{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Delta f=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \partial_{r}\left(r^{2} \partial_{r} f\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin \varphi} \partial_{\varphi}\left(\sin \varphi \partial_{\varphi} f\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f
$$

Example 3.27. Let $M=G=O(n)$ with Riemannian metric determined by Eq. (3.5) and for $A \in \mathfrak{g}:=T_{e} G$ let $\tilde{A} \in \Gamma(T G)$ be the left invariant vector field,

$$
\tilde{A}(x):=L_{x *} A=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} x e^{t A}
$$

as was done for $S L(n, \mathbb{R})$ in Example 2.34. Using the invariance of $d \lambda_{G}$ under right translations established in Example 3.14 we find for $f, h \in C^{1}(G)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{G} \tilde{A} f(x) \cdot h(x) d \lambda_{G}(x) & =\left.\int_{G} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} f\left(x e^{t A}\right) \cdot h(x) d \lambda_{G}(x) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \int_{G} f\left(x e^{t A}\right) \cdot h(x) d \lambda_{G}(x) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \int_{G} f(x) \cdot h\left(x e^{-t A}\right) d \lambda_{G}(x) \\
& =\left.\int_{G} f(x) \cdot \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} h\left(x e^{-t A}\right) d \lambda_{G}(x) \\
& =-\int_{G} f(x) \cdot \tilde{A} h(x) d \lambda_{G}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $h \equiv 1$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{G} \tilde{A} f(x) d \lambda_{G}(x)=\int_{G}\langle\tilde{A}(x), \vec{\nabla} f(x)\rangle d \lambda_{G}(x) \\
& =-\int_{G} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{A}(x) \cdot f(x) d \lambda_{G}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we learn $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{A}=0$.

Now letting $S_{0} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{g}$, because $L_{g *}$ is an isometry, $\left\{\tilde{A}(g): A \in S_{0}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_{g} G$ for all $g \in G$. Hence

$$
\vec{\nabla} f(g)=\sum_{A \in S_{0}}\langle\vec{\nabla} f(g), \tilde{A}(g)\rangle \tilde{A}(g)=\sum_{A \in S_{0}}(\tilde{A} f)(g) \tilde{A}(g)
$$

and, by the product rule and $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{A}=0$,

$$
\Delta f=\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} f=\sum_{A \in S_{0}} \vec{\nabla} \cdot[(\tilde{A} f) \tilde{A}]=\sum_{A \in S_{0}}\langle\vec{\nabla} \tilde{A} f, \tilde{A}\rangle=\sum_{A \in S_{0}} \tilde{A}^{2} f
$$

### 3.4. Covariant Derivatives and Curvature.

Definition 3.28. We say a smooth path $s \rightarrow V(s)$ in $T M$ is a vector-field along a smooth path $s \rightarrow \sigma(s)$ in $M$ if $\pi \circ V(s)=\sigma(s)$, i.e. $V(s) \in T_{\sigma(s)} M$ for all $s$. (Recall that $\pi$ is the canonical projection defined in Definition 2.16.)

Note: if $V$ is a smooth path in $T M$ then $V$ is a vector-field along $\sigma:=\pi \circ V$. This section is motivated by the desire to have the notion of the derivative of a smooth path $V(s) \in T M$. On one hand, since $T M$ is a manifold, we may write $V^{\prime}(s)$ as an element of TTM. However, this is not what we will want for later purposes. We would like the derivative of $V$ to again be a path back in $T M$, not in TTM. In order to define such a derivative, we will need to use more than just the manifold structure of $M$, see Definition 3.31 below.

Notation 3.29. In the sequel, we assume that $M^{d}$ is an imbedded submanifold of an inner product space $\left(E=\mathbb{R}^{N},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\right)$, and that $M$ is equipped with the inherited Riemannian metric. Also let $P(m)$ denote orthogonal projection of $E$ onto $\tau_{m} M$ for all $m \in M$ and $Q(m):=I-P(m)$ be orthogonal projection onto $\left(\tau_{m} M\right)^{\perp}$.

The following elementary lemma will be used throughout the sequel.
Lemma 3.30. The differentials of the orthogonal projection operators, $P$ and $Q$, satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =d P+d Q \\
P d Q & =-d P Q=d Q Q \text { and } \\
Q d P & =-d Q P=d P P
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular,

$$
Q d P Q=Q d Q Q=P d P P=P d Q P=0
$$

Proof. The first equality comes from differentiating the identity, $I=P+Q$, the second from differentiating $0=P Q$ and the third from differentiating $0=Q P$.

Definition 3.31 (Levi-Civita Covariant Derivative). Let $V(s)=(\sigma(s), v(s))=$ $v(s)_{\sigma(s)}$ be a smooth path in $T M$ (see Figure 9 , then the covariant derivative, $\nabla V(s) / d s$, is the vector field along $\sigma$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla V(s)}{d s}:=\left(\sigma(s), P(\sigma(s)) \frac{d}{d s} v(s)\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.32 (Properties of $\nabla / d s)$. Let $W(s)=(\sigma(s), w(s))$ and $V(s)=$ $(\sigma(s), v(s))$ be two smooth vector fields along a path $\sigma$ in $M$. Then:


Figure 9. The Levi-Civita covariant derivative.
(1) $\nabla W(s) / d s$ may be computed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla W(s)}{d s}:=\left(\sigma(s), \frac{d}{d s} w(s)+\left(d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)\right) w(s)\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $\nabla$ is metric compatible, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s}\langle W(s), V(s)\rangle=\left\langle\frac{\nabla W(s)}{d s}, V(s)\right\rangle+\left\langle W(s), \frac{\nabla V(s)}{d s}\right\rangle \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that $(s, t) \rightarrow \sigma(s, t)$ is a smooth function into $M, W(s, t)=$ $(\sigma(s, t), w(s, t))$ is a smooth function into $T M, \sigma^{\prime}(s, t):=\left(\sigma(s, t), \frac{d}{d s} \sigma(s, t)\right)$ and $\dot{\sigma}(s, t)=\left(\sigma(s, t), \frac{d}{d t} \sigma(s, t)\right)$. (Notice by assumption that $w(s, t) \in$ $T_{\sigma(s, t)} M$ for all $\left.(s, t).\right)$
(3) $\nabla$ has zero torsion, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla \sigma^{\prime}}{d t}=\frac{\nabla \dot{\sigma}}{d s} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) If $R$ is the curvature tensor of $\nabla$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right) w_{m}=\left(m,\left[d Q\left(u_{m}\right), d Q\left(v_{m}\right)\right] w\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\nabla}{d t}, \frac{\nabla}{d s}\right] W:=\left(\frac{\nabla}{d t} \frac{\nabla}{d s}-\frac{\nabla}{d s} \frac{\nabla}{d t}\right) W=R\left(\dot{\sigma}, \sigma^{\prime}\right) W \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Differentiate the identity, $P(\sigma(s)) w(s)=w(s)$, relative to $s$ implies

$$
\left(d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)\right) w(s)+P(\sigma(s)) \frac{d}{d s} w(s)=\frac{d}{d s} w(s)
$$

from which Eq. 3.25 follows.

For Eq. 3.26 just compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d s}\langle W(s), V(s)\rangle & =\frac{d}{d s}\langle w(s), v(s)\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\frac{d}{d s} w(s), v(s)\right\rangle+\left\langle w(s), \frac{d}{d s} v(s)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\frac{d}{d s} w(s), P(\sigma(s)) v(s)\right\rangle+\left\langle P(\sigma(s)) w(s), \frac{d}{d s} v(s)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle P(\sigma(s)) \frac{d}{d s} w(s), v(s)\right\rangle+\left\langle w(s), P(\sigma(s)) \frac{d}{d s} v(s)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\frac{\nabla W(s)}{d s}, V(s)\right\rangle+\left\langle W(s), \frac{\nabla V(s)}{d s}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where the third equality relies on $v(s)$ and $w(s)$ being in $\tau_{\sigma(s)} M$ and the fourth equality relies on $P(\sigma(s))$ being an orthogonal projection.

From the definitions of $\sigma^{\prime}, \dot{\sigma}, \nabla / d t, \nabla / d s$ and the fact that mixed partial derivatives commute,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nabla \sigma^{\prime}(s, t)}{d t} & =\frac{\nabla}{d t}\left(\sigma(t, s), \sigma^{\prime}(s, t)\right)=\left(\sigma(t, s), P(\sigma(s, t)) \frac{d}{d t} \frac{d}{d s} \sigma(t, s)\right) \\
& =\left(\sigma(t, s), P(\sigma(s, t)) \frac{d}{d s} \frac{d}{d t} \sigma(t, s)\right)=\nabla \dot{\sigma}(s, t) / d s
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Eq. (3.27).
For Eq. (3.29) we observe,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nabla}{d t} \frac{\nabla}{d s} W(s, t) & =\frac{\nabla}{d t}\left(\sigma(s, t), \frac{d}{d s} w(s, t)+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s, t)\right) w(s, t)\right) \\
& =\left(\sigma(s, t), \eta_{+}(s, t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where (with the arguments ( $s, t$ ) suppressed from the notation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{+} & =\frac{d}{d t}\left[\frac{d}{d s} w+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) w\right]+d Q(\dot{\sigma})\left[\frac{d}{d s} w+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) w\right] \\
& =\frac{d}{d t} \frac{d}{d s} w+\left(\frac{d}{d t}\left[d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) w+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \frac{d}{d t} w+d Q(\dot{\sigma}) \frac{d}{d s} w+d Q(\dot{\sigma}) d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) w
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left[\frac{\nabla}{d t}, \frac{\nabla}{d s}\right] W=\left(\sigma, \eta_{+}-\eta_{-}\right)
$$

where $\eta_{-}$is defined the same as $\eta_{+}$with all $s$ and $t$ derivatives interchanged. Hence, it follows (using again $\frac{d}{d t} \frac{d}{d s} w=\frac{d}{d s} \frac{d}{d t} w$ ) that

$$
\left[\frac{\nabla}{d t}, \frac{\nabla}{d s}\right] W=\left(\sigma,\left[\frac{d}{d t}\left(d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] w-\left[\frac{d}{d s}(d Q(\dot{\sigma}))\right] w+\left[d Q(\dot{\sigma}), d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)\right] w\right)
$$

The proof of Eq. 3.28 is finished because

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)\right)-\frac{d}{d s}(d Q(\dot{\sigma}))=\frac{d}{d t} \frac{d}{d s}(Q \circ \sigma)-\frac{d}{d s} \frac{d}{d t}(Q \circ \sigma)=0 .
$$

Example 3.33. Let $M=\left\{m \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|m|=\rho\right\}$ be the sphere of radius $\rho$. In this case $Q(m)=\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} m m^{\text {tr }}$ for all $m \in M$. Therefore

$$
d Q\left(v_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\left\{v m^{\operatorname{tr}}+m v^{\operatorname{tr}}\right\} \forall v_{m} \in T_{m} M
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
d Q\left(u_{m}\right) d Q\left(v_{m}\right) & =\frac{1}{\rho^{4}}\left\{u m^{\operatorname{tr}}+m u^{\operatorname{tr}}\right\}\left\{v m^{\operatorname{tr}}+m v^{\operatorname{tr}}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{\rho^{4}}\left\{\rho^{2} u v^{\operatorname{tr}}+\langle u, v\rangle Q(m)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

So the curvature tensor is given by

$$
R\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right) w_{m}=\left(m, \frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\left\{u v^{\operatorname{tr}}-v u^{\operatorname{tr}}\right\} w\right)=\left(m, \frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\{\langle v, w\rangle u-\langle u, w\rangle v\}\right)
$$

Exercise 3.34. Show the curvature tensor of the cylinder

$$
M=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\}
$$

is zero.
Definition 3.35 (Covariant Derivative on $\Gamma(T M)$ ). Suppose that $Y$ is a vector field on $M$ and $v_{m} \in T_{m} M$. Define $\nabla_{v_{m}} Y \in T_{m} M$ by

$$
\nabla_{v_{m}} Y:=\left.\frac{\nabla Y(\sigma(s))}{d s}\right|_{s=0}
$$

where $\sigma$ is any smooth path in $M$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}(0)=v_{m}$.
If $Y(m)=(m, y(m))$, then

$$
\nabla_{v_{m}} Y=\left(m, P(m) d y\left(v_{m}\right)\right)=\left(m, d y\left(v_{m}\right)+d Q\left(v_{m}\right) y(m)\right)
$$

from which it follows $\nabla_{v_{m}} Y$ is well defined, i.e. $\nabla_{v_{m}} Y$ is independent of the choice of $\sigma$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}(0)=v_{m}$. The following proposition relates curvature and torsion to the covariant derivative $\nabla$ on vector fields.

Proposition 3.36. Let $m \in M, v \in T_{m} M, X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T M)$, and $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, then the following relations hold.

1. Product Rule: $\nabla_{v}(f \cdot X)=d f(v) \cdot X(m)+f(m) \cdot \nabla_{v} X$.
2. Zero Torsion: $\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X-[X, Y]=0$.
3. Zero Torsion: For all $v_{m}, w_{m} \in T_{m} M, d Q\left(v_{m}\right) w_{m}=d Q\left(w_{m}\right) v_{m}$.
4. Curvature Tensor: $R(X, Y) Z=\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right] Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$, where

$$
\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right] Z:=\nabla_{X}\left(\nabla_{Y} Z\right)-\nabla_{Y}\left(\nabla_{X} Z\right)
$$

Moreover if $u, v, w, z \in T_{m} M$, then $R$ has the following symmetries
a: $R\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right)=-R\left(v_{m}, u_{m}\right)$
$\mathbf{b}:\left[R\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{tr}}=-R\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right)$ and
c: if $z_{m} \in \tau_{m} M$, then

$$
\left\langle R\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right) w_{m}, z_{m}\right\rangle=\left\langle R\left(w_{m}, z_{m}\right) u_{m}, v_{m}\right\rangle .
$$

5. Ricci Curvature Tensor: For each $m \in M$, let $\operatorname{Ric}_{m}: T_{m} M \rightarrow T_{m} M$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{m} v_{m}:=\sum_{a \in S} R\left(v_{m}, a\right) a \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S \subset T_{m} M$ is an orthonormal basis. Then $\operatorname{Ric}_{m}^{\mathrm{tr}}=\operatorname{Ric}_{m}$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{m}$ may be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\operatorname{Ric}_{m} u, v\right\rangle=\operatorname{tr}(d Q(d Q(u) v)-d Q(v) d Q(u)) \text { for all } u, v \in T_{m} M \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The product rule is easily checked and may be left to the reader. For the second and third items, write $X(m)=(m, x(m)), Y(m)=(m, y(m))$, and $Z(m)=(m, z(m))$ where $x, y, z: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ are smooth functions such that $x(m)$, $y(m)$, and $z(m)$ are in $\tau_{m} M$ for all $m \in M$. Then using Eq. 2.15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X\right)(m) & =(m, P(m)(d y(X(m))-d x(Y(m)))) \\
& =(m,(d y(X(m))-d x(Y(m))))=[X, Y](m) \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

which proves the second item. Since $\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)(m)$ may also be written as

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)(m)=(m, d y(X(m))+d Q(X(m)) y(m))
$$

Eq. 3.33 may be expressed as $d Q(X(m)) y(m)=d Q(Y(m)) x(m)$ which implies the third item.

Similarly for fourth item:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z & =\nabla_{X}(\cdot, Y z+(Y Q) z) \\
& =(\cdot, X Y z+(X Y Q) z+(Y Q) X z+(X Q)(Y z+(Y Q) z))
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y Q:=d Q(Y)$ and $Y z:=d z(Y)$. Interchanging $X$ and $Y$ in this last expression and then subtracting gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right] Z } & =(\cdot,[X, Y] z+([X, Y] Q) z+[X Q, Y Q] z) \\
& =\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z+R(X, Y) Z
\end{aligned}
$$

The anti-symmetry properties in items 4 a ) and 4 b ) follow easily from Eq. (3.28). For example for 4 b$), d Q\left(u_{m}\right)$ and $d Q\left(v_{m}\right)$ are symmetric operators and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[R\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right)\right]^{\operatorname{tr}} } & =\left[d Q\left(u_{m}\right), d Q\left(v_{m}\right)\right]^{\operatorname{tr}}=\left[d Q\left(v_{m}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}, d Q\left(u_{m}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}\right] \\
& =\left[d Q\left(v_{m}\right), d Q\left(u_{m}\right)\right]=-\left[d Q\left(u_{m}\right), d Q\left(v_{m}\right)\right]=-R\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove Eq. (3.30) we make use of the zero - torsion condition $d Q\left(v_{m}\right) w_{m}=$ $d Q\left(w_{m}\right) v_{m}$ and the fact that $d Q\left(u_{m}\right)$ is symmetric to learn

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle R\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right) w, z\right\rangle & =\left\langle\left[d Q\left(u_{m}\right), d Q\left(v_{m}\right)\right] w, z\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left[d Q\left(u_{m}\right) d Q\left(v_{m}\right)-d Q\left(v_{m}\right) d Q\left(u_{m}\right)\right] w, z\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle d Q\left(v_{m}\right) w, d Q\left(u_{m}\right) z\right\rangle-\left\langle d Q\left(u_{m}\right) w, d Q\left(v_{m}\right) z\right\rangle \\
& =\langle d Q(w) v, d Q(z) u\rangle-\langle d Q(w) u, d Q(z) v\rangle  \tag{3.34}\\
& =\langle[d Q(z), d Q(w)] v, u\rangle=\langle R(z, w) v, u\rangle=\langle R(w, z) u, v\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the anti-symmetry properties in 4a. and 4b. By Eq. 3.34 with $v=w=a$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\operatorname{Ric} u, z\rangle & =\sum_{a \in S}\langle R(u, a) a, z\rangle \\
& =\sum_{a \in S}[\langle d Q(a) a, d Q(u) z\rangle-\langle d Q(u) a, d Q(a) z\rangle] \\
& =\sum_{a \in S}[\langle a, d Q(a) d Q(u) z\rangle-\langle d Q(u) a, d Q(z) a\rangle] \\
& =\sum_{a \in S}[\langle a, d Q(d Q(u) z) a\rangle-\langle d Q(z) d Q(u) a, a\rangle] \\
& =\operatorname{tr}(d Q(d Q(u) z)-d Q(z) d Q(u))
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Eq. 3.32). The assertion that $\operatorname{Ric}_{m}: T_{m} M \rightarrow T_{m} M$ is a symmetric operator follows easily from this formula and item 3.
Notation 3.37. To each $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, let $\partial_{v}$ denote the vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ defined by

$$
\partial_{v}(\text { at } x)=v_{x}=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0}(x+t v) .
$$

So if $F \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then

$$
\left(\partial_{v} F\right)(x):=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} F(x+t v)=F^{\prime}(x) v
$$

and

$$
\left(\partial_{v} \partial_{w} F\right)(x)=F^{\prime \prime}(x)(v, w)
$$

see Notation 2.1 .
Notice that if $w: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a function and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, then

$$
\left(\partial_{v} \partial_{w} F\right)(x)=\partial_{v}\left[F^{\prime}(\cdot) w(\cdot)\right](x)=F^{\prime}(x) \partial_{v} w(x)+F^{\prime \prime}(x)(v, w(x))
$$

The following variant of item 4. of Proposition 3.36 will be useful in proving the key Bochner-Weitenböck identity in Theorem 3.49 below.

Proposition 3.38. Suppose that $Z \in \Gamma(T M), v, w \in T_{m} M$ and let $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ such that $X(m)=v$ and $Y(m)=w$. Then
(1) $\nabla_{v \otimes w}^{2} Z$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{v \otimes w}^{2} Z:=\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{\nabla_{X} Y} Z\right)(m) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined, independent of the possible choices for $X$ and $Y$.
(2) If $Z(m)=(m, z(m))$ with $z: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ a smooth function such $z(m) \in$ $\tau_{m} M$ for all $m \in M$, then
$\nabla_{v \otimes w}^{2} Z=d Q(v) d Q(w) z(m)+P(m) z^{\prime \prime}(m)(v, w)-P(m) z^{\prime}(m)[d Q(v) w]$.
(3) The curvature tensor $R(v, w)$ may be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{v \otimes w}^{2} Z-\nabla_{w \otimes v}^{2} Z=R(v, w) Z(m) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) If $V$ is a smooth vector field along a path $\sigma(s)$ in $M$, then the following product rule holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla}{d s}\left(\nabla_{V(s)} Z\right)=\left(\nabla_{\frac{\nabla}{d s} V(s)} Z\right)+\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes V(s)}^{2} Z \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will prove items 1. and 2. by showing the right sides of Eq. 3.35) and Eq. 3.36) are equal. To do this write $X(m)=(m, x(m)), Y(m)=(m, y(m))$, and $Z(m)=(m, z(m))$ where $x, y, z: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ are smooth functions such that $x(m), y(m)$, and $z(m)$ are in $\tau_{m} M$ for all $m \in M$. Then, suppressing $m$ from the notation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{\nabla_{X} Y} Z & =P \partial_{x}\left[P \partial_{y} z\right]-P \partial_{P \partial_{x} y} z \\
& =P\left(\partial_{x} P\right) \partial_{y} z+P \partial_{x} \partial_{y} z-P \partial_{P \partial_{x} y} z \\
& =P\left(\partial_{x} P\right) \partial_{y} z+P z^{\prime \prime}(x, y)+P z^{\prime}\left[\partial_{x} y-P \partial_{x} y\right] \\
& =\left(\partial_{x} P\right) Q \partial_{y} z+P z^{\prime \prime}(x, y)+P z^{\prime}\left[Q \partial_{x} y\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating the identity, $Q y=0$ on $M$ shows $Q \partial_{x} y=-\left(\partial_{x} Q\right) y$ which combined with the previous equation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{\nabla_{X} Y} Z & =\left(\partial_{x} P\right) Q \partial_{y} z+P z^{\prime \prime}(x, y)-P z^{\prime}\left[\left(\partial_{x} Q\right) Y\right]  \tag{3.39}\\
& =-\left(\partial_{x} P\right)\left(\partial_{y} Q\right) z+P z^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)-P z^{\prime}\left[\left(\partial_{x} Q\right) Y\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluating this expression at $m$ proves the right side of Eq. 3.36).
Equation (3.37) now follows from Eqs. (3.36) and (3.28), item 3. of Proposition 3.36 and the fact the $z^{\prime \prime}(v, w)=z^{\prime \prime}(w, v)$ because mixed partial derivatives commute.

We give two proofs of Eq. (3.38). For the first proof, choose local vector fields $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ defined in a neighborhood of $\sigma(s)$ such that $\left\{E_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is a basis for $T_{\sigma(s)} M$ for each $s$. We may then write $V(s)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{i}(s) E_{i}(\sigma(s))$ and therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla}{d s} V(s)=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\{V_{i}^{\prime}(s) E_{i}(\sigma(s))+V_{i}(s) \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} E_{i}\right\} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nabla}{d s}\left(\nabla_{V(s)} Z\right) & =\frac{\nabla}{d s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{i}(s)\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} Z\right)(\sigma(s))\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{i}^{\prime}(s)\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} Z\right)(\sigma(s))+\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{i}(s) \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)}\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} Z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Eq. 3.35,

$$
\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)}\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} Z\right)=\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes E_{i}(\sigma(s))}^{2} Z+\left(\nabla_{\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} E_{i}} Z\right)
$$

and using this in the previous equation along with Eq. 3.40 shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nabla}{d s}\left(\nabla_{V(s)} Z\right) & =\nabla_{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\{V_{i}^{\prime}(s) E_{i}(\sigma(s))+V_{i}(s) \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} E_{i}\right\}} Z+\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{i}(s) \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes E_{i}(\sigma(s))}^{2} Z \\
& =\left(\nabla_{\frac{\nabla}{d s} V(s)} Z\right)+\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes V(s)}^{2} Z
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second proof, write $V(s)=(\sigma(s), v(s))=v(s)_{\sigma(s)}$ and $p(s):=$ $P(\sigma(s))$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nabla}{d s}\left(\nabla_{V} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{\frac{\nabla}{d s} V} Z\right) & =p \frac{d}{d s}\left(p z^{\prime}(v)\right)-p z^{\prime}\left(p v^{\prime}\right) \\
& =p\left[p^{\prime} z^{\prime}(v)+p z^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma^{\prime}, v\right)+p z^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right]-p z^{\prime}\left(p v^{\prime}\right) \\
& =p p^{\prime} z^{\prime}(v)+p z^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma^{\prime}, v\right)+p z^{\prime}\left(q v^{\prime}\right) \\
& =p^{\prime} q z^{\prime}(v)+p z^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma^{\prime}, v\right)-p z^{\prime}\left(q^{\prime} v\right) \\
& =\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes V(s)}^{2} Z
\end{aligned}
$$

wherein the last equation we have made use of Eq. 3.39.

### 3.5. Formulas for the Divergence and the Laplacian.

Theorem 3.39. Let $Y$ be a vector field on $M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} Y=\operatorname{tr}(\nabla Y) \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note: $\left(v_{m} \rightarrow \nabla_{v_{m}} Y\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{m} M\right)$ for each $m \in M$, so it makes sense to take the trace.) Consequently, if $f$ is a smooth function on $M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f=\operatorname{tr}(\nabla \operatorname{grad} f) \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x$ be a chart on $M, \partial_{i}:=\partial / \partial x^{i}, \nabla_{i}:=\nabla_{\partial_{i}}$, and $Y^{i}:=d x^{i}(Y)$. Then by the product rule and the fact that $\nabla$ is Torsion free (item 2. of the Proposition 3.36,

$$
\nabla_{i} Y=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \nabla_{i}\left(Y^{j} \partial_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(\partial_{i} Y^{j} \partial_{j}+Y^{j} \nabla_{i} \partial_{j}\right)
$$

and $\nabla_{i} \partial_{j}=\nabla_{j} \partial_{i}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}(\nabla Y) & =\sum_{i=1}^{d} d x^{i}\left(\nabla_{i} Y\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{i} Y^{i}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} d x^{i}\left(Y^{j} \nabla_{i} \partial_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{i} Y^{i}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} d x^{i}\left(Y^{j} \nabla_{j} \partial_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, according to Eq. (3.20), to finish the proof it suffices to show that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d} d x^{i}\left(\nabla_{j} \partial_{i}\right)=\partial_{j} \log \sqrt{g}
$$

From Lemma 2.7

$$
\partial_{j} \log \sqrt{g}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{j} \log (\operatorname{det} g)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(g^{-1} \partial_{j} g\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l=1}^{d} g^{k l} \partial_{j} g_{k l}
$$

and using Eq. (3.26) we have

$$
\partial_{j} g_{k l}=\partial_{j}\left\langle\partial_{k}, \partial_{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle\nabla_{j} \partial_{k}, \partial_{l}\right\rangle+\left\langle\partial_{k}, \nabla_{j} \partial_{l}\right\rangle .
$$

Combining the last two equations along with the symmetry of $g^{k l}$ implies

$$
\partial_{j} \log \sqrt{g}=\sum_{k, l=1}^{d} g^{k l}\left\langle\nabla_{j} \partial_{k}, \partial_{l}\right\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{d} d x^{k}\left(\nabla_{j} \partial_{k}\right)
$$

where we have used

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{d} g^{k l}\left\langle\cdot, \partial_{l}\right\rangle=d x^{k}
$$

This last equality is easily verified by applying both sides of this equation to $\partial_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

Definition 3.40 (One forms). A one form $\omega$ on $M$ is a smooth function $\omega$ : $T M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega_{m}:=\left.\omega\right|_{T_{m} M}$ is linear for all $m \in M$. Note: if $x$ is a chart of $M$ with $m \in \mathcal{D}(x)$, then

$$
\omega_{m}=\left.\sum_{i=1}^{d} \omega_{i}(m) d x^{i}\right|_{T_{m} M},
$$

where $\omega_{i}:=\omega\left(\partial / \partial x^{i}\right)$. The condition that $\omega$ is smooth is equivalent to the condition that each of the functions $\omega_{i}$ is smooth on $M$. Let $\Omega^{1}(M)$ denote the smooth oneforms on $M$.

Given a one form, $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(M)$, there is a unique vector field $X$ on $M$ such that $\omega_{m}=\langle X(m), \cdot\rangle_{m}$ for all $m \in M$. Using this observation, we may extend the definition of $\nabla$ to one forms by requiring

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{v_{m}} \omega:=\left\langle\nabla_{v_{m}} X, \cdot\right\rangle \in T_{m}^{*} M:=\left(T_{m} M\right)^{*} . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.41 (Product Rule). Keep the notation of the above paragraph. Let $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m}[\omega(Y)]=\left(\nabla_{v_{m}} \omega\right)(Y(m))+\omega\left(\nabla_{v_{m}} Y\right) \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\theta: M \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{*}$ is a smooth function and

$$
\omega\left(v_{m}\right):=\theta(m) v
$$

for all $v_{m} \in T M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{v_{m}} \omega\right)\left(w_{m}\right)=d \theta\left(v_{m}\right) w-\theta(m) d Q\left(v_{m}\right) w=\left(d(\theta P)\left(v_{m}\right)\right) w \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\theta P)(m):=\theta(m) P(m) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{*}$.
Proof. Using the metric compatibility of $\nabla$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{m}(\omega(Y)) & =v_{m}(\langle X, Y\rangle)=\left\langle\nabla_{v_{m}} X, Y(m)\right\rangle+\left\langle X(m), \nabla_{v_{m}} Y\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\nabla_{v_{m}} \omega\right)(Y(m))+\omega\left(\nabla_{v_{m}} Y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Writing $Y(m)=(m, y(m))=y(m)_{m}$ and using Eq. (3.44), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{v_{m}} \omega\right)(Y(m)) & =v_{m}(\omega(Y))-\omega\left(\nabla_{v_{m}} Y\right) \\
& =v_{m}(\theta(\cdot) y(\cdot))-\theta(m)\left(d y\left(v_{m}\right)+d Q\left(v_{m}\right) y(m)\right) \\
& =\left(d \theta\left(v_{m}\right)\right) y(m)-\theta(m)\left(d Q\left(v_{m}\right)\right) y(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $Y$ such that $Y(m)=w_{m}$ proves the first equality in Eq. 3.45. The second equality in Eq. 3.45 is a simple consequence of the formula

$$
d(\theta P)=d \theta(\cdot) P+\theta d P=d \theta(\cdot) P-\theta d Q
$$

Before continuing, let us record the following useful corollary of the previous proof.

Corollary 3.42. To every one - form $\omega$ on $M$, there exists $f_{i}, g_{i} \in C^{\infty}(M)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i} d g_{i} . \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f_{i}(m):=\theta(m) P(m) e_{i}$ and $g_{i}(m)=x^{i}(m)=\left\langle m, e_{i}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}$ where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $P(m)$ is orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ onto $\tau_{m} M$ for each $m \in M$.

Definition 3.43. For $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $v_{m}, w_{m}$ in $T_{m} M$, let

$$
\nabla d f\left(v_{m}, w_{m}\right):=\left(\nabla_{v_{m}} d f\right)\left(w_{m}\right),
$$

so that

$$
\nabla d f: \cup_{m \in M}\left(T_{m} M \times T_{m} M\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} .
$$

We call $\nabla d f$ the Hessian of $f$.
Lemma 3.44. Let $f \in C^{\infty}(M), F \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $f=\left.F\right|_{M}, X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ and $v_{m}, w_{m} \in T_{m} M$. Then:
(1) $\nabla d f(X, Y)=X Y f-d f\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)$.
(2) $\nabla d f\left(v_{m}, w_{m}\right)=F^{\prime \prime}(m)(v, w)-F^{\prime}(m) d Q\left(v_{m}\right) w$.
(3) $\nabla d f\left(v_{m}, w_{m}\right)=\nabla d f\left(w_{m}, v_{m}\right)-$ another manifestation of zero torsion.

Proof. Using the product rule (see Eq. (3.44)):

$$
X Y f=X(d f(Y))=\left(\nabla_{X} d f\right)(Y)+d f\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right),
$$

and hence

$$
\nabla d f(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{X} d f\right)(Y)=X Y f-d f\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right) .
$$

This proves item 1. From this last equation and Proposition 3.36 ( $\nabla$ has zero torsion), it follows that

$$
\nabla d f(X, Y)-\nabla d f(Y, X)=[X, Y] f-d f\left(\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X\right)=0 .
$$

This proves the third item upon choosing $X$ and $Y$ such that $X(m)=v_{m}$ and $Y(m)=w_{m}$. Item 2 follows easily from Lemma 3.41 applied with $\theta:=F^{\prime}$.

Definition 3.45. Given a point $m \in M$, a local orthonormal frame $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ at $m$ is a collection of local vector fields defined near $m$ such that $\left\{E_{i}(p)\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_{p} M$ for all $p$ near $m$.
Corollary 3.46. Suppose that $F \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), f:=\left.F\right|_{M}$, and $m \in M$. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\tau_{m} M$ and let $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ be an orthonormal frame near $m \in M$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta f(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \nabla d f\left(E_{i}(m), E_{i}(m)\right),  \tag{3.47}\\
\left.\Delta f(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\{E_{i} E_{i} f\right)(m)-d f\left(\nabla_{E_{i}(m)} E_{i}\right)\right\}, \tag{3.48}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} F^{\prime \prime}(m)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)-F^{\prime}(m)\left(d Q\left(E_{i}(m)\right) e_{i}\right) \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{i}(m):=\left(m, e_{i}\right)$.
Proof. By Theorem 3.39, $\Delta f=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle\nabla_{E_{i}} \operatorname{grad} f, E_{i}\right\rangle$ and by Eq. (3.43), $\nabla_{E_{i}} d f=\left\langle\nabla_{E_{i}} \operatorname{grad} f, \cdot\right\rangle$. Therefore

$$
\Delta f=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} d f\right)\left(E_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \nabla d f\left(E_{i}, E_{i}\right)
$$

which proves Eq. (3.47). Equations (3.48) and (3.49) follows from Eq. (3.47) and Lemma 3.44.

Notation 3.47. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be the standard basis on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and define $X_{i}(m):=$ $P(m) e_{i}$ for all $m \in M$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, N$.

In the next proposition we will express the gradient, divergence and the Laplacian in terms of the vector fields, $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N .}$. These formula will prove very useful when we start discussing Brownian motion on $M$.

Proposition 3.48. Let $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ then
(1) $v_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle v_{m}, X_{i}(m)\right\rangle X_{i}(m)$ for all $v_{m} \in T_{m} M$.
(2) $\vec{\nabla} f=\operatorname{grad} f=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} f \cdot X_{i}$
(3) $\vec{\nabla} \cdot Y=\operatorname{div}(Y)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\nabla_{X_{i}} Y, X_{i}\right\rangle$
(4) $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_{X_{i}} X_{i}=0$
(5) $\Delta f=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}^{2} f$.

Proof. 1. The main point is to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}(m) \otimes X_{i}(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{i} \otimes u_{i} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_{m} M$. But this is easily proved since

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}(m) \otimes X_{i}(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} P(m) e_{i} \otimes P(m) e_{i}
$$

and the latter expression is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Hence if we choose $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ so that $e_{i}=u_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} P(m) e_{i} \otimes P(m) e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{i} \otimes u_{i}
$$

as desired. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle v_{m}, X_{i}(m)\right\rangle X_{i}(m)$ is quadratic in $X_{i}$, it now follows that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle v_{m}, X_{i}(m)\right\rangle X_{i}(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle v_{m}, u_{i}\right\rangle u_{i}=v_{m}
$$

2. This is an immediate consequence of item 1 :

$$
\operatorname{grad} f(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\operatorname{grad} f(m), X_{i}(m)\right\rangle X_{i}(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} f(m) \cdot X_{i}(m) .
$$

3. Again $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\nabla_{X_{i}} Y, X_{i}\right\rangle(m)$ is quadratic in $X_{i}$ and so by Eq. 3.50 and Theorem 3.39 .

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\nabla_{X_{i}} Y, X_{i}\right\rangle(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle\nabla_{u_{i}} Y, u_{i}\right\rangle(m)=\operatorname{div}(Y)
$$

4. By definition of $X_{i}$ and $\nabla$ and using Lemma 3.30,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} X_{i}\right)(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} P(m) d P\left(X_{i}(m)\right) e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} d P\left(P(m) e_{i}\right) Q(m) e_{i} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter expression is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. So again we may choose $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ so that $e_{i}=u_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$, in which case $P(m) e_{j}=0$ for $j>d$ and so each summand in the right member of Eq. 3.51) is zero.
5. To compute $\Delta f$, use items 2.- 4., the definition of $\vec{\nabla} f$ and the product rule to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta f & =\vec{\nabla} \cdot(\vec{\nabla} f)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\nabla_{X_{i}} \vec{\nabla} f, X_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f, X_{i}\right\rangle-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f, \nabla_{X_{i}} X_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} X_{i} f .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following commutation formulas are at the heart of many of the results to appear in the latter sections of these note.

Theorem 3.49 (The Bochner-Weitenböck Identity). Let $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $a, b, c \in$ $T_{m} M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla_{a \otimes b}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, c\right\rangle=\left\langle\nabla_{a \otimes c}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, b\right\rangle \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $S \subset T_{m} M$ is an orthonormal basis, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a \in S} \nabla_{a \otimes a}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f=(\operatorname{grad} \Delta f)(m)+\operatorname{Ric} \vec{\nabla} f(m) \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is the first indication that the Ricci tensor is going to play an important role in later developments. The proof will be given after the next technical lemma which will be helpful in simplifying the proof of the theorem.
Lemma 3.50. Given $m \in M$ and $v \in T_{m} M$ there exists $V \in \Gamma(T M)$ such that $V(m)=v$ and $\nabla_{w} V=0$ for all $w \in T_{m} M$. Moreover if $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_{m} M$, there exists a local orthonormal frame $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ near $m$ such that $\nabla_{w} E_{i}=0$ for all $w \in T_{m} M$.

Proof. In the proof to follow it is assume that $V, Q$ and $P$ have all been extended off $M$ to smooth function on the ambient space. If $V$ is to exist, we must have

$$
0=\nabla_{w} V=V^{\prime}(m) w+\partial_{w} Q(m) v
$$

i.e.

$$
V^{\prime}(m) w=-\partial_{w} Q(m) v \text { for all } w \in T_{m} M
$$

This helps to motivate defining $V$ by

$$
V(x):=P(x)\left(v-\left(\partial_{x-m} Q\right)(m) v\right) \in T_{x} M \text { for all } x \in M
$$

By construction, $V(m)=v$ and making use of the identities in Lemma 3.30,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{w} V & =\left.\partial_{w}\left[P(x)\left(v-\left(\partial_{x-m} Q\right)(m) v\right)\right]\right|_{x=m}+\left(\partial_{w} Q\right)(m) v \\
& =\left(\partial_{w} P\right)(m) v-P(m)\left(\partial_{w} Q\right)(m) v+\left(\partial_{w} Q\right)(m) v \\
& =\left(\partial_{w} P\right)(m) v+Q(m)\left(\partial_{w} Q\right)(m) v=\left(\partial_{w} P\right)(m) v+\left(\partial_{w} Q\right)(m) v=0
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
For the second assertion, choose a local frame $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ such that $V_{i}(m)=e_{i}$ and $\nabla_{w} V_{i}=0$ for all $i$ and $w \in T_{m} M$. The desired frame $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is now constructed by performing Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization on $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$. The resulting orthonormal frame, $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$, still satisfies $\nabla_{w} E_{i}=0$ for all $w \in T_{m} M$. For example, $E_{1}=\left\langle V_{1}, V_{1}\right\rangle^{-1 / 2} V_{1}$ and since

$$
w\left\langle V_{1}, V_{1}\right\rangle=2\left\langle\nabla_{w} V_{1}, V_{1}(m)\right\rangle=0
$$

it follows that

$$
\nabla_{w} E_{1}=w\left(\left\langle V_{1}, V_{1}\right\rangle^{-1 / 2}\right) \cdot V_{1}(m)+\left\langle V_{1}, V_{1}\right\rangle^{-1 / 2}(m) \nabla_{w} V_{1}(m)=0
$$

The similar verifications that $\nabla_{w} E_{j}=0$ for $j=2, \ldots, d$ will be left to the reader.
Proof. (Proof of Theorem 3.49.) Let $a, b, c \in T_{m} M$ and suppose $A, B, C \in$ $\Gamma(T M)$ have been chosen as in Lemma 3.50, so that $A(m)=a, B(m)=b$ and $C(m)=c$ with $\nabla_{w} A=\nabla_{w} B=\nabla_{w} C=0$ for all $w \in T_{m} M$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A B C f & =A B\langle\vec{\nabla} f, C\rangle=A\left\langle\nabla_{B} \vec{\nabla} f, C\right\rangle+A\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f, \nabla_{B} C\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\nabla_{A} \nabla_{B} \vec{\nabla} f, C\right\rangle+\left\langle\nabla_{B} \vec{\nabla} f, \nabla_{A} C\right\rangle+A\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f, \nabla_{B} C\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

which evaluated at $m$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A B C f)(m) & =\left(\left\langle\nabla_{A} \nabla_{B} \vec{\nabla} f, C\right\rangle+A\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f, \nabla_{B} C\right\rangle\right)(m) \\
& =\left\langle\nabla_{a \otimes b}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, c\right\rangle+\left(A\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f, \nabla_{B} C\right\rangle\right)(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

wherein the last equality we have used $\left(\nabla_{A} B\right)(m)=0$. Interchanging $B$ and $C$ in this equation and subtracting then implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A[B, C] f)(m) & =\left\langle\nabla_{a \otimes b}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, c\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla_{a \otimes c}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, b\right\rangle+\left(A\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f, \nabla_{B} C-\nabla_{C} B\right\rangle\right)(m) \\
& =\left\langle\nabla_{a \otimes b}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, c\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla_{a \otimes c}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, b\right\rangle+(A\langle\vec{\nabla} f,[B, C]\rangle)(m) \\
& =\left\langle\nabla_{a \otimes b}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, c\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla_{a \otimes c}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, b\right\rangle+(A[B, C] f)(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

and this equation implies Eq. 3.52 .
Now suppose that $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d} \subset T_{m} M$ is an orthonormal frame as in Lemma 3.50 and $e_{i}=E_{i}(m)$. Then, using Proposition 3.38.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i} \otimes e_{i}}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, c\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i} \otimes c}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, e_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle\nabla_{c \otimes e_{i}}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f+R\left(e_{i}, c\right) \vec{\nabla} f(m), e_{i}\right\rangle \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle\nabla_{c \otimes e_{i}}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, e_{i}\right\rangle & =\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{C} \nabla_{E_{i}} \vec{\nabla} f, E_{i}\right\rangle\right)(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(C\left\langle\nabla_{E_{i}} \vec{\nabla} f, E_{i}\right\rangle\right)(m) \\
& =(C \Delta f)(m)=\langle(\vec{\nabla} \Delta f)(m), c\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and (using $\left.R\left(e_{i}, c\right)^{\mathrm{tr}}=R\left(c, e_{i}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle R\left(e_{i}, c\right) \vec{\nabla} f(m), e_{i}\right\rangle & =\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f(m), R\left(c, e_{i}\right) e_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\langle\vec{\nabla} f(m), \operatorname{Ric} c\rangle=\langle\operatorname{Ric} \vec{\nabla} f(m), c\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Eq. (3.54) is implies

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i} \otimes e_{i}}^{2} \vec{\nabla} f, c\right\rangle=\langle(\vec{\nabla} \Delta f)(m)+\operatorname{Ric} \vec{\nabla} f(m), c\rangle
$$

which proves Eq. 3.53 since $c \in T_{m} M$ was arbitrary.

### 3.6. Parallel Translation.

Definition 3.51. Let $V$ be a smooth path in $T M$. $V$ is said to parallel or covariantly constant if $\nabla V(s) / d s \equiv 0$.
Theorem 3.52. Let $\sigma$ be a smooth path in $M$ and $\left(v_{0}\right)_{\sigma(0)} \in T_{\sigma(0)} M$. Then there exists a unique smooth vector field $V$ along $\sigma$ such that $V$ is parallel and $V(0)=$ $\left(v_{0}\right)_{\sigma(0)}$. Moreover if $V(s)$ and $W(s)$ are parallel along $\sigma$, then $\langle V(s), W(s)\rangle=$ $\langle V(0), W(0)\rangle$ for all $s$.

Proof. If $V$ and $W$ are parallel, then

$$
\frac{d}{d s}\langle V(s), W(s)\rangle=\left\langle\frac{\nabla}{d s} V(s), W(s)\right\rangle+\left\langle V(s), \frac{\nabla}{d s} W(s)\right\rangle=0
$$

which proves the last assertion of the theorem. If a parallel vector field $V(s)=$ $(\sigma(s), v(s))$ along $\sigma(s)$ is to exist, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v(s) / d s+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) v(s)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad v(0)=v_{0} \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

By existence and uniqueness of solutions to ordinary differential equations, there is exactly one solution to Eq. 3.55. Hence, if $V$ exists it is unique.

Now let $v$ be the unique solution to Eq. 3.55 and set $V(s):=(\sigma(s), v(s))$. To finish the proof it suffices to show that $v(s) \in \tau_{\sigma(s)} M$. Equivalently, we must show that $w(s):=q(s) v(s)$ is identically zero, where $q(s):=Q(\sigma(s))$. Letting $v^{\prime}(s)=d v(s) / d s$ and $p(s)=P(\sigma(s))$, then Eq. 3.55 states $v^{\prime}=-q^{\prime} v$ and from Lemma 3.30 we have $p q^{\prime}=q^{\prime} q$. Thus the function $w$ satisfies

$$
w^{\prime}=q^{\prime} v+q v^{\prime}=q^{\prime} v-q q^{\prime} v=p q^{\prime} v=q^{\prime} q v=q^{\prime} w
$$

with $w(0)=0$. But this linear ordinary differential equation has $w \equiv 0$ as its unique solution.

Definition 3.53 (Parallel Translation). Given a smooth path $\sigma$, let $/ /{ }_{s}(\sigma)$ : $T_{\sigma(0)} M \rightarrow T_{\sigma(s)} M$ be defined by $/ / s(\sigma)\left(v_{0}\right)_{\sigma(0)}=V(s)$, where $V$ is the unique parallel vector field along $\sigma$ such that $V(0)=\left(v_{0}\right)_{\sigma(0)}$. We call $/ / s(\sigma)$ parallel translation along $\sigma$ up to time $s$.

Remark 3.54. Notice that $/ / s(\sigma) v_{\sigma(0)}=(u(s) v)_{\sigma(0)}$, where $s \rightarrow u(s) \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\tau_{\sigma(0)} M, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is the unique solution to the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(s)+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) u(s)=0 \quad \text { with } \quad u(0)=P(\sigma(0)) \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of Theorem 3.52 $u(s): \tau_{\sigma(0)} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an isometry for all $s$ and the range of $u(s)$ is $\tau_{\sigma(s)} \bar{M}$. Moreover, if we let $\bar{u}(s)$ denote the solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}^{\prime}(s)-\bar{u}(s) d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)=0 \text { with } \bar{u}(0)=P(\sigma(0)), \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d s}[\bar{u}(s) u(s)] & =\bar{u}^{\prime}(s) u(s)+\bar{u}(s) u^{\prime}(s) \\
& =\bar{u}(s) d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) u(s)-\bar{u}(s) d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) u(s)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\bar{u}(s) u(s)=P(\sigma(0))$ for all $s$ and therefore $\bar{u}(s)$ is the inverse to $u(s)$ thought of as an linear operator from $\tau_{\sigma(0)} M$ to $\tau_{\sigma(s)} M$. See also Lemma 3.57 below.

The following techniques for computing covariant derivatives will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 3.55. Suppose $Y \in \Gamma(T M), \sigma(s)$ is a path in $M, W(s)=(\sigma(s), w(s))$ is a vector field along $\sigma$ and let $/ /_{s}=/ / s(\sigma)$ be parallel translation along $\sigma$. Then
(1) $\frac{\nabla}{d s} W(s)=/ / s \frac{d}{d s}\left[/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} W(s)\right]$.
(2) For any $v \in T_{\sigma(0)} M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla}{d s} \nabla_{/ / s v} Y=\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes / /{ }_{s} v}^{2} Y \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes / / s v}^{2} Y$ was defined in Proposition 3.38 .
Proof. Let $\bar{u}$ be as in Eq. (3.57). From Eq. 3.25,

$$
\left.\frac{\nabla W(s)}{d s}=\left(\frac{d}{d s} w(s)+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)\right) w(s)\right)_{\sigma(s)}
$$

while, using Remark 3.54 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d s}\left[/ /_{s}^{-1} W(s)\right] & =\left(\frac{d}{d s}[\bar{u}(s) w(s)]\right)_{\sigma(s)} \\
& =\left(\bar{u}^{\prime}(s) W(s)+\bar{u}(s) w^{\prime}(s)\right)_{\sigma(s)} \\
& =\left(\bar{u}(s) d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) w(s)+\bar{u}(s) w^{\prime}(s)\right)_{\sigma(s)} \\
& =/ /_{s}^{-1} \frac{\nabla W(s)}{d s}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the first item. We will give two proves of the second item, the first proof being extrinsic while the second will be intrinsic. In each of these proofs there will be an implied sum on repeated indices.

First proof. Let $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N} \subset \Gamma(T M)$ be as in Notation 3.47 , then by Proposition 3.48

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ /{ }_{s} v=\left\langle/ / s v, X_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle X_{i}(\sigma(s))=\left\langle v, / /_{s}^{-1} X_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle X_{i}(\sigma(s)) \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore,
$\frac{\nabla}{d s} \nabla_{/ / s v} Y=\frac{\nabla}{d s}\left[\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, X_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} Y\right)(\sigma(s))\right]$
(3.60) $\quad=\left\langle/ / s v, X_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)}\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} Y\right)+\left\langle/ / s v, \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} X_{i}\right\rangle \cdot\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} Y\right)(\sigma(s))$.

Now

$$
\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)}\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} Y\right)=\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes X_{i}}^{2} Y+\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) X_{i}} Y
$$

and so again using Proposition 3.48 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, X_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)}\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} Y\right)=\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes / / s v}^{2} Y+\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, X_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) X_{i}} Y \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\nabla / d s$ of Eq. (3.59) shows

$$
0=\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} X_{i}\right\rangle X_{i}(\sigma(s))+\left\langle/ / s v, X_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} X_{i}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, X_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) X_{i}} Y=-\left\langle/ / s v, \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} X_{i}\right\rangle \cdot\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} Y\right)(\sigma)(s) \tag{3.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assembling Eqs. 3.59, 3.61 and 3.62 proves Eq. 3.58.
Second proof. Let $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ be an orthonormal frame near $\sigma(s)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nabla}{d s} \nabla_{/ / s v} Y & =\frac{\nabla}{d s}\left[\left\langle/ / s v, E_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} Y\right)(\sigma(s))\right] \\
(3.63) & =\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} E_{i}\right\rangle \cdot\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} Y\right)(\sigma(s))+\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, E_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} \nabla_{E_{i}} Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Working as in the first proof,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, E_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} \nabla_{E_{i}} Y & =\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, E_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot\left(\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes E_{i}}^{2} Y+\nabla_{\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} E_{i}} Y\right) \\
& \left.=\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes / / s v}^{2} Y+\nabla_{\langle/ / s} v, E_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle_{\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} E_{i}} Y
\end{aligned}
$$

and using

$$
0=\frac{\nabla}{d s} / /{ }_{s} v=\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} E_{i}\right\rangle \cdot E_{i}(\sigma(s))+\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} v, E_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} E_{i}
$$

we learn

$$
\left\langle/ / s v, E_{i}(\sigma(s))\right\rangle \cdot \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} \nabla_{E_{i}} Y=\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s) \otimes / / s v}^{2} Y-\left\langle/ / s v, \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}(s)} E_{i}\right\rangle \cdot\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} Y\right)(\sigma(s)) .
$$

This equation combined with Eq. (3.63) again proves Eq. (3.58).
The remainder of this section discusses a covariant derivative on $M \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ which "extends" $\nabla$ defined above. This will be needed in Section 5, where it will be convenient to have a covariant derivative on the normal bundle:

$$
N(M):=\cup_{m \in M}\left(\{m\} \times \tau_{m} M^{\perp}\right) \subset M \times \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

Analogous to the definition of $\nabla$ on $T M$, it is reasonable to extend $\nabla$ to the normal bundle $N(M)$ by setting

$$
\frac{\nabla V(s)}{d s}=\left(\sigma(s), Q(\sigma(s)) v^{\prime}(s)\right)=\left(\sigma(s), v^{\prime}(s)+d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) v(s)\right)
$$

for all smooth paths $s \rightarrow V(s)=(\sigma(s), v(s))$ in $N(M)$. Then this covariant derivative on the normal bundle satisfies analogous properties to $\nabla$ on the tangent bundle $T M$. The covariant derivatives on $T M$ and $N(M)$ can be put together to make a
covariant derivative on $M \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Explicitly, if $V(s)=(\sigma(s), v(s))$ is a smooth path in $M \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$, let $p(s):=P(\sigma(s)), q(s):=Q(\sigma(s))$ and then define

$$
\frac{\nabla V(s)}{d s}:=\left(\sigma(s), p(s) \frac{d}{d s}\{p(s) v(s)\}+q(s) \frac{d}{d s}\{q(s) v(s)\}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nabla V(s)}{d s}= & \left(\sigma(s), \frac{d}{d s}\{p(s) v(s)\}+q^{\prime}(s) p(s) v(s)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{d}{d s}\{q(s) v(s)\}+p^{\prime}(s) q(s) v(s)\right) \\
= & \left(\sigma(s), v^{\prime}(s)+q^{\prime}(s) p(s) v(s)+p^{\prime}(s) q(s) v(s)\right) \\
= & \left(\sigma(s), v^{\prime}(s)+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) P(\sigma(s)) v(s)+d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) Q(\sigma(s)) v(s)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we may write $\nabla V(s) / d s$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla V(s)}{d s}=\left(\sigma(s), v^{\prime}(s)+\Gamma\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) v(s)\right) \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(w_{m}\right) v:=d Q\left(w_{m}\right) P(m) v+d P\left(w_{m}\right) Q(m) v \tag{3.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w_{m} \in T M$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
It should be clear from the above computation that the covariant derivative defined in 3.64 agrees with those already defined on $T M$ and $N(M)$. Many of the properties of the covariant derivative on $T M$ follow quite naturally from this fact and Eq. 3.64.
Lemma 3.56. For each $w_{m} \in T M, \Gamma\left(w_{m}\right)$ is a skew symmetric $N \times N$ - matrix. Hence, if $u(s)$ is the solution to the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(s)+\Gamma\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) u(s)=0 \quad \text { with } \quad u(0)=I \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $u$ is an orthogonal matrix for all $s$.
Proof. Since $\Gamma=d Q P+d P Q$ and $P$ and $Q$ are orthogonal projections and hence symmetric, the adjoint $\Gamma^{\mathrm{tr}}$ of $\Gamma$ is given by

$$
\Gamma^{\operatorname{tr}}=P d Q+Q d P=-d P Q-d Q P=-\Gamma
$$

where Lemma 3.30 was used in the second equality. Hence $\Gamma$ is a skew-symmetric valued one form. Now let $u$ denote the solution to 3.66 and $A(s):=\Gamma\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)$. Then

$$
\frac{d}{d s} u^{\operatorname{tr}} u=(-A u)^{\operatorname{tr}} u+u^{\operatorname{tr}}(-A u)=u^{\operatorname{tr}}(A-A) u=0
$$

which shows that $u^{\operatorname{tr}}(s) u(s)=u^{\operatorname{tr}}(0) u(0)=I$.
Lemma 3.57. Let $u$ be the solution to (3.66). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(s)\left(\tau_{\sigma(0)} M\right)=\tau_{\sigma(s)} M \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(s)\left(\tau_{\sigma(0)} M\right)^{\perp}=\tau_{\sigma(s)} M^{\perp} \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $v \in \tau_{\sigma(0)} M\left(v \in \tau_{\sigma(0)} M^{\perp}\right)$ then $V(s):=(\sigma(s), u(s) v)$ is the parallel vector field along $\sigma$ in $T M(N(M))$ such that $V(0)=v_{\sigma(0)}$.

Proof. By the product rule,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s}\left\{u^{\operatorname{tr}} P(\sigma) u\right\}=u^{\operatorname{tr}}\left\{\Gamma\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) P(\sigma)+d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)-P(\sigma) \Gamma\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)\right\} u . \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, making use of Lemma 3.30,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) P(\sigma)- & P(\sigma) \Gamma\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)+d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \\
= & d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)+\left[d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) P(\sigma)+d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) Q(\sigma)\right] P(\sigma) \\
& \quad-P(\sigma)\left[d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) P(\sigma)+d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) Q(\sigma)\right] \\
= & d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) P(\sigma)-d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) Q(\sigma) \\
= & d P\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which combined with Eq. 3.69 shows $\frac{d}{d s}\left\{u^{\operatorname{tr}} P(\sigma) u\right\}=0$. Therefore,

$$
u^{\operatorname{tr}}(s) P(\sigma(s)) u(s)=P(\sigma(0))
$$

for all $s$. Combining this with Lemma 3.56, shows

$$
P(\sigma(s)) u(s)=u(s) P(\sigma(0))
$$

This last equation is equivalent to Eq. (3.67). Eq. (3.68) has completely analogous proof or can be seen easily from the fact that $P+Q=I$.
3.7. More References. I recommend [86] and 42 for more details on Riemannian geometry. The references, [1, 19, 41, 42, 86, 95, 111, 112, $113,114,115,149$ and the complete five volume set of Spivak's books on differential geometry starting with [164] are also very useful.

## 4. Flows and Cartan's Development Map

The results of this section will serve as a warm-up for their stochastic counter parts. These types of theorems will be crucial for the path space analysis results to be developed in Sections 7 and 8 below.

### 4.1. Time - Dependent Smooth Flows.

Notation 4.1. Given a smooth time dependent vector field, $(t, m) \rightarrow X_{t}(m) \in$ $T_{m} M$ on a manifold $M$, let $T_{t}^{X}(m)$ denote the solution to the ordinary differential equation,

$$
\frac{d}{d t} T_{t}^{X}(m)=X_{t} \circ T_{t}^{X}(m) \text { with } T_{0}^{X}(m)=m
$$

If $X$ is time independent we will write $e^{t X}(m)$ for $T_{t}^{X}(m)$. We call $T^{X}$ the flow of $X$. See Figure 10 .

Theorem 4.2 (Flow Theorem). Suppose that $X_{t}$ is a smooth time dependent vector field on $M$. Then for each $m \in M$, there exists a maximal open interval $J_{m} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \in J_{m}$ and $t \rightarrow T_{t}^{X}(m)$ exists for $t \in J_{m}$. Moreover the set $\mathcal{D}(X):=$ $\cup_{m}\left(J_{m} \times\{m\}\right) \subset \mathbb{R} \times M$ is open and the map $(t, m) \in \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow T_{t}^{X}(m) \in M$ is a smooth map.

Proof. Let $Y_{t}$ be a smooth extension of $X_{t}$ to a vector field on $E$ where $E$ is the Euclidean space in which $M$ is imbedded. The stated results with $X$ replaced by $Y$ follows from the standard theory of ordinary differential equations on Euclidean spaces. Let $T_{t}^{Y}$ denote the flow of $Y$ on $E$. We will construct $T^{X}$ by setting


Figure 10. Going with the flow. Here we suppose that $X$ is a time independent vector field which is indicated by the arrows in the picture and the curve is the corresponding flow line starting at $m \in M$.
$T_{t}^{X}(m):=T_{t}^{Y}(m)$ for all $m \in M$ and $t \in J_{m}$. In order for this to work we must show that $T_{t}^{Y}(m) \in M$ whenever $m \in M$.

To verify this last assertion, let $x$ be a chart on $M$ such that $m \in \mathcal{D}(x)$, then $\sigma(t)$ solves $\dot{\sigma}(t)=X_{t}(\sigma(t))$ with $\sigma(0)=m$ iff

$$
\frac{d}{d t}[x \circ \sigma(t)]=d x(\dot{\sigma}(t))=d x\left(X_{t}(\sigma(t))\right)=d x\left(X_{t} \circ x^{-1}(x \circ \sigma(t))\right)
$$

with $x \circ \sigma(0)=m$. Since this is a differential equation for $x \circ \sigma(t) \in \mathcal{R}(z)$ and $\mathcal{R}(z)$ is an open subset $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, the standard local existence theorem for ordinary differential equations implies $x \circ \sigma(t)$ exists for small time. This then implies $\sigma(t) \in M$ exists for small $t$ and satisfies

$$
\dot{\sigma}(t)=X_{t}(\sigma(t))=Y_{t}(\sigma(t)) \text { with } \sigma(0)=m
$$

By uniqueness of solutions to ordinary differential equations, we must have $T_{t}^{Y}(m)=\sigma(t)$ for small $t$ and in particular $T_{t}^{Y}(m) \in M$ for small $t$. Let

$$
\tau:=\sup \left\{t \in J_{m}: T_{s}^{Y}(m) \in M \text { for } 0 \leq s \leq t\right\}
$$

and for sake of contradiction suppose that $[0, \tau] \subset J_{m}$. Then by continuity, $T_{\tau}^{Y}(m) \in M$ and by repeating the above argument using a chart $x$ on $M$ centered at $T_{\tau}^{Y}(m)$, we would find that $T_{t}^{Y}(m) \in M$ for $t$ in a neighborhood of $\tau$. This contradicts the definition of $\tau$ and hence we may conclude that $\tau$ is the right end point of $J_{m}$. A similar argument works for $t \in J_{m}$ with $t<0$ and hence $T_{t}^{Y}(m) \in M$ for all $t \in J_{m}$.

Assumption 1 (Completeness). For simplicity in these notes it will always be assumed that $X$ is complete, i.e. $J_{m}=\mathbb{R}$ for all $m \in M$ and hence $\mathcal{D}(X)=\mathbb{R} \times M$. This will be the case if, for example, $M$ is compact or $M$ is imbedded in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and the vector field $X$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition. (Later we will restrict to the compact case.)
Notation 4.3. For $g, h \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ let $A d_{g} h:=g \circ h \circ g^{-1}$. We will also write $A d_{g}$ for the linear transformation on $\Gamma(T M)$ defined by

$$
A d_{g} Y=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} A d_{g} e^{s Y}=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} g \circ e^{s Y} \circ g^{-1}=g_{*}\left(Y \circ g^{-1}\right)
$$

for all $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$. (The vector space $\Gamma(T M)$ should be interpreted as the Lie algebra of the diffeomorphism group, $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$.

In order to verify $T_{t}^{X}$ is invertible, let $T_{t, s}^{X}$ denote the solution to

$$
\frac{d}{d t} T_{t, s}^{X}=X_{t} \circ T_{t, s}^{X} \text { with } T_{s, s}^{X}=i d
$$

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that $X_{t}$ is a complete time dependent vector field on $M$, then $T_{t}^{X} \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ for all $t$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{t}^{X}\right)^{-1}=T_{0, t}^{X}=T_{t}^{-A d_{\left(T^{X}\right)^{-1} X}^{X}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left(A d_{\left(T^{X}\right)^{-1} X}\right)_{t}:=A d_{\left(T_{t}^{X}\right)^{-1} X_{t}}
$$

Proof. If $s, t, u \in \mathbb{R}$, then $S_{t}:=T_{t, s}^{X} \circ T_{s, u}^{X}$ solves

$$
\dot{S}_{t}=X_{t} \circ S_{t} \text { with } S_{s}=T_{s, u}^{X}
$$

which is the same equation that $t \rightarrow T_{t, u}^{X}$ solves and therefore $T_{t, u}^{X}=T_{t, s}^{X} \circ T_{s, u}^{X}$. In particular, $T_{0, t}^{X}$ is the inverse to $T_{t}^{X}$. Moreover if we let $T_{t}:=T_{t}^{X}$ and $S_{t}:=T_{t}^{-1}$ then

$$
0=\frac{d}{d t} i d=\frac{d}{d t}\left[T_{t} \circ S_{t}\right]=X_{t} \circ T_{t} \circ S_{t}+T_{t *} \dot{S}_{t}
$$

So it follows that $S_{t}$ solves

$$
\dot{S}_{t}=-T_{t *}^{-1} X_{t} \circ T_{t} \circ S_{t}=-\left(A d_{T_{t}^{-1}} X_{t}\right) \circ S_{t}
$$

which proves the second equality in Eq. 4.1.
4.2. Differentials of $T_{t}^{X}$. In the later sections of this article, we will make heavy use of the stochastic analogues of the following two differentiation theorems.
Theorem 4.5 (Differentiating $m \rightarrow T_{t}^{X}(m)$ ). Suppose $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civit ${ }^{2}$ covariant derivative on $T M$ and $T_{t}=T_{t}^{X}$ as above, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla}{d t} T_{t *} v=\nabla_{T_{t *} v} X_{t} \text { for all } v \in T M \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we further let $m \in M, / /_{t}=/ /_{t}\left(\tau \rightarrow T_{\tau}(m)\right)$ be parallel translation relative to $\nabla$ along the flow line $\tau \rightarrow T_{\tau}(m)$ and $z_{t}:=/ /_{t}^{-1} T_{t * m}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} z_{t} v=/ /_{t}^{-1} \nabla_{/ /_{t} z_{t} v} X_{t} \text { for all } v \in T_{m} M \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This is a linear differential equation for $z_{t} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{m} M\right)$.)
Proof. Let $\sigma(s)$ be smooth path in $M$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}(0)=v$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nabla}{d t} T_{t *} v & =\left.\frac{\nabla}{d t} \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} T_{t}(\sigma(s))=\left.\frac{\nabla}{d s}\right|_{0} \frac{d}{d t} T_{t}(\sigma(s)) \\
& =\left.\frac{\nabla}{d s}\right|_{0} X_{t}\left(T_{t}(\sigma(s))\right)=\nabla_{T_{t *} v} X_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

wherein the second equality we have used $\nabla$ has zero torsion. Eq. (4.3) follows directly from Eq. 4.2 using $\frac{\nabla}{d t}=/ / t \frac{d}{d t} / /_{t}^{-1}$, see Lemma 3.55 .

[^2]Remark 4.6. As a warm up for writing the stochastic version of Eq. 4.3 in Itô form let us pause to compute $\frac{\nabla}{d t}\left(\nabla_{T_{t * v}} Y\right)$ for $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$. Using Eqs. (3.38), (3.37) and 3.35) of Proposition 3.38,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nabla}{d t} \nabla_{T_{t * v} v} Y & =\nabla_{\dot{T}_{t}(m) \otimes T_{t * v}}^{2} Y+\nabla_{\frac{\nabla}{d t} T_{t *} v} Y=\nabla_{X_{t}\left(T_{t}(m)\right) \otimes T_{t * v}}^{2} Y+\nabla_{\nabla_{T_{t *} v} X_{t}} Y \\
& =\nabla_{T_{t *} v \otimes X_{t}\left(T_{t}(m)\right)}^{2} Y+R^{\nabla}\left(X_{t}\left(T_{t}(m)\right), T_{t *} v\right) Y\left(T_{t}(m)\right)+\nabla_{\nabla_{T_{t * v} X_{t}} Y} \\
& =R^{\nabla}\left(X_{t}\left(T_{t}(m)\right), T_{t *} v\right) Y\left(T_{t}(m)\right)+\nabla_{T_{t *} v}\left(\nabla_{X_{t}} Y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.7 (Differentiating $T_{t}^{X}$ in $X$ ). Suppose $(t, m) \rightarrow X_{t}(m)$ and $(t, m) \rightarrow$ $Y_{t}(m)$ are smooth time dependent vector fields on $M$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{Y} T_{t}^{X}:=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} T_{t}^{X+s Y} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{Y} T_{t}^{X}=T_{t *}^{X} \int_{0}^{t}\left(T_{\tau *}^{X}\right)^{-1} Y_{\tau} \circ T_{\tau}^{X} d \tau=T_{t *}^{X} \int_{0}^{t} A d_{T_{\tau}^{X}}^{-1} Y_{\tau} d \tau \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula may also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{Y} T_{t}^{X}=\left(\int_{0}^{t} A d_{T_{t, \tau}^{X}} Y_{\tau} d \tau\right) \circ T_{t}^{X}=\left(\int_{0}^{t} A d_{T_{t}^{X} \circ\left(T_{\tau}^{X}\right)^{-1}} Y_{\tau} d \tau\right) \circ T_{t}^{X} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To simplify notation, let $T_{t}:=T_{t}^{X}$ and define $V_{t}:=\left(T_{t *}^{X}\right)^{-1} \partial_{Y} T_{t}^{X}$. Then $V_{0}=0$ and $\partial_{Y} T_{t}^{X}=T_{t *}^{X} V_{t}$ or equivalently, for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$,

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} f \circ T_{t}^{X+s Y}=\left(T_{t *}^{X} V_{t}\right) f=V_{t}\left(f \circ T_{t}^{X}\right)
$$

Given $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, on one hand we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d t} \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} f \circ T_{t}^{X+s Y} & =\frac{d}{d t}\left[V_{t}\left(f \circ T_{t}^{X}\right)\right]=\dot{V}_{t}\left(f \circ T_{t}^{X}\right)+V_{t}\left(X_{t} f \circ T_{t}^{X}\right) \\
& =\left(T_{t *}^{X} \dot{V}_{t}\right) f+V_{t}\left(X_{t} f \circ T_{t}^{X}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

while on the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \frac{d}{d t} f \circ T_{t}^{X+s Y} & =\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0}\left[\left(\left(X_{t}+s Y_{t}\right) f\right) \circ T_{t}^{X+s Y}\right]=\left(Y_{t} f\right) \circ T_{t}^{X}+V_{t}\left(X_{t} f \circ T_{t}^{X}\right) \\
& =\left(Y_{t} \circ T_{t}^{X}\right) f+V_{t}\left(X_{t} f \circ T_{t}^{X}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left[\frac{d}{d t},\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0}\right]=0$, the previous two displayed equations imply $\left(T_{t *}^{X} \dot{V}_{t}\right) f=$ $\left(Y_{t} \circ T_{t}^{X}\right) f$ and because this holds for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t *}^{X} \dot{V}_{t}=Y_{t} \circ T_{t}^{X} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving Eq. 4.8 for $\dot{V}_{t}$ and then integrating on $t$ shows

$$
V_{t}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(T_{\tau *}^{X}\right)^{-1} Y_{\tau} \circ T_{\tau}^{X} d \tau
$$

which along with the relation, $\partial_{Y} T_{t}^{X}=T_{t *}^{X} V_{t}$, implies Eq. 4.6.

We may now rewrite the formula in Eq. 4.6 as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{Y} T_{t}^{X} & =T_{t *}^{X}\left(\int_{0}^{t} A d_{T_{\tau}^{X}}^{-1} Y_{\tau} d \tau\right) \circ\left(T_{t}^{X}\right)^{-1} \circ T_{t}^{X}=A d_{T_{t}^{X}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} A d_{T_{\tau}^{X}}^{-1} Y_{\tau} d \tau\right) \circ T_{t}^{X} \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{t} A d_{T_{t}^{X}} A d_{T_{\tau}^{X}}^{-1} Y_{\tau} d \tau\right) \circ T_{t}^{X}=\left(\int_{0}^{t} A d_{T_{t}^{X} \circ\left(T_{\tau}^{X}\right)^{-1}} Y_{\tau} d \tau\right) \circ T_{t}^{X} \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{t} A d_{T_{t, \tau}^{X}} Y_{\tau} d \tau\right) \circ T_{t}^{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives Eq. (4.7).
Example 4.8. Suppose that $G$ is a Lie group, $\mathfrak{g}:=\operatorname{Lie}(G), A_{t}$ and $B_{t}$ are two smooth $\mathfrak{g}$ - valued functions and $g_{t}^{A} \in G$ solves the equation

$$
\frac{d}{d t} g_{t}^{A}=\tilde{A}_{t}\left(g_{t}^{A}\right) \text { with } g_{0}^{A}=e \in G
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{t}(x):=L_{x *} A_{t}$ is the left invariant vector field on $G$ associated to $A_{t} \in$ $\mathfrak{g}$, see Examples 2.34 and 3.27. Then

$$
\partial_{B} g_{t}^{A}=R_{g_{t}^{A} *} \int_{0}^{t} A d_{g_{\tau}^{A}} B_{\tau} d \tau
$$

where

$$
A d_{g} A=R_{g^{-1} *} L_{g *} A \text { for all } g \in G \text { and } A \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

Proof. Let $T_{t}^{A}$ denote the flow of $A_{t}$. Because $A_{t}$ is left invariant,

$$
T_{t}^{A}(x)=x g_{t}^{A}=R_{g_{t}^{A}} x
$$

as the reader should verify. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{B} g_{t}^{A} & =\partial_{B} T_{t}^{A}(e)=R_{g_{t}^{A} *} \int_{0}^{t}\left(R_{g_{\tau}^{A} *}\right)^{-1} \tilde{B}_{\tau} \circ R_{g_{\tau}^{A}}(e) d \tau \\
& =R_{g_{t}^{A} *} \int_{0}^{t}\left(R_{g_{\tau}^{A} *}\right)^{-1} \tilde{B}_{\tau}\left(g_{\tau}^{A}\right) d \tau=R_{g_{t}^{A} *} \int_{0}^{t}\left(R_{g_{\tau}^{A} *}\right)^{-1} L_{g_{\tau}^{A} *} B_{\tau} d \tau \\
& =R_{g_{t}^{A} *} \int_{0}^{t} A d_{g_{\tau}^{A}} B_{\tau} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

The next theorem expresses $\left[X_{t}, Y\right]$ using the flow $T^{X}$. The stochastic analog of this theorem is a key ingredient in the "Malliavin calculus," see Proposition 8.14 below.

Theorem 4.9. If $X_{t}$ and $T_{t}^{X}$ are as above and $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\left(T_{t *}^{X}\right)^{-1} Y \circ T_{t}^{X}\right]=\left(T_{t *}^{X}\right)^{-1}\left[X_{t}, Y\right] \circ T_{t}^{X} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} A d_{T_{t}^{X}}^{-1}=A d_{T_{t}^{X}}^{-1} L_{X_{t}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{X} Y:=[X, Y]$.

Proof. Let $V_{t}:=\left(T_{t *}^{X}\right)^{-1} Y \circ T_{t}^{X}$ which is equivalent to $T_{t *}^{X} V_{t}=Y \circ T_{t}^{X}$, or more explicitly to

$$
Y f \circ T_{t}^{X}=\left(Y \circ T_{t}^{X}\right) f=\left(T_{t *}^{X} V_{t}\right) f=V_{t}\left(f \circ T_{t}^{X}\right) \text { for all } f \in C^{\infty}(M)
$$

Differentiating this equation in $t$ then shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(X_{t} Y f\right) \circ T_{t}^{X} & =\dot{V}_{t}\left(f \circ T_{t}^{X}\right)+V_{t}\left(X_{t} f \circ T_{t}^{X}\right) \\
& =\left(T_{t *}^{X} \dot{V}_{t}\right) f+\left(T_{t *}^{X} V_{t}\right) X_{t} f \\
& =\left(T_{t *}^{X} \dot{V}_{t}\right) f+\left(Y \circ T_{t}^{X}\right) X_{t} f \\
& =\left(T_{t *}^{X} \dot{V}_{t}\right) f+\left(Y X_{t} f\right) \circ T_{t}^{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left(T_{t *}^{X} \dot{V}_{t}\right) f=\left(\left[X_{t}, Y\right] f\right) \circ T_{t}^{X}
$$

from which we conclude $T_{t *}^{X} \dot{V}_{t}=\left[X_{t}, Y\right] \circ T_{t}^{X}$ and therefore

$$
\dot{V}_{t}=\left(T_{t *}^{X}\right)^{-1}\left[X_{t}, Y\right] \circ T_{t}^{X}
$$

4.3. Cartan's Development Map. For this section assume that $M$ is compact ${ }^{3}$ Riemannian manifold and let $W^{\infty}\left(T_{0} M\right)$ be the collection of piecewise smooth paths, $b:[0,1] \rightarrow T_{o} M$ such that $b(0)=0_{o} \in T_{o} M$ and let $W_{o}^{\infty}(M)$ be the collection of piecewise smooth paths, $\sigma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ such that $\sigma(0)=o \in M$.

Theorem 4.10 (Development Map). To each $b \in W^{\infty}\left(T_{0} M\right)$ there is a unique $\sigma \in W_{o}^{\infty}(M)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}(s):=(\sigma(s), d \sigma(s) / d s)=/ / s(\sigma) b^{\prime}(s) \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma(0)=o \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $/ / s(\sigma)$ denotes parallel translation along $\sigma$.
Proof. Suppose that $\sigma$ is a solution to Eq. 4.11) and $/ / s(\sigma) v_{o}=(o, u(s) v)$, where $u(s): \tau_{o} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then $u$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(s)+d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) u(s)=0 \quad \text { with } \quad u(0)=u_{0} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{0} v:=v$ for all $v \in \tau_{o} M$, see Remark 3.54. Hence Eq. (4.11) is equivalent to the following pair of coupled ordinary differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}(s)=u(s) b^{\prime}(s) \quad \text { with } \quad \sigma(0)=o \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(s)+d Q\left(\left(\sigma(s), u(s) b^{\prime}(s)\right) u(s)=0 \quad \text { with } \quad u(0)=u_{0}\right. \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the uniqueness assertion follows from standard uniqueness theorems for ordinary differential equations. The slickest prove of existence to Eq. (4.11) is to first introduce the orthogonal frame bundle, $O(M)$, on $M$ defined by $O(M):=$ $\cup_{m \in M} O_{m}(M)$ where $O_{m}(M)$ is the set of all isometries, $u: T_{o} M \rightarrow T_{m} M$. It is then possible to show that $O(M)$ is an imbedded submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \operatorname{Hom}\left(\tau_{o} M, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and that coupled pair of ordinary differential equations 4.13 and 4.14 may be viewed as a flow equation on $O(M)$. Hence the existence of solutions may be deduced

[^3]from the Theorem 4.2, see, for example, 47] for details of this method. Here I will sketch a proof which does not require us to develop the frame bundle formalism in detail.

Looking at the proof of Lemma 2.30, $Q$ has an extension to a neighborhood in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of $m \in M$ in such a way that $Q(x)$ is still an orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{Nul}\left(F^{\prime}(x)\right)$, where $F(x)=z_{>}(x)$ is as in Lemma 2.30. Hence for small $s$, we may define $\sigma$ and $u$ to be the unique solutions to Eq. 4.13) and Eq. (4.14) with values in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\tau_{o} M, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ respectively. The key point now is to show that $\sigma(s) \in M$ and that the range of $u(s)$ is $\tau_{\sigma(s)} M$.

Using the same proof as in Theorem 3.52 $w(s):=Q(\sigma(s)) u(s)$ satisfies,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime} & =d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) u+Q(\sigma) u^{\prime}=d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) u-Q(\sigma) d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) u \\
& =P(\sigma) d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) u=d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) Q(\sigma) u=d Q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) w
\end{aligned}
$$

where Lemma 3.30 was used in the last equality. Since $w(0)=0$, it follows by uniqueness of solutions to linear ordinary differential equations that $w \equiv 0$ and hence

$$
\operatorname{Ran}[u(s)] \subset \operatorname{Nul}[Q(\sigma(s))]=\operatorname{Nul}\left[F^{\prime}(\sigma(s))\right]
$$

Consequently

$$
d F(\sigma(s)) / d s=F^{\prime}(\sigma(s)) d \sigma(s) / d s=F^{\prime}(\sigma(s)) u(s) b^{\prime}(s)=0
$$

for small $s$ and since $F(\sigma(0))=F(o)=0$, it follows that $F(\sigma(s))=0$, i.e. $\sigma(s) \in M$. So we have shown that there is a solution $(\sigma, u)$ to 4.13 and 4.14) for small $s$ such that $\sigma$ stays in $M$ and $u(s)$ is parallel translation along $s$. By standard ordinary differential equation methods, there is a maximal solution $(\sigma, u)$ with these properties. Notice that $(\sigma, u)$ is a path in $M \times \operatorname{Iso}\left(T_{o} M, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $\operatorname{Iso}\left(T_{o} M, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is the set of isometries from $T_{o} M$ to $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Since $M \times \operatorname{Iso}\left(T_{o} M, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a compact space, $(\sigma, u)$ can not explode. Therefore $(\sigma, u)$ is defined on the same interval where $b$ is defined.

The geometric interpretation of Cartan's map is to roll the manifold $M$ along a freshly painted curve $b$ in $T_{o} M$ to produce a curve $\sigma$ on $M$, see Figure 11 .

Notation 4.11. Let $\phi: W^{\infty}\left(T_{0} M\right) \rightarrow W_{o}^{\infty}(M)$ be the map $b \rightarrow \sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the solution to 4.11. It is easy to construct the inverse map $\Psi:=\phi^{-1}$. Namely, $\Psi(\sigma)=b$, where

$$
\Psi_{s}(\sigma)=b(s):=\int_{0}^{s} / / r(\sigma)^{-1} \sigma^{\prime}(r) d r
$$

We now conclude this section by computing the differentials of $\Psi$ and $\phi$. For more details on computations of this nature the reader is referred to [46, 47] and the references therein.

Theorem 4.12 (Differential of $\Psi)$. Let $(t, s) \rightarrow \Sigma(t, s)$ be a smooth map into $M$ such that $\Sigma(t, \cdot) \in W_{o}^{\infty}(M)$ for all $t$. Let

$$
H(s):=\dot{\Sigma}(0, s):=\left(\Sigma(0, s), d \Sigma(t, s) /\left.d t\right|_{t=0}\right)
$$

so that $H$ is a vector-field along $\sigma:=\Sigma(0, \cdot)$. One should view $H$ as an element of the "tangent space" to $W_{o}^{\infty}(M)$ at $\sigma$, see Figure 12. Let $u(s):=/ / s(\sigma), h(s):=$ $/ / s(\sigma)^{-1} H(s) b:=\Psi_{s}(\sigma)$ and, for all $a, c \in T_{o} M$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{u}(a, c)\right)(s):=u(s)^{-1} R(u(s) a, u(s) c) u(s) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 11. Monsieur Cartan is shown here rolling, without "slipping," a manifold $M$ along a curve, $b$, in $T_{o} M$ to produce a curve, $\sigma$, on $M$.

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Psi(H)=d \Psi(\Sigma(t, \cdot)) /\left.d t\right|_{t=0}=h+\int_{0}\left(\int_{0} R_{u}(h, \delta b)\right) \delta b \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta b(s)$ is short hand notation for $b^{\prime}(s) d s$, and $\int_{0} f \delta b$ denotes the function $s \rightarrow \int_{0}^{s} f(r) b^{\prime}(r) d r$ when $f$ is a path of matrices.


Figure 12. A variation of $\sigma$ giving rise to a vector field along $\sigma$.
Proof. To simplify notation let $" \cdot "=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0}, " \prime "=\frac{d}{d s}, B(t, s):=\Psi(\Sigma(t, \cdot))(s)$, $U(t, s):=/ / s(\Sigma(t, \cdot)), u(s):=/ / s(\sigma)=U(0, s)$ and

$$
\dot{b}(s):=(d \Psi(H))(s):=d B(t, s) /\left.d t\right|_{t=0}
$$

I will also suppress $(t, s)$ from the notation when possible. With this notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{\prime}=U B^{\prime}, \quad \dot{\Sigma}=H=u h \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla U}{d s}=0 . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. 4.18, $\frac{\nabla U}{d s}: T_{o} M \rightarrow T_{\Sigma} M$ is defined by $\frac{\nabla U}{d s}=P(\Sigma) U^{\prime}$ or equivalently by

$$
\frac{\nabla U}{d s} a:=\frac{\nabla(U a)}{d s} \text { for all } a \in T_{o} M .
$$

Taking $\nabla / d t$ of (4.17) at $t=0$ gives, with the aid of Proposition 3.32,

$$
\left.\frac{\nabla U}{d t}\right|_{t=0} b^{\prime}+u \dot{b}^{\prime}=\nabla \Sigma^{\prime} /\left.d t\right|_{t=0}=\nabla \dot{\Sigma} / d s=u h^{\prime} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{b}^{\prime}=h^{\prime}+A b^{\prime}, \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A:=-\left.U^{-1} \frac{\nabla U}{d t}\right|_{t=0}$, i.e.

$$
\frac{\nabla U}{d t}(0, \cdot)=-u A .
$$

Taking $\nabla / d s$ of this last equation and using $\nabla u / d s=0$ along with Proposition 3.32 gives

$$
-u A^{\prime}=\left.\frac{\nabla}{d s} \frac{\nabla}{d t} U\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left[\frac{\nabla}{d s}, \frac{\nabla}{d t}\right] U\right|_{t=0}=R\left(\sigma^{\prime}, H\right) u
$$

and hence $A^{\prime}=R_{u}\left(h, b^{\prime}\right)$. By integrating this identity using $A(0)=0$ $(\nabla U(t, 0) / d t=0$ since $U(t, 0):=/ / 0(\Sigma(t, \cdot))=I$ is independent of $t)$ shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\int_{0} R_{u}(h, \delta b) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theorem now follows by integrating (4.19) relative to $s$ making use of Eq. (4.20) and the fact that $\dot{b}(0)=0$.
Theorem 4.13 (Differential of $\phi)$. Let $b, k \in W^{\infty}\left(T_{0} M\right)$ and $(t, s) \rightarrow B(t, s)$ be a smooth map into $T_{o} M$ such that $B(t, \cdot) \in W^{\infty}\left(T_{0} M\right), B(0, s)=b(s)$, and $\dot{B}(0, s)=k(s)$. (For example take $B(t, s)=b(s)+t k(s)$.) Then

$$
\phi_{*}\left(k_{b}\right):=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \phi(B(t, \cdot))=/ / .(\sigma) h,
$$

where $\sigma:=\phi(b)$ and $h$ is the first component in the solution ( $h, A$ ) to the pair of coupled differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\prime}=h^{\prime}+A b^{\prime}, \quad \text { with } \quad h(0)=0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=R_{u}\left(h, b^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad A(0)=0 . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This theorem has an analogous proof to that of Theorem 4.12. We can also deduce the result from Theorem 4.12 by defining $\Sigma$ by $\Sigma(t, s):=\phi_{s}(B(t, \cdot))$. We now assume the same notation used in Theorem 4.12 and its proof. Then $B(t, \cdot)=\Psi(\Sigma(t, \cdot))$ and hence by Theorem 4.13

$$
k=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \Psi(\Sigma(t, \cdot))=d \Psi(H)=h+\int_{0}\left(\int_{0} R_{u}(h, \delta b)\right) \delta b .
$$

Therefore, defining $A:=\int_{0} R_{u}(h, \delta b)$ and differentiating this last equation relative to $s$, it follows that $A$ solves 4.22) and that $h$ solves 4.21).

CURVED WIENER SPACE ANALYSIS

The following theorem is a mild extension of Theorem 4.12 to include the possibility that $\Sigma(t, \cdot) \notin W_{o}^{\infty}(M)$ when $t \neq 0$, i.e. the base point may change.

Theorem 4.14. Let $(t, s) \rightarrow \Sigma(t, s)$ be a smooth map into $M$ such that $\sigma:=$ $\Sigma(0, \cdot) \in W_{o}^{\infty}(M)$. Define $H(s):=d \Sigma(t, s) /\left.d t\right|_{t=0}, \sigma:=\Sigma(0, \cdot)$, and $h(s):=$ $/ / s(\sigma)^{-1} H(s)$. (Note: $H(0)$ and $h(0)$ are no longer necessarily equal to zero.) Let

$$
U(t, s):=/ /_{s}(\Sigma(t, \cdot)) / / t(\Sigma(\cdot, 0)): T_{o} M \rightarrow T_{\Sigma(t, s)} M
$$

so that $\nabla U(t, 0) / d t=0$ and $\nabla U(t, s) / d s \equiv 0$. Set $B(t, s):=\int_{0}^{s} U(t, r)^{-1} \Sigma^{\prime}(t, r) d r$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{b}(s):=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} B(t, s)=h_{s}+\int_{0}^{s}\left(\int_{0} R_{u}(h, \delta b)\right) \delta b, \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where as before $b:=\Psi(\sigma)$.
Proof. The proof is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 4.12 and hence will be omitted.

## 5. Stochastic Calculus on Manifolds

In this section and the rest of the text the reader is assumed to be well versed in stochastic calculus in the Euclidean context.

Notation 5.1. In the sequel we will always assume there is any underlying filtered probability space $\left(\Omega,\left\{\mathcal{F}_{s}\right\}_{s \geq 0}, \mathcal{F}, \mu\right)$ satisfying the "usual hypothesis." Namely, $\mathcal{F}$ is $\mu$-complete, $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ contains all of the null sets in $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ is right continuous. As usual $\mathbb{E}$ will be used to denote the expectation relative to the probability measure $\mu$.

Definition 5.2. For simplicity, we will call a function $\Sigma: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \Omega \rightarrow V$ ( $V$ a vector space) a process if $\Sigma_{s}=\Sigma(s):=\Sigma(s, \cdot)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ - measurable for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}:=[0, \infty)$, i.e. a process will mean an adapted process unless otherwise stated. As above, we will always assume that $M$ is an imbedded submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with the induced Riemannian structure. An $M$ - valued semi-martingale is a continuous $\mathbb{R}^{N_{-}}$ valued semi-martingale $(\Sigma)$ such that $\Sigma(s, \omega) \in M$ for all $(s, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \Omega$. It will be convenient to let $\lambda$ be the distinguished process: $\lambda(s)=\lambda_{s}:=s$.

Since $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ is the restriction of a smooth function $F$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, it follows by Itô's lemma that $f \circ \Sigma=F \circ \Sigma$ is a real-valued semi-martingale if $\Sigma$ is an $M$ - valued semi-martingale. Conversely, if $\Sigma$ is an $M$ - valued process and $f \circ \Sigma$ is a real-valued semi-martingale for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ then $\Sigma$ is an $M$ - valued semimartingale. Indeed, let $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{N}\right)$ be the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, then $\Sigma^{i}:=x^{i} \circ \Sigma$ is a real semi-martingale for each $i$, which implies that $\Sigma$ is a $\mathbb{R}^{N_{\text {- }}}$ valued semi-martingale.

Notation 5.3 (Fisk-Stratonovich Integral). Suppose $V$ is a finite dimensional vector space and

$$
\pi=\left\{0=s_{0}<s_{1}<s_{2}<\cdots\right\}
$$

is a partition of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}=\infty$. To such a partition $\pi$, let $|\pi|:=$ $\sup _{i}\left|s_{i+1}-s_{i}\right|$ be the mesh size of $\pi$ and $s \wedge s_{i}:=\min \left\{s, s_{i}\right\}$. To each $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, V\right)$

- valued semi-martingale $Z_{t}$ and each $M$ - valued semi-martingale $\Sigma_{t}$, the FiskStratonovich integral of $Z$ relative to $\Sigma$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{s} Z \delta \Sigma & =\lim _{|\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{s \wedge s_{i}}+Z_{s \wedge s_{i+1}}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s \wedge s_{i+1}}-\Sigma_{s \wedge s_{i}}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{s} Z d \Sigma+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{s} d Z d \Sigma \in V
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\int_{0}^{s} Z d \Sigma=\lim _{|\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Z_{s \wedge s_{i}}\left(\Sigma_{s \wedge s_{i+1}}-\Sigma_{s \wedge s_{i}}\right) \in V
$$

is the Itô integral and

$$
[Z, \Sigma]_{s}=\int_{0}^{s} d Z d \Sigma:=\lim _{|\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(Z_{s \wedge s_{i}}-Z_{s \wedge s_{i+1}}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s \wedge s_{i+1}}-\Sigma_{s \wedge s_{i}}\right) \in V
$$

is the mutual variation of $Z$ and $\Sigma$. (All limits may be taken in the sense of uniform convergence on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$in probability.)

### 5.1. Stochastic Differential Equations on Manifolds.

Notation 5.4. Suppose that $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n} \subset \Gamma(T M)$ are vector fields on $M$. For $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ let

$$
X_{a}(m):=\mathbf{X}(m) a:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} X_{i}(m)
$$

With this notation, $X(m): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow T_{m} M$ is a linear map for each $m \in M$.
Definition 5.5. Given an $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued semi-martingale, $\beta_{s}$, we say an $M$ - valued semi-martingale $\Sigma_{s}$ solves the Fisk-Stratonovich stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Sigma_{s}=\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta \beta_{s}+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta \beta_{s}^{i}+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$,

$$
\delta f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta \beta_{s}^{i}+X_{0} f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s
$$

i.e. if

$$
f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)=f\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \delta \beta_{r}^{i}+\int_{0}^{s} X_{0} f\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r
$$

Lemma 5.6 (Itô Form of Eq. (5.1)). Suppose that $\beta=B$ is an $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued Brownian motion and let $L:=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}+X_{0}$. Then an $M$ - valued semi-martingale $\Sigma_{s}$ solves Eq. (5.1) iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)=f\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}^{i}+\int_{0}^{s} L f\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\Sigma_{s}$ solves Eq. (5.1), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left[\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right)\right] & =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(X_{j} X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \delta B_{s}^{j}+X_{0} X_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(X_{j} X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{s}^{j}+d(B V)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B V$ denotes a process of bounded variation. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \delta B_{r}^{i} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{s} d\left[\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right)\right] d B_{r}^{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{j} X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{s}^{j} d B_{r}^{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{s} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} f\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly if Eq. (5.2) holds for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ we have

$$
d\left[\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right)\right]=\left(X_{j} X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{s}^{j}+L X_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s
$$

and so as above

$$
\int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \delta B_{r}^{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{s} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} f\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r
$$

Solving for $\int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}^{i}$ and putting the result into Eq. 5.2 shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) & =f\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \delta B_{r}^{i}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{s} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} f\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r+\int_{0}^{s} L f\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r \\
& =f\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{s}\left(X_{i} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \delta B_{r}^{i}+\int_{0}^{s} X_{0} f\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

To avoid technical problems with possible explosions of stochastic differential equations in the sequel, we make the following assumption.
Assumption 2. Unless otherwise stated, in the remainder of these notes, $M$ will be a compact manifold imbedded in $E:=\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

To shortcut the development of a number of issues here it is useful to recall the following Wong and Zakai type approximation theorem for solutions to FiskStratonovich stochastic differential equations.
Notation 5.7. Let $\left\{B_{s}\right\}_{s \in[0, T]}$ be a standard $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ —valued Brownian motion. Given a partition

$$
\pi=\left\{0=s_{0}<s_{1}<s_{2}<\ldots<s_{k}=T\right\}
$$

of $[0, T]$, let

$$
|\pi|=\max \left\{s_{i}-s_{i-1}: i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\}
$$

and

$$
B_{\pi}(s)=B\left(s_{i-1}\right)+\left(s-s_{i-1}\right) \frac{\Delta_{i} B}{\Delta_{i} s} \text { if } s \in\left(s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right]
$$

where $\Delta_{i} B:=B\left(s_{i}\right)-B\left(s_{i-1}\right)$ and $\Delta_{i} s:=s_{i}-s_{i-1}$. Notice that $B_{\pi}(s)$ is a continuous piecewise linear path in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Theorem 5.8 (Wong-Zakai type approximation theorem). Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { and } f_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

be twice differentiable functions with bounded continuous derivatives. Let $\pi$ and $B_{\pi}$ be as in Notation 5.7 and $\xi_{\pi}(s)$ denote the solution to the ordinary differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{\pi}^{\prime}(s)=f\left(B_{\pi}(s), \xi_{\pi}(s)\right) B_{\pi}^{\prime}(s)+f_{0}\left(B_{\pi}(s), \xi_{\pi}(s)\right), \quad \xi_{\pi}(0)=a \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\xi$ denote the solution to the Fisk-Stratonovich stochastic differential equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \xi_{s}=f\left(B_{s}, \xi_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}+f_{0}\left(B_{s}, \xi_{s}\right) d s, \quad \xi_{0}=a \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for any $\gamma \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $p \in[1, \infty)$, there is a constant $C(p, \gamma)<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|\pi| \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{s \leq T}\left|\xi_{\pi}(s)-\xi_{s}\right|^{p}\right] \leq C(p, \gamma)|\pi|^{\gamma p} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This theorem is a special case of Theorem 5.7.3 and Example 5.7.4 in Kunita [116]. Theorems of this type have a long history starting with Wong and Zakai [180, 181]. The reader may also find this and related results in the following partial list of references: $[7,10,11,20,22,44,68,94,103,107,108,118,117,126,129,132$, $134,135,141,142,151,166,174,167,175,177$. Also see 8,53 and the references therein for more of the geometry associated to the Wong and Zakai approximation scheme.

Remark 5.9 (Transfer Principle). Theorem 5.8 is a manifestation of the transfer principle (coined by Malliavin) which loosely states: to get a correct stochastic formula one should take the corresponding deterministic smooth formula and replace all derivatives by Fisk-Stratonovich differentials. We will see examples of this principle over and over again in the sequel.

Theorem 5.10. Given a point $m \in M$ there exits a unique $M$ - valued semi martingale $\Sigma$ which solves Eq. (5.1) with the initial condition, $\Sigma_{0}=m$. We will write $T_{s}(m)$ for $\Sigma_{s}$ if we wish to emphasize the dependence of the solution on the initial starting point $m \in M$.

Proof. Existence. If for the moment we assumed that the Brownian motion $B_{s}$ were differentiable in $s$, Eq. (5.1) could be written as

$$
\Sigma_{s}^{\prime}=X_{s}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \text { with } \Sigma_{0}=m
$$

where

$$
X_{s}(m):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}(m)\left(B^{i}\right)^{\prime}(s)+X_{0}(m)
$$

and the existence of $\Sigma_{s}$ could be deduced from Theorem 4.2. We will make this rigorous with an application of Theorem 5.8 .

Let $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$ be smooth vector fields on $E$ with compact support such that $Y_{i}=X_{i}$ on $M$ for each $i$ and let $B_{\pi}(s)$ be as in Notation 5.7 and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{s}^{\pi}(m) & :=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}(m)\left(B_{\pi}^{i}\right)^{\prime}(s)+X_{0}(m) \text { and } \\
Y_{s}^{\pi}(m) & :=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}(m)\left(B_{\pi}^{i}\right)^{\prime}(s)+Y_{0}(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Theorem 4.2 we may use $X^{\pi}$ and $Y^{\pi}$ to generate (random) flows $T^{\pi}:=$ $T^{X^{\pi}}$ on $M$ and $\tilde{T}^{\pi}:=T^{Y^{\pi}}$ on $E$ respectively. Moreover, as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we know $T_{s}^{\pi}(m)=\tilde{T}_{s}^{\pi}(m)$ for all $m \in M$. An application of Theorem 5.8 now shows that $\Sigma_{s}:=\tilde{T}_{s}(m):=\lim _{|\pi| \rightarrow 0} \tilde{T}_{s}^{\pi}(m)=\lim _{|\pi| \rightarrow 0} T_{s}^{\pi}(m) \in M$ exists ${ }^{4}$ and satisfies the Fisk-Stratonovich differential equation on $E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Sigma_{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}^{i}+Y_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \text { with } \Sigma_{0}=m \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, let $F \in C^{\infty}(E)$ be chosen so that $f=\left.F\right|_{M}$. Then Eq. 5.6 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left[F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}^{i}+Y_{0} F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have already seen $\Sigma_{s} \in M$ and by construction $Y_{i}=X_{i}$ on $M$, we have $F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)=f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$ and $Y_{i} F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)=X_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$. Therefore Eq. (5.7) implies

$$
d\left[f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}^{i}+Y_{0} F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s
$$

i.e. $\Sigma_{s}$ solves Eq. 5.1 as desired.

Uniqueness. If $\Sigma$ is a solution to Eq. (5.1), then for $F \in C^{\infty}(E)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}^{i}+X_{0} F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}^{i}+Y_{0} F\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows, by taking $F$ to be the standard linear coordinates on $E, \Sigma_{s}$ also solves Eq. (5.6). But this is a stochastic differential equation on a Euclidean space $E$ with smooth compactly supported coefficients and therefore has a unique solution.
5.2. Line Integrals. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, let $\langle a, b\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}:=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} b_{i}$ denote the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Also let $\mathfrak{g l}(N)=\mathfrak{g l}(N, \mathbb{R})$ be the set of $N \times N$ real matrices. (It is not necessary to assume $M$ is compact for most of the results in this section.)
Theorem 5.11. As above, for $m \in M$, let $P(m)$ and $Q(m)$ denote orthogonal projection or $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ onto $\tau_{m} M$ and $\tau_{m} M^{\perp}$ respectively. Then for any $M$ - valued semi-martingale $\Sigma$,

$$
0=Q(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma \text { and } d \Sigma=P(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma
$$

[^4]i.e.
$$
\Sigma_{s}-\Sigma_{0}=\int_{0}^{s} P\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \delta \Sigma_{r}
$$

Proof. We will first assume that $M$ is the level set of a function $F$ as in Theorem 2.5. Then we may assume that

$$
Q(x)=\phi(x) F^{\prime}(x)^{*}\left(F^{\prime}(x) F^{\prime}(x)^{*}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}(x)
$$

where $\phi$ is smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\phi:=1$ in a neighborhood of $M$ and the support of $\phi$ is contained in the set: $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid F^{\prime}(x)\right.$ is surjective $\}$. By Itô's lemma

$$
0=d 0=d(F(\Sigma))=F^{\prime}(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma
$$

The lemma follows in this special case by multiplying the above equation through by $\phi(\Sigma) F^{\prime}(\Sigma)^{*}\left(F^{\prime}(\Sigma) F^{\prime}(\Sigma)^{*}\right)^{-1}$, see the proof of Lemma 2.30 .

For the general case, choose two open covers $\left\{V_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ of $M$ such that each $\bar{V}_{i}$ is compactly contained in $U_{i}$, there is a smooth function $F_{i} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(U_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-d}\right)$ such that $V_{i} \cap M=V_{i} \cap\left\{F_{i}^{-1}(\{0\})\right\}$ and $F_{i}$ has a surjective differential on $V_{i} \cap M$. Choose $\phi_{i} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that the support of $\phi_{i}$ is contained in $V_{i}$ and $\sum \phi_{i}=1$ on $M$, with the sum being locally finite. (For the existence of such covers and functions, see the discussion of partitions of unity in any reasonable book about manifolds.) Notice that $\phi_{i} \cdot F_{i} \equiv 0$ and that $F_{i} \cdot \phi_{i}^{\prime} \equiv 0$ on $M$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =d\left\{\phi_{i}(\Sigma) F_{i}(\Sigma)\right\}=\left(\phi_{i}^{\prime}(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma\right) F_{i}(\Sigma)+\phi_{i}(\Sigma) F_{i}^{\prime}(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma \\
& =\phi_{i}(\Sigma) F_{i}^{\prime}(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying this equation by $\Psi_{i}(\Sigma) F_{i}^{\prime}(\Sigma)^{*}\left(F_{i}^{\prime}(\Sigma) F_{i}^{\prime}(\Sigma)^{*}\right)^{-1}$, where each $\Psi_{i}$ is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\Psi_{i} \equiv 1$ on the support of $\phi_{i}$ and the support of $\Psi_{i}$ is contained in the set where $F_{i}^{\prime}$ is surjective, we learn that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\phi_{i}(\Sigma) F_{i}^{\prime}(\Sigma)^{*}\left(F_{i}^{\prime}(\Sigma) F_{i}^{\prime}(\Sigma)^{*}\right)^{-1} F_{i}^{\prime}(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma=\phi_{i}(\Sigma) Q(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i$. By a stopping time argument we may assume that $\Sigma$ never leaves a compact set, and therefore we may choose a finite subset $I$ of the indices $\{i\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} \phi_{i}(\Sigma) Q(\Sigma)=Q(\Sigma)$. Hence summing over $i \in I$ in equation 5.8 shows that $0=Q(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma$. Since $Q+P=I$, it follows that

$$
d \Sigma=I \delta \Sigma=[Q(\Sigma)+P(\Sigma)] \delta \Sigma=P(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma
$$

The following notation will be needed to define line integrals along a semimartingale $\Sigma$.

Notation 5.12. Let $P(m)$ be orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ onto $\tau_{m} M$ as above.
(1) Given a one-form $\alpha$ on $M$ let $\tilde{\alpha}: M \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{*}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\alpha}(m) v:=\alpha\left((P(m) v)_{m}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m \in M$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(2) Let $\Gamma\left(T^{*} M \otimes T^{*} M\right)$ denote the set of functions $\rho: \cup_{m \in M} T_{m} M \otimes T_{m} M \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho_{m}:=\left.\rho\right|_{T_{m} M \otimes T_{m} M}$ is linear, and $m \rightarrow \rho(X(m) \otimes Y(m))$ is a smooth function on $M$ for all smooth vector-fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$. (Riemannian metrics and Hessians of smooth functions are examples of elements of $\Gamma\left(T^{*} M \otimes T^{*} M\right)$.)
(3) For $\rho \in \Gamma\left(T^{*} M \otimes T^{*} M\right)$, let $\tilde{\rho}: M \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{*}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}(m)(v \otimes w):=\rho\left((P(m) v)_{m} \otimes(P(m) w)_{m}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 5.13. Let $\alpha$ be a one form on $M, \rho \in \Gamma\left(T^{*} M \otimes T^{*} M\right)$, and $\Sigma$ be an $M$ - valued semi-martingale. Then the Fisk-Stratonovich integral of $\alpha$ along $\Sigma$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha(\delta \Sigma):=\int_{0}^{\cdot} \tilde{\alpha}(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Itô integral is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha(\bar{d} \Sigma):=\int_{0} \tilde{\alpha}(\Sigma) d \Sigma \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the stochastic integrals on the right hand sides of Eqs. 5.11) and (5.12) are Fisk-Stratonovich and Itô integrals respectively. Formally, $\bar{d} \Sigma:=P(\Sigma) d \Sigma$. We also define quadratic integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \rho(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma):=\int_{0}^{\cdot} \tilde{\rho}(\Sigma)(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma):=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \int_{0} \tilde{\rho}(\Sigma)\left(e_{i} \otimes e_{j}\right) d\left[\Sigma^{i}, \Sigma^{j}\right] \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{N}, \Sigma^{i}:=\left\langle e_{i}, \Sigma\right\rangle$, and $d\left[\Sigma^{i}, \Sigma^{j}\right]$ is the differential of the mutual quadratic variation of $\Sigma^{i}$ and $\Sigma^{j}$.

So as not to confuse $\left[\Sigma^{i}, \Sigma^{j}\right]$ with a commutator or a Lie bracket, in the sequel we will write $d \Sigma^{i} d \Sigma^{j}$ for $d\left[\Sigma^{i}, \Sigma^{j}\right]$.

Remark 5.14. The above definitions may be generalized as follows. Suppose that $\alpha$ is now a $T^{*} M$ - valued semi-martingale and $\Sigma$ is the $M$ valued semi-martingale such that $\alpha_{s} \in T_{\Sigma_{s}}^{*} M$ for all $s$. Then we may define

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\alpha}_{s} v:=\alpha_{s}\left(\left(P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) v\right)_{\Sigma_{s}}\right), \\
\int_{0} \alpha(\delta \Sigma):=\int_{0} \tilde{\alpha} \delta \Sigma \tag{5.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha(\bar{d} \Sigma):=\int_{0}^{\cdot} \tilde{\alpha} d \Sigma \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $\rho$ is a process in $T^{*} M \otimes T^{*} M$ such that $\rho_{s} \in T_{\Sigma_{s}}^{*} M \otimes T_{\Sigma_{s}}^{*} M$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \rho(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma)=\int_{0}^{\cdot} \tilde{\rho}(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{s}(v \otimes w):=\rho_{s}\left(\left(P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) v\right)_{\Sigma_{s}} \otimes\left(P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) v\right)_{\Sigma_{s}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} e_{i} \otimes e_{j} d \Sigma^{i} d \Sigma^{j} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in Eq. 5.13.

Lemma 5.15. Suppose that $\alpha=f d g$ for some functions $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M)$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha(\delta \Sigma)=\int_{0}^{.} f(\Sigma) \delta[g(\Sigma)]
$$

Since, by Corollary 3.42, any one form $\alpha$ on $M$ may be written as $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i} d g_{i}$ with $f_{i}, g_{i} \in C^{\infty}(M)$, it follows that the Fisk-Stratonovich integral is intrinsically defined independent of how $M$ is imbedded into a Euclidean space.

Proof. Let $G$ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $g=\left.G\right|_{M}$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}(m)=$ $f(m) G^{\prime}(m) P(m)$, so that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\int_{0} \alpha(\delta \Sigma) & =\int_{0} f(\Sigma) G^{\prime}(\Sigma) P(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma \\
& =\int_{0} f(\Sigma) G^{\prime}(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma & & \text { (by Theorem 5.11) } \\
& =\int_{0} f(\Sigma) \delta[G(\Sigma)] & & \text { (by Itô's Lemma) } \\
& =\int_{0}^{0} f(\Sigma) \delta[g(\Sigma)] . & & (g(\Sigma)=G(\Sigma))
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 5.16. Suppose that $\rho=f d h \otimes d g$, where $f, g, h \in C^{\infty}(M)$, then

$$
\int_{0} \rho(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma)=\int_{0} f(\Sigma) d[h(\Sigma), g(\Sigma)]=: \int_{0} f(\Sigma) d[h(\Sigma)] d[g(\Sigma)]
$$

Since, by an argument similar to that in Corollary 3.42, any $\rho \in \Gamma\left(T^{*} M \otimes T^{*} M\right)$ may be written as a finite linear combination $\rho=\sum_{i} f_{i} d h_{i} \otimes d g_{i}$ with $f_{i}, h_{i}, g_{i} \in$ $C^{\infty}(M)$, it follows that the quadratic integral is intrinsically defined independent of the imbedding.

Proof. By Theorem 5.11, $\delta \Sigma=P(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{s}^{i} & =\Sigma_{0}^{i}+\int_{0}\left(e_{i}, P(\Sigma) d \Sigma\right)+B . V \\
& =\Sigma_{0}^{i}+\sum_{k} \int_{0}\left(e_{i}, P(\Sigma) e_{k}\right) d \Sigma^{k}+B . V .
\end{aligned}
$$

where B.V. denotes a process of bounded variation. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left[\Sigma^{i}, \Sigma^{j}\right]=\sum_{k, l}\left(e_{i}, P(\Sigma) e_{k}\right)\left(e_{i}, P(\Sigma) e_{l}\right) d \Sigma^{k} d \Sigma^{l} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $H$ and $G$ be in $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $h=\left.H\right|_{M}$ and $g=\left.G\right|_{M}$. By Itô's lemma and Eq. 5.18,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d[h(\Sigma), g(\Sigma)] & =\sum_{i, j}\left(H^{\prime}(\Sigma) e_{i}\right)\left(G^{\prime}(\Sigma) e_{j}\right) d\left[\Sigma^{i}, \Sigma^{j}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k, l}\left(H^{\prime}(\Sigma) e_{i}\right)\left(G^{\prime}(\Sigma) e_{j}\right)\left(e_{i}, P(\Sigma) e_{k}\right)\left(e_{i}, P(\Sigma) e_{l}\right) d \Sigma^{k} d \Sigma^{l} \\
& =\sum_{k, l}\left(H^{\prime}(\Sigma) P(\Sigma) e_{k}\right)\left(G^{\prime}(\Sigma) P(\Sigma) e_{l}\right) d \Sigma^{k} d \Sigma^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\tilde{\rho}(m)=f(m) \cdot\left(H^{\prime}(m) P(m)\right) \otimes\left(G^{\prime}(m) P(m)\right)
$$

it follows from Eq. 5.13 and the two above displayed equations that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0} f(\Sigma) d[h(\Sigma), g(\Sigma)] & :=\int_{0} \sum_{k, l} f(\Sigma)\left(H^{\prime}(\Sigma) P(\Sigma) e_{k}\right)\left(G^{\prime}(\Sigma) P(\Sigma) e_{l}\right) d \Sigma^{k} d \Sigma^{l} \\
& =\int_{0} \tilde{\rho}(\Sigma)(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma)=: \int_{0} \rho(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5.17. Let $\alpha$ be a one form on $M$, and $\Sigma$ be a $M$ - valued semimartingale. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha(\delta \Sigma)=\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha(\bar{d} \Sigma)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\cdot} \nabla \alpha(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma) \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla \alpha\left(v_{m} \otimes w_{m}\right):=\left(\nabla_{v_{m}} \alpha\right)\left(w_{m}\right)$ and $\nabla \alpha$ is defined in Definition 3.40, also see Lemma 3.41. (This shows that the Itô integral depends not only on the manifold structure of $M$ but on the geometry of $M$ as reflected in the Levi-Civita covariant derivative $\nabla$.)

Proof. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be as in Eq. 5.9. For the purposes of the proof, suppose that $\tilde{\alpha}: M \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{*}$ has been extended to a smooth function from $\mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{*}$. We still denote this extension by $\tilde{\alpha}$. Then using Eq. 5.18,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0} & \alpha(\delta \Sigma):=\int_{0} \tilde{\alpha}(\Sigma) \delta \Sigma \\
& =\int_{0} \tilde{\alpha}(\Sigma) d \Sigma+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0} \tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}(\Sigma)(d \Sigma) d \Sigma \\
& =\int_{0} \alpha(\bar{d} \Sigma)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k, l} \int_{0} \tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}(\Sigma)\left(e_{i}\right) e_{j}\left(e_{i}, P(\Sigma) e_{k}\right)\left(e_{i}, P(\Sigma) e_{l}\right) d \Sigma^{k} d \Sigma^{l} \\
& =\int_{0} \alpha(\bar{d} \Sigma)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} \int_{0} \tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}(\Sigma)\left(P(\Sigma) e_{k}\right) P(\Sigma) e_{l} d \Sigma^{k} d \Sigma^{l} \\
& =\int_{0} \alpha(\bar{d} \Sigma)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} \int_{0} d \tilde{\alpha}\left(\left(P(\Sigma) e_{k}\right)_{\Sigma}\right) P(\Sigma) e_{l} d \Sigma^{k} d \Sigma^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

But by Eq. 3.45, we know for all $v_{m}, w_{m} \in T M$ that

$$
\nabla \alpha\left(v_{m} \otimes w_{m}\right)=d \tilde{\alpha}\left(v_{m}\right) w
$$

which combined with the previous equation implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha(\delta \Sigma) & =\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha(\bar{d} \Sigma)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} \int_{0}^{\cdot} \nabla \alpha\left(\left(P(\Sigma) e_{k}\right)_{\Sigma} \otimes\left(P(\Sigma) e_{l}\right)_{\Sigma}\right) d \Sigma^{k} d \Sigma^{l} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha(\bar{d} \Sigma)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} \int_{0}^{\cdot} \nabla \alpha(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 5.18 (Itô's Lemma for Manifolds). If $u \in C^{\infty}((0, T) \times M)$ and $\Sigma$ is an $M$-valued semi-martingale, then

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left[u\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)\right] & =\left(\partial_{s} u\right)\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) d s \\
& +d_{M}[u(s, \cdot)]\left(\bar{d} \Sigma_{s}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla d_{M} u(s, \cdot)\right)\left(d \Sigma_{s} \otimes d \Sigma_{s}\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where, as in Notation 2.20, $d_{M} u(s, \cdot)$ is being used to denote the differential of the map: $m \in M \rightarrow u(s, m)$.

Proof. Let $U \in C^{\infty}\left((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $u(s, \cdot)=\left.U(s, \cdot)\right|_{M}$. Then by Itô's lemma and Theorem 5.11,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left[u\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)\right]= & d\left[U\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=\left(\partial_{s} U\right)\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) d s+D_{\Sigma} U\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) \delta \Sigma_{s} \\
= & \left(\partial_{s} U\right)\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) d s+D_{\Sigma} U\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta \Sigma_{s} \\
= & \left(\partial_{s} u\right)\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) d s+d_{M}[u(s, \cdot)]\left(\delta \Sigma_{s}\right) \\
= & \left(\partial_{s} u\right)\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) d s+d_{M}[u(s, \cdot)]\left(\bar{d} \Sigma_{s}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla d_{M} u(s, \cdot)\right)\left(d \Sigma_{s} \otimes d \Sigma_{s}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

wherein the last equality is a consequence of Theorem 5.17

## 5.3. $M$ - valued Martingales and Brownian Motions.

Definition 5.19. An $M$ - valued semi-martingale $\Sigma$ is said to be a (local) martingale (more precisely a $\nabla$-martingale) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\cdot} d f(\bar{d} \Sigma)=f(\Sigma)-f\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\cdot} \nabla d f(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a (local) martingale for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. (See Theorem 5.17 for the truth of the equality in Eq. 5.21).) The process $\Sigma$ is said to be a Brownian motion if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\Sigma)-f\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\cdot} \Delta f(\Sigma) d \lambda \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a local martingale for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, where $\lambda(s):=s$ and $\int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta f(\Sigma) d \lambda$ denotes the process $s \rightarrow \int_{0}^{s} \Delta f(\Sigma) d \lambda$.
Theorem 5.20 (Projection Construction of Brownian Motion). Suppose that $B=\left(B^{1}, B^{2}, \ldots, B^{N}\right)$ is an $N$ - dimensional Brownian motion. The there is $a$ unique $M$ - valued semi-martingale $\Sigma$ which solves the Fisk-Stratonovich stochastic differential equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Sigma=P(\Sigma) \delta B \quad \text { with } \quad \Sigma_{0}=o \in M \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Figure 13. Moreover, $\Sigma$ is an $M$ - valued Brownian motion.
Proof. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $X_{i}(m):=P(m) e_{i} \in T_{m} M$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ and $m \in M$. Then Eq. (5.23) is equivalent to the Stochastic differential equation.,

$$
\delta \Sigma=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}(\Sigma) \delta B^{i} \quad \text { with } \quad \Sigma_{0}=o \in M
$$



Figure 13. Projection construction of Brownian motion on $M$.
which has a unique solution by Theorem5.10. Using Lemma 5.6 , this equation may be rewritten in Itô form as

$$
d[f(\Sigma)]=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} f(\Sigma) d B^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}^{2} f(\Sigma) d s \text { for all } f \in C^{\infty}(M)
$$

This completes the proof since $\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}^{2}=\Delta$ by Proposition 3.48 .
Lemma 5.21 (Lévy's Criteria). For each $m \in M$, let $\mathcal{I}(m):=\sum_{i=1}^{d} E_{i} \otimes E_{i}$, where $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_{m} M$. An $M$ - valued semi-martingale, $\Sigma$, is a Brownian motion iff $\Sigma$ is a martingale and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma=\mathcal{I}(\Sigma) d \lambda \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

More precisely, this last condition is to be interpreted as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0} \rho(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma)=\int_{0} \rho(\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)) d \lambda \forall \rho \in \Gamma\left(T^{*} M \otimes T^{*} M\right) \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a Brownian motion on $M$ (so Eq. 5.22 holds) and $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Then on one hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(f(\Sigma) g(\Sigma)) & =d[f(\Sigma)] \cdot g(\Sigma)+f(\Sigma) d[g(\Sigma)]+d[f(\Sigma), g(\Sigma)] \\
& \cong \frac{1}{2}\{\Delta f(\Sigma) g(\Sigma)+f(\Sigma) \Delta g(\Sigma)\} d \lambda+d[f(\Sigma), g(\Sigma)]
\end{aligned}
$$

where " $\cong "$ denotes equality up to the differential of a martingale. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(f(\Sigma) g(\Sigma)) & \cong \frac{1}{2} \Delta(f g)(\Sigma) d \lambda \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\{\Delta f(\Sigma) g(\Sigma)+f(\Sigma) \Delta g(\Sigma)+2\langle\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} g\rangle(\Sigma)\} d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the above two equations implies that

$$
d[f(\Sigma), g(\Sigma)]=\langle\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} g\rangle(\Sigma) d \lambda=d f \otimes d g(\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)\rangle d \lambda
$$

Therefore by Lemma 5.16, if $\rho=h \cdot d f \otimes d g$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0} \rho(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma) & =\int_{0} h(\Sigma) d[f(\Sigma), g(\Sigma)] \\
& =\int_{0} h(\Sigma)(d f \otimes d g)(\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)) d \lambda=\int_{0} \rho(\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)) d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the general element $\rho$ of $\Gamma\left(T^{*} M \otimes T^{*} M\right)$ is a finite linear combination of expressions of the form $h d f \otimes d g$, it follows that Eq. 5.24 holds. Moreover, Eq. (5.24) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla d f)(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma)=(\nabla d f)(\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)) d \lambda=\Delta f(\Sigma) d \lambda \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\Sigma) & -f\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0} \nabla d f(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma) \\
& =f(\Sigma)-f\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0} \Delta f(\Sigma) d \lambda \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

is a martingale and so by definition $\Sigma$ is a martingale.
Conversely assume $\Sigma$ is a martingale and Eq. (5.24) holds. Then Eq. (5.26) and Eq. 5.27 hold and they imply $\Sigma$ is a Brownian motion, see Definition 5.19 .

Definition $5.22\left(\delta^{\nabla} V:=P \delta V\right)$. Suppose $\alpha$ is a one form on $M$ and $V$ is a $T M$-valued semi-martingale, i.e. $V_{s}=\left(\Sigma_{s}, v_{s}\right)$, where $\Sigma$ is an $M$ - valued semimartingale and $v$ is a $\mathbb{R}^{N}$-valued semi-martingale such that $v_{s} \in \tau_{\Sigma_{s}} M$ for all $s$. Then we define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha\left(\delta^{\nabla} V\right):=\int_{0}^{\cdot} \tilde{\alpha}(\Sigma) \delta v=\int_{0} \alpha(\Sigma)(P(\Sigma) \delta v) \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.23. Suppose that $\alpha\left(v_{m}\right)=\theta(m) v$, where $\theta: M \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{*}$ is a smooth function. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \alpha\left(\delta^{\nabla} V\right):=\int_{0}^{\cdot} \theta(\Sigma) P(\Sigma) \delta v=\int_{0}^{\cdot} \theta(\Sigma)\{\delta v+d Q(\delta \Sigma) v\}
$$

where we have used the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\nabla} V=P(\Sigma) \delta v=\delta v+d Q(\delta \Sigma) v \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last identity follows by taking the differential of the identity, $v=P(\Sigma) v$, as in the proof of Proposition 3.32

Proposition 5.24 (Product Rule). Keeping the notation of above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\alpha(V))=\nabla \alpha(\delta \Sigma \otimes V)+\alpha\left(\delta^{\nabla} V\right) \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla \alpha(\delta \Sigma \otimes V):=\gamma(\delta \Sigma)$ and $\gamma$ is the $T^{*} M-$ valued semi-martingale defined by

$$
\gamma_{s}(w):=\nabla \alpha\left(w \otimes V_{s}\right)=\left(\nabla_{w} \alpha\right)\left(V_{s}\right) \text { for any } w \in T_{\Sigma_{s}} M
$$

Proof. Let $\theta: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{*}$ be a smooth map such that $\tilde{\alpha}(m)=\left.\theta(m)\right|_{\tau_{m} M}$ for all $m \in M$. By Lemma 5.15, $\delta(\theta(\Sigma) P(\Sigma))=d(\theta P)(\delta \Sigma)$ and hence by Lemma
$3.41 \delta(\theta(\Sigma) P(\Sigma)) v=\nabla \alpha(\delta \Sigma \otimes V)$, where $\nabla \alpha\left(v_{m} \otimes w_{m}\right):=\left(\nabla_{v_{m}} \alpha\right)\left(w_{m}\right)$ for all $v_{m}, w_{m} \in T M$. Therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(\alpha(V)) & =\delta(\theta(\Sigma) v)=\delta(\theta(\Sigma) P(\Sigma) v)=(d(\theta P)(\delta \Sigma)) v+\theta(\Sigma) P(\Sigma) \delta v \\
& =(d(\theta P)(\delta \Sigma)) v+\tilde{\alpha}(\Sigma) \delta v=\nabla \alpha(\delta \Sigma \otimes V)+\alpha\left(\delta^{\nabla} V\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.4. Stochastic Parallel Translation and Development Maps.

Definition 5.25. A $T M$ - valued semi-martingale $V$ is said to be parallel if $\delta^{\nabla} V \equiv 0$, i.e. $\int_{0}^{\dot{*}} \alpha\left(\delta^{\nabla} V\right) \equiv 0$ for all one forms $\alpha$ on $M$.
Proposition 5.26. A TM - valued semi-martingale $V=(\Sigma, v)$ is parallel iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0} P(\Sigma) \delta v=\int_{0}\{\delta v+d Q(\delta \Sigma) v\} \equiv 0 \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{N}\right)$ denote the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $V$ is parallel then,

$$
0 \equiv \int_{0} d x^{i}\left(\delta^{\nabla} V\right)=\int_{0}\left\langle e_{i}, P(\Sigma) \delta v\right\rangle
$$

for each $i$ which implies Eq. (5.31). The converse follows from Remark 5.23 .
In the following theorem, $V_{0}$ is said to be a measurable vector-field on $M$ if $V_{0}(m)=(m, v(m))$ with $v: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ being a measurable function such that $v(m) \in \tau_{m} M$ for all $m \in M$.
Theorem 5.27 (Stochastic Parallel Translation on $M \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ ). Let $\Sigma$ be an $M-$ valued semi-martingale, and $V_{0}(m)=(m, v(m))$ be a measurable vector-field on $M$, then there is a unique parallel TM-valued semi-martingale $V$ such that $V_{0}=V_{0}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)$ and $V_{s} \in T_{\Sigma_{s}} M$ for all $s$. Moreover, if $u$ denotes the solution to the stochastic differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta u+\Gamma(\delta \Sigma) u=0 \quad \text { with } \quad u_{0}=I \in O(N) \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $O(N)$ is as in Example 2.6 and $\Gamma$ is as in Eq. 3.65) then $V_{s}=$ $\left(\Sigma_{s}, u_{s} v\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)\right.$. The process $u$ defined in (5.32) is orthogonal for all $s$ and satisfies $P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) u_{s}=u_{s} P\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)$. Moreover if $\Sigma_{0}=o \in M$ a.e. and $v \in \tau_{o} M$ and $w \perp \tau_{o} M$, then $u_{s} v$ and $u_{s} w$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left[u_{s} v\right]+d Q(\delta \Sigma) u_{s} v=P(\Sigma) \delta\left[u_{s} v\right]=0 \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left[u_{s} w\right]+d P(\delta \Sigma) u_{s} v=Q(\Sigma) \delta\left[u_{s} v\right]=0 \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The assertions prior to Eq. (5.33) are the stochastic analogs of Lemmas 3.56 and 3.57 . The proof may be given by replacing $\frac{d}{d s}$ everywhere in the proofs of Lemmas 3.56 and 3.57 by $\delta_{s}$ to get a proof in this stochastic setting. Eqs. (5.33) and (5.34) are now easily verified, for example using and $P(\Sigma) u v=u v$, we have

$$
\delta[u v]=\delta[P(\Sigma) u v]=P(\delta \Sigma) u v+P(\Sigma) \delta[u v]
$$

which proves the first equality in Eq. (5.33). For the second equality in Eq. (5.33),

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\Sigma) \delta[u v] & =-P(\Sigma) \Gamma(\delta \Sigma)[u v] \\
& =-P(\Sigma)[d Q(\delta \Sigma) P(\Sigma)+d P(\delta \Sigma) Q(\Sigma)][u v] \\
& =-d Q(\delta \Sigma) Q(\Sigma) P(\Sigma) \delta[u v]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where Lemma 3.30 was used in the third equality. The proof of Eq. (5.34) is completely analogous. The skeptical reader is referred to Section 3 of Driver [47] for more details.

Definition 5.28 (Stochastic Parallel Translation). Given $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and an $M$ valued semi-martingale $\Sigma$, let $/ /_{s}(\Sigma) v_{\Sigma_{0}}=\left(\Sigma_{s}, u_{s} v\right)$, where $u$ solves 5.32). (Note: $\left.V_{s}=/ / s(\Sigma) V_{0}.\right)$

In the remainder of these notes, I will often abuse notation and write $u_{s}$ instead of $/ /_{s}:=/ /_{s}(\Sigma)$ and $v_{s}$ rather than $V_{s}=\left(\Sigma_{s}, v_{s}\right)$. For example, the reader should sometimes interpret $u_{s} v$ as $/ / s(\Sigma) v_{\Sigma_{0}}$ depending on the context. Essentially, we will be identifying $\tau_{m} M$ with $T_{m} M$ when no particular confusion will arise.

Convention. Let us now fix a base point $o \in M$ and unless otherwise noted, we will assume that all $M$ - valued semi-martingales, $\Sigma$, start of $o \in M$, i.e. $\Sigma_{0}=o$ a.e.

To each $M$ - valued semi-martingale, $\Sigma$, let $\Psi(\Sigma):=b$ where

$$
b:=\int_{0}^{\cdot} / /^{-1} \delta \Sigma=\int_{0} u^{-1} \delta \Sigma=\int_{0}^{r} u^{\operatorname{tr}} \delta \Sigma
$$

Then $b=\Psi(\Sigma)$ is a $T_{o} M$ - valued semi-martingale such that $b_{0}=0_{o} \in T_{o} M$. The converse holds as well.

Theorem 5.29 (Stochastic Development Map). Suppose that $o \in M$ is given and $b$ is a $T_{o} M$ - valued semi-martingale. Then there exists a unique $M$ - valued semi-martingale $\Sigma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Sigma_{s}=/ /{ }_{s} \delta b_{s}=u_{s} \delta b_{s} \quad \text { with } \quad \Sigma_{0}=o \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ solves (5.32). .
Proof. This theorem is a stochastic analog of Theorem 4.10 and the reader is again referred to Figure 11. To prove the existence and uniqueness, we may follow the method in the proof of Theorem 4.10. Namely, the pair $(\Sigma, u) \in M \times O(N)$ solves an Stochastic differential equation. of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \Sigma & =u \delta b \quad \text { with } \quad \Sigma_{0}=o \\
\delta u & =-\Gamma(\delta \Sigma) u=-\Gamma(u \delta b) u \quad \text { with } \quad u_{0}=I \in O(N)
\end{aligned}
$$

which after a little effort can be expressed in a form for which Theorem 5.10 may be applied. The details will be left to the reader, or see (for example) Section 3 of Driver 47].

Notation 5.30. As in the smooth case, define $\Sigma=\phi(b)$, so that

$$
\Psi(\Sigma):=\phi^{-1}(b)=\int_{0} / /_{r}(\Sigma)^{-1} \delta \Sigma_{r}
$$

In what follows, we will assume that $b_{s}, u_{s}$ (or equivalently $/ /_{s}(\Sigma)$ ), and $\Sigma_{s}$ are related by Equations (5.35) and (5.32), i.e. $\Sigma=\phi(b)$ and $u=/ /=/ /(\Sigma)$. Recall that $\bar{d} \Sigma=P(\Sigma) d \Sigma$ is the Itô differential of $\Sigma$, see Definition 5.13 .

Proposition 5.31. Let $\Sigma=\phi(b)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{d} \Sigma=P(\Sigma) d \Sigma=u d b \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma=u d b \otimes u d b:=\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} u e_{i} \otimes u e_{j} d b^{i} d b^{j} \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_{o} M$ and $b=\sum_{i=1}^{d} b^{i} e_{i}$. More precisely

$$
\int_{0}^{\cdot} \rho(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma)=\int_{0} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d} \rho\left(u e_{i} \otimes u e_{j}\right) d b^{i} d b^{j}
$$

for all $\rho \in \Gamma\left(T^{*} M \otimes T^{*} M\right)$.
Proof. Consider the identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \Sigma & =u \delta b=u d b+\frac{1}{2} d u d b \\
& =u d b-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\delta \Sigma) u d b=u d b-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(u d b) u d b
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is as defined in Eq. 3.65. Hence

$$
\bar{d} \Sigma=P(\Sigma) d \Sigma=u d b-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d} P(\Sigma) \Gamma\left(\left(u e_{i}\right)_{\Sigma}\right) u e_{j} d b^{i} d b^{j}
$$

The proof of Eq. 5.36 is finished upon observing,

$$
P \Gamma P=P\{d Q P+d P Q\} P=P d Q P=P Q d Q=0
$$

The proof of Eq. 5.37 is easy and will be left for the reader.
Fact 5.32. If $(M, g)$ is a complete Riemannian manifold and the Ricci curvature tensor is bounded from below ${ }^{5}$ then $\Delta=\Delta_{g}$ acting on $C_{c}^{\infty}(M)$ is essentially selfadjoint, i.e. the closure $\bar{\Delta}$ of $\Delta$ is an unbounded self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}(M, d \lambda)$. (Here $d \lambda=\sqrt{g} d x^{1} \ldots d x^{n}$ is being used to denote the Riemann volume measure on $M$.) Moreover, the semi-group $e^{t \bar{\Delta} / 2}$ has a smooth integral kernel, $p_{t}(x, y)$, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{t}(x, y) \geq 0 \text { for all } x, y \in M \\
\int_{M} p_{t}(x, y) d \lambda(y)=1 \text { for all } x \in M \text { and } \\
\left(e^{t \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\right)(x)=\int_{M} p_{t}(x, y) f(y) d \lambda(y) \text { for all } f \in L^{2}(M)
\end{gathered}
$$

If $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(M)$, the function $u(t, x):=e^{t \bar{\Delta} / 2} f(x)$ is smooth for $t>0$ and $x \in M$ and $L e^{t \bar{\Delta} / 2} f(x)$ is continuous for $t \geq 0$ and $x \in M$ for any smooth linear differential operator $L$ on $C^{\infty}(M)$. For these results, see for example Strichartz [165], Dodziuk [43] and Davies 41.

Theorem 5.33 (Stochastic Rolling Constructions). Assume $M$ is compact and let $\Sigma, u_{s}=/ / s$, and $b$ be as in Theorem 5.29, then:
(1) $\Sigma$ is a martingale iff $b$ is a $T_{o} M$ - valued martingale.
(2) $\Sigma$ is a Brownian motion iff b is a $T_{o} M$ - valued Brownian motion.

[^5]Furthermore if $\Sigma$ is a Brownian motion, $T \in(0, \infty)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, then

$$
M_{s}:=\left(e^{(T-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)
$$

is a martingale for $s \in[0, T]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d M_{s}=\left(d e^{(T-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\right)\left(u_{s} d b_{s}\right)_{\Sigma_{s}}=\left(d e^{(T-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\right)\left(/ /_{s} d b_{s}\right) \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Keep the same notation as in Proposition 5.31 and let $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. By Proposition 5.31, if $b$ is a martingale, then $\int_{0}^{c} d f(d \Sigma)=\int_{0}^{\cdot} d f(u d b)$ is also a martingale and hence $\Sigma$ is a martingale, see Definition 5.19. Combining this with Corollary 5.18 and Proposition 5.31 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d[f(\Sigma)] & =d f(\bar{d} \Sigma)+\frac{1}{2} \nabla d f(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma) \\
& =d f(u d b)+\frac{1}{2} \nabla d f(u d b \otimes u d b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u$ is an isometry, if and $b$ is a Brownian motion then $u d b \otimes u d b=\mathcal{I}(\Sigma) d \lambda$. Hence

$$
d[f(\Sigma)]=d f(u d b)+\frac{1}{2} \Delta f(\Sigma) d \lambda
$$

from which it follows that $\Sigma$ is a Brownian motion.
Conversely, if $\Sigma$ is a $M$ - valued martingale, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N:=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{.} d x^{i}(\bar{d} \Sigma) e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{.}\left\langle e_{i}, u d b\right\rangle e_{i}=\int_{0} u d b \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a martingale, where $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{N}\right)$ are standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. From Eq. 5.39, it follows that $b=\int_{0}^{*} u^{-1} d N$ is also a martingale.

Now suppose that $\Sigma$ is an $M$ - valued Brownian motion, then we have already proved that $b$ is a martingale. To finish the proof it suffices by Lévy's criteria (Lemma 5.21) to show that $d b \otimes d b=\mathcal{I}(o) d \lambda$. But $\Sigma=N+$ (bounded variation) and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
d b \otimes d b & =u^{-1} d \Sigma \otimes u^{-1} d \Sigma=u^{-1} d N \otimes u^{-1} d N \\
& =\left(u^{-1} \otimes u^{-1}\right)(d \Sigma \otimes d \Sigma) \\
& =\left(u^{-1} \otimes u^{-1}\right) \mathcal{I}(\Sigma) d \lambda=\mathcal{I}(o) d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

wherein Eq. (5.24) was used in the fourth equality and the orthogonality of $u$ was used in the last equality.

To prove Eq. 5.38, let $M_{s}=u\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)$ where $u(s, x):=\left(e^{(T-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\right)(x)$ which satisfies

$$
\partial_{s} u(s, x)+\frac{1}{2} \Delta u(s, x)=0 \text { with } u(T, x)=f(x)
$$

By Itô's Lemma (see Corollary 5.18) along with Lemma 5.21 and Proposition 5.31 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d M_{s} & =\partial_{s} u\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) d s+d_{M}[u(s, \cdot)]\left(\bar{d} \Sigma_{s}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \nabla d_{M}[u(s, \cdot)]\left(d \Sigma_{s} \otimes d \Sigma_{s}\right) \\
& =\partial_{s} u\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) d s+\frac{1}{2} \Delta u\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) d s+\left(d_{M} e^{(T-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\right)\left(\left(u_{s} d b_{s}\right)_{\Sigma_{s}}\right) \\
& =\left(d_{M} e^{(T-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\right)\left(\left(u_{s} d b_{s}\right)_{\Sigma_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The rolling construction of Brownian motion seems to have first been discovered by Eells and Elworthy [63] who used ideas of Gangolli [87. The relationship of the stochastic development map to stochastic differential equations on the orthogonal frame bundle $O(M)$ of $M$ is pointed out in Elworthy 66, 67, 68. The frame bundle point of view has also been extensively developed by Malliavin, see for example [130, 129, 131. For a more detailed history of the stochastic development map, see pp. 156-157 in Elworthy [68. The reader may also wish to consult [74, 103, 116, 132, 171, 101.

Corollary 5.34. If $\Sigma$ is a Brownian motion on $M$,

$$
\pi=\left\{0=s_{0}<s_{1}<\cdots<s_{n}=T\right\}
$$

is a partition of $[0, T]$ and $f \in C^{\infty}\left(M^{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)=\int_{M^{n}} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{\Delta_{i} s}\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right) d \lambda\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{i} s:=s_{i}-s_{i-1}, x_{0}:=o$ and $\lambda:=\lambda_{M}$. In particular $\Sigma$ is a Markov process relative to the filtration, $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{s}\right\}$ where $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ is the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\left\{\Sigma_{\tau}: \tau \leq s\right\}$.

Proof. By standard measure theoretic arguments, it suffices to prove Eq. 5.40 when $f$ is a product function of the form $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ with $f_{i} \in C^{\infty}(M)$. By Theorem 5.33, $M_{s}:=e^{(T-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f_{n}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$ is a martingale for $s \leq T$ and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s_{i}}\right) \cdot M_{T}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s_{i}}\right) \cdot M_{s_{n-1}}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s_{i}}\right) \cdot\left(P_{\Delta_{n} s} f_{n}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{n-1}}\right)\right] \tag{5.41}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular if $n=1$, it follows that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[f_{1}\left(\Sigma_{T}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(e^{T \bar{\Delta} / 2} f_{1}\right)\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)\right]=\int_{M} p_{T}\left(o, x_{1}\right) f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) d \lambda\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

Now assume we have proved Eq. (5.40) with $n$ replaced by $n-1$ and to simplify notation let $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right):=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$. It would then follow from Eq. (5.41) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} & {\left[f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)\right] } \\
& =\int_{M^{n-1}} g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)\left(e^{\frac{s_{n}-s_{n-1}}{2} \bar{\Delta}} f_{n}\right)\left(x_{n-1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\Delta_{i} s}\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right) d \lambda\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\int_{M^{n-1}} g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)\left[\int_{M} f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) p_{\Delta_{n} s}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) d \lambda\left(x_{n}\right)\right] \times \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\Delta_{i} s}\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right) d \lambda\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\int_{M^{n}} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{\Delta_{i} s}\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right) d \lambda\left(x_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the induction step and hence also the proof of the theorem.
5.5. More Constructions of Semi-Martingales and Brownian Motions. Let $\Gamma$ be the one form on $M$ with values in the skew symmetric $N \times N$ matrices defined by $\Gamma=d Q P+d P Q$ as in Eq. (3.65). Given an $M$-valued semi-martingale $\Sigma$, let $u$ denote parallel translation along $\Sigma$ as defined in Eq. (5.32) of Theorem 5.27

Lemma 5.35 (Orthogonality Lemma). Suppose that $B$ is an $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ - valued semimartingale and $\Sigma$ is the solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Sigma=P(\Sigma) \delta B \quad \text { with } \quad \Sigma_{0}=o \in M \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be any orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and define $B^{i}:=\left\langle e_{i}, B\right\rangle$ then

$$
P(\Sigma) d B \otimes Q(\Sigma) d B:=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} P(\Sigma) e_{i} \otimes Q(\Sigma) e_{j}\left(d B^{i} d B^{j}\right)=0
$$

Proof. Suppose $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is another orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Using the bilinearity of the joint quadratic variation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left\langle e_{i}, B\right\rangle,\left\langle e_{j}, B\right\rangle\right] } & =\sum_{k, l}\left[\left\langle e_{i}, v_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle v_{k}, B\right\rangle,\left\langle e_{j}, v_{l}\right\rangle\left\langle v_{l}, B\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\sum_{k, l}\left\langle e_{i}, v_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{j}, v_{l}\right\rangle\left[\left\langle v_{k}, B\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{l}, B\right\rangle\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} & P(\Sigma) e_{i} \otimes Q(\Sigma) e_{j} \cdot d\left[B^{i}, B^{j}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{N}\left[P(\Sigma) e_{i} \otimes Q(\Sigma) e_{j}\right]\left\langle e_{i}, v_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{j}, v_{l}\right\rangle d\left[\left\langle v_{k}, B\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{l}, B\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\sum_{k, l=1}^{N}\left[P(\Sigma) v_{k} \otimes Q(\Sigma) v_{l}\right] d\left[\left\langle v_{k}, B\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{l}, B\right\rangle\right]
\end{array}
$$

which shows $P(\Sigma) d B \otimes Q(\Sigma) d B$ is well defined.
Now define

$$
\tilde{B}:=\int_{0} u^{-1} d B \text { and } \tilde{B}^{i}:=\left\langle e_{i}, \tilde{B}\right\rangle=\int_{0}\left\langle u e_{i}, d B\right\rangle
$$

where $u$ is parallel translation along $\Sigma$ in $M \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ as defined in Eq. 5.32. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\Sigma) d B \otimes Q(\Sigma) d B & =\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{N} P(\Sigma) u e_{k} \otimes Q(\Sigma) u e_{l}\left\langle e_{i}, u e_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{j}, u e_{l}\right\rangle\left(d B^{i} d B^{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k, l=1}^{N} P(\Sigma) u e_{k} \otimes Q(\Sigma) u e_{l}\left(d \tilde{B}^{k} d \tilde{B}^{l}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k, l=1}^{N} u P(o) e_{k} \otimes u Q(o) e_{l}\left(d \tilde{B}^{k} d \tilde{B}^{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

wherein we have used $P(\Sigma) u=u P(o)$ and $Q(\Sigma) u=u Q(o)$, see Theorem5.27. This last expression is easily seen to be zero by choosing $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ such that $P(o) e_{i}=e_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, d$ and $Q(o) e_{j}=e_{j}$ for $j=d+1, \ldots, N$.

The next proposition is a stochastic analogue of Lemma 3.55 and the proof is very similar to that of Lemma 3.55 .

Proposition 5.36. Suppose that $V$ is a $T M$ - valued semi-martingale, $\Sigma=\pi(V)$ so that $\Sigma$ is an $M$ - valued semi-martingale and $V_{s} \in T_{\Sigma_{s}} M$ for all $s \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ /{ }_{s} \delta_{s}\left[/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} V_{s}\right]=\delta_{s}^{\nabla} V_{s}=: P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta V_{s} \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $/ / s$ is stochastic parallel translation along $\Sigma$. If $Y_{s} \in \Gamma(T M)$ is a time dependent vector field, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{s}\left[/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} Y_{s}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=/ /_{s}^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{d s} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s+/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{\delta \Sigma_{s}} Y_{s} \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $w \in T_{o} M$,

$$
\begin{align*}
/ /_{s}^{-1} \delta_{s}^{\nabla}\left[\nabla_{/ / s} w Y_{s}\right] & =\delta_{s}\left[/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s w} Y_{s}\right] \\
& =/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{\delta \Sigma_{s} \otimes / / s w}^{2} Y_{s}+/ /_{s}^{-1}\left[\nabla_{/ / s} w\left(\frac{d}{d s} Y_{s}\right)\right] d s \tag{5.45}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore if $\Sigma_{s}$ is a Brownian motion, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left[/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} Y_{s}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s d b_{s}} Y_{s}+/ /_{s}^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{d s} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \\
&+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} / /{ }_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} e_{i} \otimes / / s e_{i}  \tag{5.46}\\
& Y_{s} d s
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_{o} M$.
Proof. We will use the convention of summing on repeated indices and write $u_{s}$ for stochastic parallel translation, $/ / s$, in $T M$ along $\Sigma$. Recall that $u_{s}$ solves

$$
\delta u_{s}+d Q\left(\delta \Sigma_{s}\right) u_{s}=0 \text { with } u_{0}=I_{T_{o} M}
$$

Define $\bar{u}_{s}$ as the solution to:

$$
\delta \bar{u}_{s}=\bar{u}_{s} d Q\left(\delta \Sigma_{s}\right) \text { with } \bar{u}_{0}=I_{T_{o} M}
$$

Then

$$
\delta\left(\bar{u}_{s} u_{s}\right)=-\bar{u}_{s} d Q\left(\delta \Sigma_{s}\right) u_{s}+\bar{u}_{s} d Q\left(\delta \Sigma_{s}\right) u_{s}=0
$$

from which it follows that $\bar{u}_{s} u_{s}=I$ for all $s$ and hence $\bar{u}_{s}=u_{s}^{-1}$. This proves Eq. (5.43) since

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{s} \delta_{s}\left[u_{s}^{-1} V_{s}\right] & =u_{s}\left[u_{s}^{-1} d Q\left(\delta \Sigma_{s}\right) V_{s}+u_{s}^{-1} \delta V_{s}\right] \\
& =d Q\left(\delta \Sigma_{s}\right) V_{s}+\delta V_{s}=\delta^{\nabla} V_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality comes from Eq. 5.29 .

Applying Eq. 5.43) to $V_{s}:=Y_{s}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{s}\left[/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} Y_{s}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right] & =/ /_{s}^{-1} P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta_{s}\left[Y_{s}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right] \\
& =/ /_{s}^{-1} P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\left(\frac{d}{d s} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s+/ /_{s}^{-1} P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) Y_{s}^{\prime}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta_{s} \Sigma_{s} \\
& =/ /_{s}^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{d s} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s+/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s}} Y_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Eq. 5.44 .
To prove Eq. 5.45, let $X_{i}(m)=P(m) e_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. By Proposition 3.48

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{/ / s w} Y_{s} & =\left\langle/ /_{s} w, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)  \tag{5.47}\\
& =\left\langle w, / /_{s}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
/ /{ }_{s} w=\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} w, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)=\left\langle w, / /{ }_{s}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\left\langle w, / /{ }_{s}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle / /_{s}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the covariant differential of Eq. 5.47, making use of Eq. (5.44, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{s}^{\nabla}[ & \left.\nabla / /{ }_{s} w Y_{s}\right] \\
= & \left\langle/ /{ }_{s} w, \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s}} X_{i}\right\rangle\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)+\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} w, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s}} \nabla_{X_{i}} Y_{s} \\
& +\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} w, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle\left(\nabla_{X_{i}}\left(\frac{d}{d s} Y_{s}\right)\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \\
= & \left\langle/ /{ }_{s} w, \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s}} X_{i}\right\rangle\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)+\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} w, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s} \otimes X_{i}}^{2} Y_{s} \\
& \quad+\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} w, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle \nabla_{\nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s} X_{i}}} Y_{s}+\left(\nabla_{/ / s w}\left(\frac{d}{d s} Y_{s}\right)\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \\
= & \left(\nabla_{\left\langle/ / s w, \nabla_{\left.\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s} X_{i}\right\rangle} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)+\left\langle/ / s w, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s} X_{i}} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)} \quad+\quad \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s} \otimes / / s w}^{2} Y_{s}+\left(\nabla_{/ /{ }_{s} w}\left(\frac{d}{d s} Y_{s}\right)\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s,\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the differential of Eq. 5.48 implies

$$
0=\delta w=\left\langle w, / /{ }_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s}} X_{i}\right\rangle / /_{s}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)+\left\langle w, / /_{s}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle / /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s}} X_{i}
$$

which upon multiplying by $/ / s$ shows

$$
\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} w, \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s}} X_{i}\right\rangle X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)+\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} w, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\rangle \nabla_{\delta_{s} \Sigma_{s}} X_{i}=0
$$

Using this identity in Eq. 5.49) completes the proof of Eq. 5.45.
Now suppose that $\Sigma_{s}$ is a Brownian motion and $b_{s}=\Psi_{s}(\Sigma)$ is the anti-developed $T_{o} M$ - valued Brownian motion associated to $\Sigma$. Then by Eq. 5.44,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left[/ /_{s}^{-1} Y_{s}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right] & =/ /_{s}^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{d s} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s+/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} \delta b_{s} Y_{s} \\
& =/ /_{s}^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{d s} Y_{s}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s+\left(/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s e_{i}} Y_{s}\right) \delta b_{s}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Eq. 5.45,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} e_{i} Y_{s}\right) \delta b_{s}^{i} & =\left(/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s e_{i}} Y_{s}\right) d b_{s}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} d\left(/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} e_{i} Y_{s}\right) d b_{s}^{i} \\
& =/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} d b_{s} Y_{s}+\frac{1}{2} / /{ }_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{\delta \Sigma_{s} \otimes / / s e_{i}}^{2} Y_{s} d b_{s}^{i} \\
& =/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} d b_{s} Y_{s}+\frac{1}{2} / /{ }_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s e_{j} \otimes / / s e_{i}}^{2} Y_{s} d b_{s}^{i} d b_{s}^{j} \\
& =/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} d b_{s} Y_{s}+\frac{1}{2} / /{ }_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} e_{i} \otimes / / s e_{i} Y_{s} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the last two equations proves Eq. (5.46).
Theorem 5.37. Let $\Sigma_{s}$ denote the solution to Eq. 5.1) with $\Sigma_{0}=o \in M, \beta=B$ and $b_{s}=\Psi_{s}(\Sigma) \in T_{o} M$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{s}= & \int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1}(\Sigma)\left[\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \delta B_{r}+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r\right] \\
= & \int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1}(\Sigma) \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r} \\
& +\int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} X_{j}\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}^{i} d B_{r}^{j}+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r\right] . \tag{5.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence if $B$ is a Brownian motion, then

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{s}= & \int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1}(\Sigma) \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r} \\
& +\int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} X_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right)+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right)\right] d r \tag{5.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By the definition of $b$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d b_{s} & =/ /_{s}^{-1}(\Sigma)\left[\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s\right] \\
& =/ /_{s}^{-1}(\Sigma)\left[\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s\right]+\frac{1}{2} d\left[/ /_{s}^{-1}(\Sigma) \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right] d B_{s} \\
& =/ /_{s}^{-1}(\Sigma)\left[\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[/ /_{s}^{-1}(\Sigma) \nabla_{\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}} \mathbf{X}\right] d B_{s} \\
& =/ /_{s}^{-1}(\Sigma)\left[\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}+d s\right]+\frac{1}{2} / /_{s}^{-1}(\Sigma) \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} X_{j}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}^{i} d B_{s}^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

which combined with the identity,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left[/ /_{s}^{-1}(\Sigma) \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right] d B_{s} & =\left[/ /_{s}^{-1}(\Sigma) \nabla_{d \Sigma_{s}} \mathbf{X}\right] d B_{s}=\left[/ /_{s}^{-1}(\Sigma) \nabla_{\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}} \mathbf{X}\right] d B_{s} \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} X_{j}\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}^{i} d B_{s}^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

proves Eq. 5.50 .
Corollary 5.38. Suppose $B_{s}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued Brownian motion, $\Sigma_{s}$ is the solution to Eq. (5.1) with $\beta=B$ and $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\nabla_{X_{k}} X_{k}\right)+X_{0}=0$, then $\Sigma$ is an $M$ - valued
martingale with quadratic variation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Sigma_{s} \otimes d \Sigma_{s}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \otimes X_{k}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \tag{5.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Eq. (5.51) and Theorem 5.33, $\Sigma$ is a martingale and from Eq. (5.1),

$$
d \Sigma^{i} d \Sigma^{j}=\sum_{k, l=1}^{n} X_{k}^{i}(\Sigma) X_{l}^{j}(\Sigma) d B^{k} d B^{l}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}^{i}(\Sigma) X_{k}^{j}(\Sigma) d s
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{N}, \Sigma^{i}:=\left\langle\Sigma, e_{i}\right\rangle$ and $X_{k}^{i}(\Sigma)=\left\langle X_{k}(\Sigma), e_{i}\right\rangle$. Using this identity in Eq. (5.17), shows

$$
d \Sigma_{s} \otimes d \Sigma_{s}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{i} \otimes e_{j} X_{k}^{i}(\Sigma) X_{k}^{j}(\Sigma) d s=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \otimes X_{k}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s .
$$

Corollary 5.39. Suppose now that $B_{s}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ - valued semi-martingale and $\Sigma_{s}$ is the solution to Eq. (5.42) in Lemma 5.35. If $B$ is a martingale, then $\Sigma$ is a martingale and if $B$ is a Brownian motion, then $\Sigma$ is a Brownian motion.

Proof. Solving Eq. (5.42) is the same as solving Eq. (5.1) with $n=N, \beta=B$, $X_{0} \equiv 0$ and $X_{i}(m)=P(m) e_{i}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Since

$$
\nabla_{X_{i}} X_{j}=P d P\left(X_{i}\right) e_{j}=d P\left(X_{i}\right) Q e_{j}=d P\left(P e_{i}\right) Q e_{j},
$$

it follows from orthogonality Lemma 5.35 that

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} X_{j}\right)\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}^{i} d B_{r}^{j}=0 .
$$

Therefore from Eq. 5.50, $b_{s}:=\int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1} \delta \Sigma_{r}$ is a $T_{o} M$ - martingale which is equivalent to $\Sigma_{s}$ being a $M$ - valued martingale. Finally if $B$ is a Brownian motion, then from Eq. (5.52), $\Sigma$ has quadratic variation given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Sigma_{s} \otimes d \Sigma_{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) e_{i} \otimes P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) e_{i} d s \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{N} P(m) e_{i} \otimes P(m) e_{i}$ is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we may choose $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ such that $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\tau_{m} M$ to learn

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} P(m) e_{i} \otimes P(m) e_{i}=\mathcal{I}(m)
$$

Using this in Eq. (5.53) we learn that $d \Sigma_{s} \otimes d \Sigma_{s}=\mathcal{I}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s$ and hence $\Sigma$ is a Brownian motion on $M$ by the Lévy criteria, see Lemma 5.21
Theorem 5.40. Let $B$ be any $\mathbb{R}^{N}$-valued semi-martingale, $\Sigma$ be the solution to Eq. (5.42),

$$
\begin{equation*}
b:=\int_{0} u^{-1} \delta \Sigma=\int_{0} u^{-1} P(\Sigma) \delta B \tag{5.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the anti-development of $\Sigma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta:=\int_{0} u^{-1} Q(\Sigma) d B=Q(o) \int_{0} u^{-1} d B \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the "normal" process. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\int_{0}^{\cdot} u^{-1} P(\Sigma) d B=P(o) \int_{0}^{\cdot} u^{-1} d B \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the Fisk-Stratonovich integral may be replaced by the Itô integral. Moreover if $B$ is a standard $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ - valued Brownian motion then $(b, \beta)$ is also a standard $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ valued Brownian and the processes, $b_{s}, \Sigma_{s}$ and $/ / s$ are all independent of $\beta$.

Proof. Let $p=P(\Sigma)$ and $u$ be parallel translation on $M \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ (see Eq. 5.32), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(u^{-1} P(\Sigma)\right) \cdot d B & =u^{-1}[\Gamma(\delta \Sigma) P(\Sigma) d B+d P(\delta \Sigma) d B] \\
& =u^{-1}[(d Q(\delta \Sigma) P(\Sigma)+d P(\delta \Sigma) Q(\Sigma)) P(\Sigma) d B+d P(\delta \Sigma) d B] \\
& =u^{-1}[d Q(\delta \Sigma) P(\Sigma) d B-d Q(\delta \Sigma) d B] \\
& =-u^{-1} d Q(\delta \Sigma) Q(\Sigma) d B=-u^{-1} d Q(P(\Sigma) d B) Q(\Sigma) d B=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have again used $P(\Sigma) d B \otimes Q(\Sigma) d B=0$. This proves 5.56.
Now suppose that $B$ is a Brownian motion. Since $(b, \beta)=\int_{0}^{\cdot} u^{-1} d B$ and $u$ is an orthogonal process, it easily follow's using Lévy's criteria that $(b, \beta)$ is a standard Brownian motion and in particular, $\beta$ is independent of $b$. Since $(\Sigma, u)$ satisfies the coupled pair of stochastic differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \Sigma=u \delta b \text { and } d u+\Gamma(u \delta b) u=0 \text { with } \\
& \Sigma_{0}=o \text { and } u_{0}=I \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $(\Sigma, u)$ is a functional of $b$ and hence the process $(\Sigma, u)$ are independent of $\beta$.
5.6. The Differential in the Starting Point of a Stochastic Flow. In this section let $B_{s}$ be an $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued Brownian motion and for each $m \in M$ let $T_{s}(m)=$ $\Sigma_{s}$ where $\Sigma_{s}$ is the solution to Eq. (5.1) with $\Sigma_{0}=m$. It is well known, see Kunita [116] that there is a version of $T_{s}(m)$ which is continuous in $s$ and smooth in $m$, moreover the differential of $T_{s}(m)$ relative to $m$ solves the stochastic differential equation found by differentiating Eq. (5.1). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{s}:=T_{s * o} \text { and } z_{s}:=/ /_{s}^{-1} Z_{s} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{o} M\right) \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $/ / s$ is stochastic parallel translation along $\Sigma_{s}:=T_{s}(o)$.
Theorem 5.41. For all $v \in T_{o} M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{s}^{\nabla} Z_{s} v=\left(\nabla_{Z_{s} v} \mathbf{X}\right) \delta B_{s}+\left(\nabla_{Z_{s} v} X_{0}\right) d s \text { with } Z_{0} v=v \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Alternatively $z_{s}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z_{s} v=/ /_{s}^{-1}\left(\nabla_{/ / s} z_{s} v \mathbf{X}\right) \delta B_{s}+/ /_{s}^{-1}\left(\nabla_{/ / s} z_{s} v X_{0}\right) d s \tag{5.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Equations (5.58 and 5.59) are the formal analogues Eqs. 4.2 and 4.3) respectively. Because of Proposition 5.36, Eq. (5.58) is equivalent to Eq. (5.59). To prove Eq. (5.58), differentiate Eq. (5.1) in $m$ in the direction $v \in T_{o} M$ to find

$$
\delta_{s} Z_{s} v=D X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) Z_{s} v \circ \delta B_{s}^{i}+D X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) Z_{s} v d s \text { with } Z_{0} v=v
$$

Multiplying this equation through by $P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$ on the left then gives Eq. 55.58).

Notation 5.42. The pull back, Ric//s, of the Ricci tensor by parallel translation is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s}:=/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{Ric}_{\Sigma_{s}} / / s \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.43 (Itô form of Eq. (5.59). The Itô form of Eq. 5.59) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z_{s} v=/ /_{s}^{-1}\left(\nabla_{/ / s} z_{s} v \mathbf{X}\right) d B_{s}+\alpha_{s} d s \tag{5.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\alpha_{s}:=/ /_{s}^{-1}\left[\nabla_{/ / s z_{s} v}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{X_{i}} X_{i}+X_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{\nabla}\left(/ /{ }_{s} z_{s} v, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right) X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right] d s$.
If we further assume that $n=N$ and $X_{i}(m)=P(m) e_{i}$ (so that Eq. (5.1) is equivalent to Eq. (5.42) if $X_{0} \equiv 0$ ), then $\alpha_{s}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} z_{s} v d s$, i.e. Eq. (5.59) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z_{s} v=/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d P\left(/ /{ }_{s} z_{s} v\right) d B_{s}+\left[/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} z_{s} v X_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} z_{s} v\right] d s \tag{5.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In this proof there will always be an implied sum on repeated indices. Using Proposition 5.36 ,

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left[/ /_{s}^{-1}\left(\nabla_{/ / s} z_{s} v\right.\right. \\
&\mathbf{X})] d B_{s}=/ /_{s}^{-1}\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s} \otimes / /_{s} z_{s} v}^{2} \mathbf{X}+\nabla_{/ / s} d z_{s} v\right. \\
&=/ /_{s}^{-1}\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s} \otimes / / s z_{s} v}^{2} \mathbf{X}+\nabla_{\left(\nabla_{/ / s} z_{s} v\right.} \mathbf{X}\right) d B_{s}  \tag{5.64}\\
&=/ /_{s}^{-1}\left[\nabla_{X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \otimes / / s z_{s} v}^{2} X_{i}+\nabla_{\left(\nabla_{/ / s z_{s} v} X_{i}\right)} X_{i}\right] d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Now by Proposition 3.38

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \otimes / / s z_{s} v}^{2} X_{i}= & \nabla_{/ / s}^{2} z_{s} v \otimes X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \\
= & \nabla_{i}^{2} d s+R^{\nabla}\left(X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right), / / s \otimes X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s} v\right) X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right. \\
= & {\left[\nabla_{/ / s} z_{s} v \nabla_{X_{i}} X_{i}-R^{\nabla}\left(/ /{ }_{s} z_{s} v, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right) X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right.} \\
& \quad-R^{\nabla}\left(/ / s{ }_{s} z_{s} v, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right) X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which combined with Eq. (5.64) implies
$d\left[/ /{ }_{s}^{-1}\left(\nabla_{/ / s} z_{s} v \mathbf{X}\right)\right] d B_{s}=/ /_{s}^{-1}\left[\nabla_{/ / s} z_{s} v \nabla_{X_{i}} X_{i}-R^{\nabla}\left(/ /{ }_{s} z_{s} v, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right) X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right] d s$.
Eq. (5.61) is now a follows directly from this equation and Eq. 5.59).
If we further assume $n=N, X_{i}(m)=P(m) e_{i}$ and $X_{0}(m)=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{/ / s} z_{s} v \mathbf{X}\right) d B_{s}=/ /_{s}^{-1} P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d P\left(/ /{ }_{s} z_{s} v\right) d B_{s} \tag{5.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from the definition of the Ricci tensor in Eq. (3.31) and making use of Eq. 3.50 in the proof of Proposition 3.48 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\nabla}\left(/ / s z_{s} v, X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right) X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} / /{ }_{s} z_{s} v \tag{5.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Eqs. 5.66) and (5.67) along with $\nabla_{X_{i}} X_{i}=0$ (from Proposition 3.48) with Eqs. (5.61) and (5.62) implies Eq. (5.63).

In the next result, we will filter out the "redundant noise" in Eq. (5.63). This is useful for deducing intrinsic formula from their extrinsic cousins, see, for example, Corollary 6.4 and Theorem 7.39 below.

Theorem 5.44 (Filtering out the Redundant Noise). Keep the same setup in Theorem 5.43 with $n=N$ and $X_{i}(m)=P(m) e_{i}$. Further let $\mathcal{M}$ be the $\sigma$ - algebra generated by the solution $\Sigma=\left\{\Sigma_{s}: s \geq 0\right\}$. Then there is a version, $\bar{z}_{s}$, of $\mathbb{E}\left[z_{s} \mid \mathcal{M}\right]$ such that $s \rightarrow \bar{z}_{s}$ is continuous and $\bar{z}$ satisfies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{z}_{s} v=v+\int_{0}^{s}\left[/ /_{r}^{-1}\left(\nabla_{/ / r} \bar{z}_{r} v X_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / r} \bar{z}_{r} v\right] d r \tag{5.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular if $X_{0}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s} \bar{z}_{s}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric} / / s \bar{z}_{s} \text { with } \bar{z}_{0}=i d \tag{5.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In this proof, we let $b_{s}$ be the martingale part of the anti-development $\operatorname{map}, \Psi_{s}(\Sigma)$, i.e.

$$
b_{s}:=\int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1} P\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \delta B_{r}=\int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1} P\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}
$$

Since $\left(\Sigma_{s}, u_{s}\right)$ solves the stochastic differential equation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \Sigma_{s} & =u_{s} \delta b_{s}+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \text { with } \Sigma_{0}=o \\
\delta u & =-\Gamma(\delta \Sigma) u=-\Gamma(u \delta b) u \text { with } u_{0}=I \in O(N)
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $(\Sigma, u)$ may be expressed as a function of the Brownian motion, $b$. Therefore by the martingale representation property, see Corollary 7.20 below, any measurable function, $f(\Sigma)$, of $\Sigma$ may be expressed as

$$
f(\Sigma)=f_{0}+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle a_{r}, d b_{r}\right\rangle=f_{0}+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle a_{r}, / /_{r}^{-1}\left[P\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}\right]\right\rangle
$$

Hence, using $P d P=d P Q$, the previous equation and the isometry property of the Itô integral,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{0}^{s}\left[P\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d P\left(/ /{ }_{r} z_{r} v\right) d B_{r}\right] f(\Sigma)\right\} \\
& \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{0}^{s}\left[d P\left(/ /{ }_{r} z_{r} v\right) Q\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d B_{r}\right] \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle P\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) / /{ }_{r} a_{r}, d B_{r}\right\rangle\right\} \\
&
\end{aligned}=\mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{0}^{s}\left[d P\left(/ /{ }_{r} z_{r} v\right) Q\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) P\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) /{ }_{r} a_{r}\right] d r\right\}=0 .
$$

This shows that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{s} P\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d P\left(/ /_{r} z_{r} v\right) d B_{r} \mid \mathcal{M}\right]=0
$$

and hence taking the conditional expectation, $\mathbb{E}[\cdot \mid \mathcal{M}]$, of the integrated version of Eq. 5.63) implies Eq. (5.68). In performing this operation we have used the fact that $(\Sigma, / /)$ is $\mathcal{M}$ - measurable and that $z_{s}$ appears linearly in Eq. 5.63). I have also glossed over the technicality of passing the conditional expectation past the integrals involving a $d s$ term. For this detail and a much more general presentation of these ideas the reader is referred to Elworthy, Li and Le Jan [71].
5.7. More References. For more details on the sorts of results in this section, the books by Elworthy [69], Emery [74], and Ikeda and Watanabe [104, Malliavin [132], Stroock [171], and Hsu [101] are highly recommended. The following articles and books are also relevant, [14, 20, 21, 40, 64, 63, 65, 110, 129, 137, 144, 154, 155, 156, 179.

## 6. Heat Kernel Derivative Formula

In this short section we will illustrate how to derive Bismut type formulas for derivatives of heat kernels. For more details and much more general formula see, for example, Driver and Thalmaier [58], Elworthy, Le Jan and Li [71], Stroock and Turetsky 173,172 and Hsu 99 and the references therein. Throughout this section $\Sigma_{s}$ will be an $M$ - valued semi-martingale, $/ / s$ will be stochastic parallel translation along $\Sigma$ and

$$
b_{s}=\Psi_{s}(\Sigma):=\int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1} \delta \Sigma_{r}
$$

Furthermore, let $Q_{s}$ denote the unique solution to the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Q_{s}}{d s}=-\frac{1}{2} Q_{s} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} \text { with } Q_{0}=I \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Eq. 5.60 for the definition of $\mathrm{Ric}_{/ / s}$.
Lemma 6.1. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function, $t>0$ and for $s \in[0, t]$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s, m):=\left(e^{(t-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\right)(m) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Sigma_{s}$ is an $M$ - valued Brownian motion, then the process $s \in[0, t] \rightarrow$ $Q_{s} / /{ }_{s}^{-1} \vec{\nabla} F\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)$ is a martingale and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left[Q_{s} / /_{s}^{-1} \vec{\nabla} F\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=Q_{s} / /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} d b_{s} \vec{\nabla} F(s, \cdot) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $W_{s}:=/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} \vec{\nabla} F\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)$. Then by Proposition 5.36 and Theorem 3.49 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d W_{s}= & {\left[/ / /_{s}^{-1} \vec{\nabla} \partial_{s} F\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)+\frac{1}{2} / /{ }_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s e_{i} \otimes / / s e_{i}}^{2} \vec{\nabla} F(s, \cdot)\right] d s } \\
& \quad+/ / s_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} e_{i} \vec{\nabla} F(s, \cdot) d b_{s}^{i} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} / / /_{s}^{-1}\left[\nabla_{/ / s e_{i} \otimes / / s e_{i}}^{2} \vec{\nabla} F(s, \cdot)-(\vec{\nabla} \Delta F(s, \cdot))\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right] d s \\
& \quad+/ / /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} e_{i} \vec{\nabla} F(s, \cdot) d b_{s}^{i} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} / /_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{Ric} \vec{\nabla} F\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) d s+/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} e_{i} \vec{\nabla} F(s, \cdot) d b_{s}^{i} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} W_{s} d s+/ / /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} e_{i} \vec{\nabla} F(s, \cdot) d b_{s}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_{o} M$ and there is an implied sum on repeated indices. Hence if $Q$ solves Eq. 6.1), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left[Q_{s} W_{s}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} Q_{s} \operatorname{Ric} / / s \\
& W_{s} d s+Q_{s}\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} W_{s} d s+/ /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} e_{i} \vec{\nabla} F(s, \cdot) d b_{s}^{i}\right] \\
&=Q_{s} / /_{s}^{-1} \nabla_{/ / s} e_{i} \vec{\nabla} F(s, \cdot) d b_{s}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Eq. (6.3) and shows that $Q_{s} W_{s}$ is a martingale as desired.
Theorem 6.2 (Bismut). Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and $\Sigma$ be an $M-$ valued Brownian motion with $\Sigma_{0}=o$, then for $0<t_{0} \leq t<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\nabla}\left(e^{t \Delta / 2} f\right)(o)=\frac{1}{t_{0}} E\left[\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} Q_{r} d b_{r}\right) f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right] \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof given here is modelled on Remark 6 on p. 84 in Bismut [21] and the proof of Theorem 2.1 in Elworthy and Li [72]. Also see Norris [145, 144, 146. For $(s, m) \in[0, t] \times M$ let $F$ be defined as in Eq. 6.2]. We wish to compute the differential of $k_{s}:=\left(\int_{0}^{s} Q_{r} d b_{r}\right) F\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)$. By Eq. 5.38), $d\left[F\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=$ $\left\langle\vec{\nabla}(F(s, \cdot))\left(\Sigma_{s}\right), / / s d b_{s}\right\rangle$ and therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d k_{s}= & F\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right) Q_{s} d b_{s}+\left(\int_{0}^{s} Q_{r} d b_{r}\right)\left\langle\vec{\nabla}(F(s, \cdot))\left(\Sigma_{s}\right), / / s d b_{s}\right\rangle \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle\vec{\nabla}(F(s, \cdot))\left(\Sigma_{s}\right), / /{ }_{s} e_{i}\right\rangle Q_{s} e_{i} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[k_{t_{0}}\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[k_{0}\right]+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle/ /_{s}^{-1} \vec{\nabla}(F(s, \cdot))\left(\Sigma_{s}\right), e_{i}\right\rangle Q_{s} e_{i} d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s} / /_{s}^{-1} \vec{\nabla}(F(s, \cdot))\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right] d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[Q_{0} / /_{0}^{-1} \vec{\nabla}(F(0, \cdot))\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)\right] d s=t_{0} \vec{\nabla}\left(e^{t \Delta / 2} f\right)(o)
\end{aligned}
$$

wherein the the third equality we have used (by Lemma 6.1) that $s \rightarrow$ $Q_{s} / /_{s}^{-1} \vec{\nabla}(F(s, \cdot))\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$ is a martingale. Hence

$$
\vec{\nabla}\left(e^{t \Delta / 2} f\right)(o)=\frac{1}{t_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} Q_{s} d b_{s}\right)\left(e^{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \Delta / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{t_{0}}\right)\right]
$$

from which Eq. 6.4 follows using either the Markov property of $\Sigma_{s}$ or the fact that $s \rightarrow\left(e^{(t-s) \Delta / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$ is a martingale.

The following theorem is an non-intrinsic form of Theorem 6.2 In this theorem we will be using the notation introduced before Theorem 5.41. Namely, let $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n} \subset \Gamma(T M)$ be as in Notation 5.4. $B_{s}$ be an $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued Brownian motion, and $T_{s}(m)=\Sigma_{s}$ where $\Sigma_{s}$ is the solution to Eq. (5.1) with $\Sigma_{s}=m \in M$ and $\beta=B$.

Theorem 6.3 (Elworthy -Li). Assume that $\mathbf{X}(m): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow T_{m} M($ recall $\mathbf{X}(m) a:=$ $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}(m) a_{i}\right)$ is surjective for all $m \in M$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}(m)^{\#}=\left[\left.\mathbf{X}(m)\right|_{\mathrm{Nul}(\mathbf{X}(m))^{\perp}}\right]^{-1}: T_{m} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the orthogonal complement is taken relative to the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. (See Lemma 7.38 below for more on $\mathbf{X}(m)^{\#}$.) Then for all $v \in T_{o} M$, $0<t_{o}<t<\infty$ and $f \in C(M)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(e^{t L / 2} f\right)=\frac{1}{t_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)^{\#} Z_{s} v, d B_{s}\right\rangle\right] \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{s}=T_{s * o}$ as in Eq. 5.57.
Proof. Let $L=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}+2 X_{0}$ be the generator of the diffusion, $\left\{T_{s}(m)\right\}_{s \geq 0}$. Since $\mathbf{X}(m): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow T_{m} M$ is surjective for all $m \in M, L$ is an elliptic operator on
$C^{\infty}(M)$. So, using results similar to those in Fact 5.32 it makes sense to define $F_{s}(m):=\left(e^{(t-s) L / 2} f\right)(m)$ and $N_{s}^{m}=F_{s}\left(T_{s}(m)\right)$. Then

$$
\partial_{s} F_{s}+\frac{1}{2} L F_{s}=0 \text { with } F_{t}=f
$$

and by Itô's lemma,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{s}^{m}=d\left[F_{s}\left(T_{s}(m)\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i} F_{s}\right)\left(T_{s}(m)\right) d B_{s}^{i} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows $N_{s}^{m}$ is a martingale for all $m \in M$ and, upon integrating Eq. (6.7) on $s$, that

$$
f\left(T_{t}(m)\right)=e^{t L / 2} f(m)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t}\left(X_{i} F_{s}\right)\left(T_{s}(m)\right) d B_{s}^{i}
$$

Hence if $a_{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a predictable process such that $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s<\infty$, then by the Itô isometry property,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(T_{t}(m)\right) \int_{0}^{t}\langle a, d B\rangle\right] & =\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{i} F_{s}\right)\left(T_{s}(m)\right) a_{i}(s)\right] d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(d_{M} F_{s}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}\left(T_{s}(m)\right) a_{s}\right)\right] d s \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $\ell_{s} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous piecewise differentiable function and let $a_{s}:=\ell_{s}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)^{\#} Z_{s} v$. Then form Eq. 6.8 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\ell_{s}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)^{\#} Z_{s} v, d B_{s}\right\rangle\right]=\int_{0}^{t} \ell_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(d_{M} F_{s}\right)\left(Z_{s} v\right)\right] d s \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $N_{s}^{m}=F_{s}\left(T_{s}(m)\right)$ is a martingale for all $m$, we may deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(m \rightarrow N_{s}^{m}\right)=d_{M} F_{s}\left(T_{s * o} v\right)=d_{M} F_{s}\left(Z_{s} v\right) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a martingale as well for any $v \in T_{o} M$. In particular, $s \in[0, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\left[\left(d_{M} F_{s}\right)\left(Z_{s} v\right)\right]$ is constant and evaluating this expression at $s=0$ and $s=t$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(d_{M} F_{s}\right)\left(Z_{s} v\right)\right]=v\left(e^{t L / 2} f\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(d_{M} f\right)\left(Z_{t} v\right)\right] \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. 6.11 in Eq. 6.9 then shows

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\ell_{s}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)^{\#} Z_{s} v, d B_{s}\right\rangle\right]=\left(\ell_{t}-\ell_{0}\right) v\left(e^{t L / 2} f\right)
$$

which, by taking $\ell_{s}=s \wedge t_{0}$, implies Eq. (6.6).
Corollary 6.4. Theorem 6.3 may be used to deduce Theorem 6.2.
Proof. Apply Theorem 6.3 with $n=N, X_{0} \equiv 0$ and $X_{i}(m)=P(m) e_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$ to learn

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(e^{t \Delta / 2} f\right)=\frac{1}{t_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle Z_{s} v, d B_{s}\right\rangle\right]=\frac{1}{t_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} z_{s} v, d B_{s}\right\rangle\right] \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $L=\Delta$ (see Proposition 3.48 and $\mathbf{X}(m)^{\#}=P(m)$ in this setting. By Theorem 5.40 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} z_{s} v, d B_{s}\right\rangle & =\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} z_{s} v, P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle z_{s} v, / /_{s}^{-1} P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle z_{s} v, d b_{s}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore Eq. 6.12 may be written as

$$
v\left(e^{t \Delta / 2} f\right)=\frac{1}{t_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle z_{s} v, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right]
$$

Using Theorem 5.44 to factor out the redundant noise, this may also be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(e^{t \Delta / 2} f\right)=\frac{1}{t_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle\bar{z}_{s} v, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right]=\frac{1}{t_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle v, \bar{z}_{s}^{\mathrm{tr}} d b_{s}\right\rangle\right] \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{z}_{s}$ solves Eq. 5.69. By taking transposes of Eq. (5.69) it follows that $\bar{z}_{s}^{\mathrm{tr}}$ satisfies Eq. 6.1 and hence $\bar{z}_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}}=Q_{s}$. Since $v \in T_{o} M$ was arbitrary, Equation 6.4 is now an easy consequence of Eq. 6.13) and the definition of $\vec{\nabla}\left(e^{t \Delta / 2} f\right)(o)$.

## 7. Calculus on $W(M)$

In this section, $(M, o)$ is assumed to be either a compact Riemannian manifold equipped with a fixed point $o \in M$ or $M=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $o=0$.

Notation 7.1. We will be interested in the following path spaces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\left(T_{o} M\right) & :=\left\{\omega \in C\left([0,1] \rightarrow T_{o} M\right) \mid \omega(0)=0_{o} \in T_{o} M\right\} \\
H\left(T_{o} M\right) & :=\left\{h \in W\left(T_{o} M\right): h(0)=0, \&\langle h, h\rangle_{H}:=\int_{0}^{1}\left|h^{\prime}(s)\right|_{T_{o} M}^{2} d s<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
W(M):=\{\sigma \in C([0,1] \rightarrow M): \sigma(0)=0 \in M\} .
$$

(By convention $\langle h, h\rangle_{H}=\infty$ if $h \in W\left(T_{o} M\right)$ is not absolutely continuous.) We refer to $W\left(T_{o} M\right)$ as Wiener space, $W(M)$ as curved Wiener space and $H\left(T_{o} M\right)$ or $H\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ as the Cameron-Martin Hilbert space.

Definition 7.2. Let $\mu$ and $\mu_{W(M)}$ denote the Wiener measures on $W\left(T_{o} M\right)$ and $W(M)$ respectively, i.e. $\mu=\operatorname{Law}(b)$ and $\mu_{W(M)}=\operatorname{Law}(\Sigma)$ where $b$ and $\Sigma$ are Brownian motions on $T_{o} M$ and $M$ starting at $0 \in T_{o} M$ and $o \in M$ respectively.
Notation 7.3. The probability space in this section will often be $\left(W(M), \mathcal{F}, \mu_{W(M)}\right)$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the completion of the $\sigma$ - algebra generated by the projection maps, $\Sigma_{s}: W(M) \rightarrow M$ defined by $\Sigma_{s}(\sigma)=\sigma_{s}$ for $s \in[0,1]$. We make this into a filtered probability space by taking $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ to be the $\sigma$ - algebra generated by $\left\{\Sigma_{r}: r \leq s\right\}$ and the null sets in $\mathcal{F}_{s}$. Also let $/ / s$ be stochastic parallel translation along $\Sigma$.
Definition 7.4. A function $F: W(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a $C^{k}$ - cylinder function if there exists a partition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi:=\left\{0=s_{0}<s_{1}<s_{2} \cdots<s_{n}=1\right\} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $[0,1]$ and $f \in C^{k}\left(M^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\sigma)=f\left(\sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \text { for all } \sigma \in W(M) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $M=\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we further require that $f$ and all of its derivatives up to order $k$ have at most polynomial growth at infinity. The collection of $C^{k}$ - cylinder functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{F} C^{k}(W(M))$.
Definition 7.5. The continuous tangent space to $W(M)$ at $\sigma \in W(M)$ is the set $C T_{\sigma} W(M)$ of continuous vector-fields along $\sigma$ which are zero at $s=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C T_{\sigma} W(M)=\left\{X \in C([0,1], T M) \mid X_{s} \in T_{\sigma_{s}} M \forall s \in[0,1] \text { and } X(0)=0\right\} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To motivate the above definition, consider a differentiable path in $\gamma \in W(M)$ going through $\sigma$ at $t=0$. Writing $\gamma(t)(s)$ as $\gamma(t, s)$, the derivative $X_{s}:=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \gamma(t, s) \in$ $T_{\sigma(s)} M$ of such a path should, by definition, be a tangent vector to $W(M)$ at $\sigma$.

We now wish to define a "Riemannian metric" on $W(M)$. It turns out that the continuous tangent space $C T_{\sigma} W(M)$ is too large for our purposes, see for example the Cameron-Martin Theorem 7.13 below. To remedy this we will introduce a Riemannian structure on a an a.e. defined "sub-bundle" of $C T W(M)$.

Definition 7.6. A Cameron-Martin process, $h$, is a $T_{o} M$ - valued process on $W(M)$ such that $s \rightarrow h(s)$ is in $H, \mu_{W(M)}$ - a.e. Contrary to our earlier assumptions, we do not assume that $h$ is adapted unless explicitly stated.
Definition 7.7. Suppose that $X$ is a $T M$ - valued process on $\left(W(M), \mu_{W(M)}\right)$ such that the process $\pi\left(X_{s}\right)=\Sigma_{s} \in M$. We will say $X$ is a Cameron-Martin vector-field if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s}:=/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} X_{s} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Cameron-Martin valued process and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle X, X\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}:=\mathbb{E}\left[\langle h, h\rangle_{H}\right]<\infty . \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Cameron-Martin vector field $X$ is said to be adapted if $h:=/ /^{-1} X$ is adapted. The set of Cameron-Martin vector-fields will be denoted by $\mathcal{X}$ and those which are adapted will be denoted by $\mathcal{X}_{a}$.

Remark 7.8. Notice that $\mathcal{X}$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product determined by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}$ in (7.5). Furthermore, $\mathcal{X}_{a}$ is a Hilbert-subspace of $\mathcal{X}$.

Notation 7.9. Given a Cameron-Martin process $h$, let $X^{h}:=/ / h$. In this way we may identify Cameron-Martin processes with Cameron-Martin vector fields.

We define a "metric", $G \square^{6}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(X^{h}, X^{h}\right)=\langle h, h\rangle_{H} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this notation we have $\langle X, X\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}=\mathbb{E}[G(X, X)]$.
Remark 7.10. Notice, if $\sigma$ is a smooth path then the expression in 7.6 could be written as

$$
G(X, X)=\int_{0}^{1} g\left(\frac{\nabla}{d s} X(s), \frac{\nabla}{d s} X(s)\right) d s
$$

where $\frac{\nabla}{d s}$ denotes the covariant derivative along the path $\sigma$ which is induced from the covariant derivative $\nabla$. This is a typical metric used by differential geometers on path and loop spaces.

[^6]Notation 7.11. Given a Cameron-Martin vector field $X$ on $\left(W(M), \mu_{W(M)}\right)$ and a cylinder function $F \in \mathcal{F} C^{1}(W(M))$ as in Eq. 7.2, let $X F$ denote the random variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
X F(\sigma):=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{i} F(\sigma), X_{s_{i}}(\sigma)\right) \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad}_{i} F(\sigma):=\left(\operatorname{grad}_{i} f\right)\left(\sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(\operatorname{grad}_{i} f\right)$ denotes the gradient of $f$ relative to the $i^{\text {th }}$ variable.
Notation 7.12. The gradient, $D F$, of a smooth cylinder functions, $F$, on $W(M)$ is the unique Cameron-Martin process such that $G(D F, X)=X F$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. The explicit formula for $D$, as the reader should verify, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(D F)_{s}=/ / s\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s \wedge s_{i} / / s_{i}-\operatorname{grad}_{i} F(\sigma)\right) \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula in Eq. (7.9) defines a densely defined operator, $D: L^{2}(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ with $\mathcal{D}(D)=\mathcal{F} C^{1}(W(M))$ as its domain.
7.1. Classical Wiener Space Calculus. In this subsection (which is a warm up for the sequel) we will specialize to the case where $M=\mathbb{R}^{d}$, $o=0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. To simplify notation let $W:=W\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), H:=H\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \mu=\mu_{W\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}, b_{s}(\omega)=\omega_{s}$ for all $s \in[0,1]$ and $\omega \in W$. Recall that $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{s}: s \in[0,1]\right\}$ is the filtration on $W$ as explained in Notation 7.3 where we are now writing $b$ for $\Sigma$. Cameron and Martin [25, 26, 28, 27] and Cameron [28] began the study of calculus on this classical Wiener space. They proved the following two results, see Theorem 2, p. 387 of [26] and Theorem II, p. 919 of [28] respectively. (There have been many extensions of these results partly initiated by Gross' work in [90, 91.)

Theorem 7.13 (Cameron \& Martin 1944). Let $(W, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be the classical Wiener space described above and for $h \in W$, define $T_{h}: W \rightarrow W$ by $T_{h}(\omega)=\omega+h$ for all $\omega \in W$. If $h$ is $C^{1}$, then $\mu T_{h}^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous relative to $\mu$.

This theorem was extended by Maruyama 133 and Girsanov 88 to allow the same conclusion for $h \in H$ and more general Cameron-Martin processes. Moreover it is now well known $\mu T_{h}^{-1} \ll \mu$ iff $h \in H$. From the Cameron and Martin theorem one may prove Cameron's integration by parts formula.

Theorem 7.14 (Cameron 1951). Let $h \in H$ and $F, G \in L^{\infty-}(\mu):=\cap_{1 \leq p<\infty} L^{p}(\mu)$ such that $\partial_{h} F:=\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} F \circ T_{\varepsilon h}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}$ and $\partial_{h} G:=\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} G \circ T_{\varepsilon h}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}$ where the derivatives are supposed to exis $\square^{7}$ in $L^{p}(\mu)$ for all $1 \leq p<\infty$. Then

$$
\int_{W} \partial_{h} F \cdot G d \mu=\int_{W} F \partial_{h}^{*} G d \mu
$$

where $\partial_{h}^{*} G=-\partial_{h} G+z_{h} G$ and $z_{h}:=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h^{\prime}(s), d b_{s}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}$.

[^7]In this flat setting parallel translation is trivial, i.e. $/ / s=i d$ for all $s$. Hence the gradient operator $D$ in Eq. 7.9 reduces to the equation,

$$
(D F)_{s}(\omega)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s \wedge s_{i} \operatorname{grad}_{i} F\left(\omega_{s}\right)\right) .
$$

Similarly the association of a Cameron-Martin vector field $X$ on $W\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with a Cameron-Martin valued process $h$ in Eq. 7.4 is simply that $X=h$.

We will now recall that adapted Cameron-Martin vector fields, $X=h$, are in the domain of $D^{*}$. From this fact it will easily follow that $D^{*}$ is densely defined.

Theorem 7.15. Let $h$ be an adapted Cameron-Martin process (vector field) on $W$. Then $h \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)$ and

$$
D^{*} h=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h^{\prime}, d b\right\rangle .
$$

Proof. We start by proving the theorem under the additional assumption that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in[0,1]}\left|h_{s}^{\prime}\right| \leq C \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a non-random constant. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let $b(t, s)=b_{s}(t)=b_{s}+t h_{s}$. By Girsanov's theorem, $s \rightarrow b_{s}(t)$ (for fixed $t$ ) is a Brownian motion relative to $Z_{t} \cdot \mu$, where

$$
Z_{t}:=\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{1} t\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2} t^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}, h_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle d s\right)
$$

Hence if $F$ is a smooth cylinder function on $W$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[F(b(t, \cdot)) \cdot Z_{t}\right]=\mathbb{E}[F(b)] .
$$

Differentiating this equation in $t$ at $t=0$, using

$$
\langle D F, h\rangle_{H}=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} F(b(t, \cdot)) \text { and }\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} Z_{t}=-\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h^{\prime}, d b\right\rangle,
$$

shows

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\langle D F, h\rangle_{H}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[F \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h^{\prime}, d b\right\rangle\right]=0
$$

From this equation it follows that $h \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)$ and $D^{*} h=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h^{\prime}, d b\right\rangle$. So it now only remains to remove the restriction placed on $h$ in Eq. 7.10).

Let $h$ be a general adapted Cameron-Martin vector-field and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}(s):=\int_{0}^{s} h^{\prime}(r) \cdot 1_{\left|h^{\prime}(r)\right| \leq n} d r \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Notice that $h_{n}$ is still adapted.) By the special case above we know that $h_{n} \in$ $\mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)$ and $D^{*} h_{n}=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{n}^{\prime}, d b\right\rangle$. Therefore,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|D^{*}\left(h_{m}-h_{n}\right)\right|^{2}=\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|h_{m}^{\prime}-h_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s \rightarrow 0 \text { as } m, n \rightarrow \infty
$$

from which it follows that $D^{*} h_{n}$ is convergent. Because $D^{*}$ is a closed operator, $h \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)$ and

$$
D^{*} h=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D^{*} h_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{n}^{\prime}, d b\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h^{\prime}, d b\right\rangle .
$$

Corollary 7.16. The operator $D^{*}$ is densely defined and hence $D$ is closable. (Let $\bar{D}$ denote the closure of $D$.)

Proof. Let $h \in H$ and $F$ and $K$ be smooth cylinder functions. Then, by the product rule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle D F, K h\rangle_{\mathcal{X}} & =\mathbb{E}\left[\langle K D F, h\rangle_{H}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\langle D(K F)-F D K, h\rangle_{H}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[F \cdot K D^{*} h-F\langle D K, h\rangle_{H}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $K h \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)\right.$ is the domain of $\left.D^{*}\right)$ and

$$
D^{*}(K h)=K D^{*} h-\langle D K, h\rangle_{H}
$$

Since the subspace,

$$
\{K h \mid h \in H \text { and } K \text { is a smooth cylinder function }\}
$$

is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{X}, D^{*}$ is densely defined.

### 7.1.1. Martingale Representation Property and the Clark-Ocone Formula.

Lemma 7.17. Let $F(b)=f\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n}}\right)$ be the smooth cylinder function on $W$ as in Definition 7.4, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle a_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle, \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{s}$ is a bounded, piecewise-continuous (in s) and predictable process. Furthermore, the jumps points of $a_{s}$ are contained in the set $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$ and $a_{s} \equiv 0$ is $s \geq s_{n}$.

Proof. The proof will be by induction on $n$. First assume that $n=1$, so that $F(b)=f\left(b_{t}\right)$ for some $0<t \leq 1$. Let $H(s, m):=\left(e^{(t-s) \Delta / 2} f\right)(m)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then, by Itô's formula (or see Eq. 5.38),

$$
d H\left(s, b_{s}\right)=\left\langle\operatorname{grad} H\left(s, b_{s}\right), d b_{s}\right\rangle
$$

which upon integrating on $s \in[0, t]$ gives

$$
F(b)=\left(e^{t \Delta / 2} f\right)(o)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\operatorname{grad} H\left(s, b_{s}\right), d b_{s}\right\rangle=\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle a_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle
$$

where $a_{s}=1_{s \leq t} / /{ }_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} H\left(s, b_{s}\right)$. This proves the $n=1$ case. To finish the proof it suffices to show that we may reduce the assertion of the lemma at the level $n$ to the assertion at the level $n-1$.

Let $F(b)=f\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Delta_{n} f\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =(\Delta g)\left(x_{n}\right) \text { and } \\
\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n} f\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\vec{\nabla} g\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g(x):=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x\right)$. (So $\Delta_{n} f$ and $\operatorname{grad}_{n} f$ is the Laplacian and the gradient of $f$ in the $n^{\text {th }}$ - variable.) Itô's lemma applied to the process,

$$
s \in\left[s_{n-1}, s_{n}\right] \rightarrow H(s, b):=\left(e^{\left(s_{n}-s\right) \Delta_{n} / 2} f\right)\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n-1}}, b_{s}\right)
$$

gives

$$
d H(s, b)=\left\langle\operatorname{grad}_{n} e^{\left(s_{n}-s\right) \Delta_{n} / 2} f\right)\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n-1}}, b_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
F(b)= & \left(e^{\left(s_{n}-s_{n-1}\right) \Delta_{n} / 2} f\right)\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n-1}}, b_{s_{n-1}}\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{s_{n-1}}^{s_{n}}\left\langle\operatorname{grad}_{n} e^{\left(s_{n}-s\right) \Delta_{n} / 2} f\right)\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n-1}}, b_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle \\
= & \left(e^{\left(s_{n}-s_{n-1}\right) \Delta_{n} / 2} f\right)\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n-1}}, b_{s_{n-1}}\right)+\int_{s_{n-1}}^{s_{n}}\left\langle\alpha_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle, \tag{7.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{s}:=\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n} e^{\left(s_{n}-s\right) \Delta_{n} / 2} f\right)\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n-1}}, b_{s}\right)$ for $s \in\left(s_{n-1}, s_{n}\right)$. By induction we know that the smooth cylinder function

$$
\left(e^{\left(s_{n}-s_{n-1}\right) \Delta_{n} / 2} f\right)\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n-1}}, b_{s_{n-1}}\right)
$$

may be written as a constant plus $\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle a_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle$, where $a_{s}$ is bounded and piecewise continuous and $a_{s} \equiv 0$ if $s \geq s_{n-1}$. Hence it follows by replacing $a_{s}$ by $a_{s}+$ $1_{\left(s_{n-1}, s_{n}\right) s} \alpha_{s}$ that

$$
F(b)=C+\int_{0}^{s_{n}}\left\langle a_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle
$$

for some constant $C$. Taking expectations of both sides of this equation then shows $C=\mathbb{E}[F(b)]$.

Remark 7.18. By being more careful in the proof of the Lemma 7.17 (as is done in more generality later in Theorem 7.47) it is possible to show $a_{s}$ in Eq. 7.12 may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{s}=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{s \leq s_{i}} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will also be explained, by indirect means, in Theorem 7.21 below.
Corollary 7.19. Let $F$ be a smooth cylinder function on $W$, then there is a predictable, piecewise continuously differentiable Cameron-Martin process $h$ such that $F=\mathbb{E} F+D^{*} h$.

Proof. Let $h_{s}:=\int_{0}^{s} a_{r} d r$ where $a$ is the process as in Lemma 7.17 .
Corollary 7.20 (Martingale Representation Property). Let $F \in L^{2}(\mu)$, then there is a predictable process, $a_{s}$, such that $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\langle a, d b\rangle \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choose a sequence of smooth cylinder functions $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ such that $F_{n} \rightarrow F$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By replacing $F$ by $F-\mathbb{E} F$ and $F_{n}$ by $F_{n}-\mathbb{E} F_{n}$, we may assume that $\mathbb{E} F=0$ and $\mathbb{E} F_{n}=0$. Let $a^{n}$ be predictable processes such that $F_{n}=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle a^{n}, d b\right\rangle$ for all $n$. Notice that

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|a_{s}^{n}-a_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s=\mathbb{E}\left(F_{n}-F_{m}\right)^{2} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } m, n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Hence, if $a:=L^{2}(d s \times d \mu)-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{n}$, then

$$
F_{n}=\int_{0}^{1} a^{n} \cdot d b \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1}\langle a, d b\rangle \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

This show that $F=\int_{0}^{1}\langle a, d b\rangle$.

Theorem 7.21 (Clark - Ocone Formula). Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{D})$, ther $^{8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{d}{d s}(\bar{D} F)_{s}(b) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular if $F=f\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n}}\right)$ is a smooth cylinder function on $W(M)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{s \leq s_{i}} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $h$ be a predictable Cameron-Martin valued process such that $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|h_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s<\infty$. Then using Theorem 7.15 and the Itô isometry property,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\langle\bar{D} F, h\rangle_{H} & =\mathbb{E}\left[F D^{*} h\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[F \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\langle a, d b\rangle\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle a_{s}, h_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle d s\right] \tag{7.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a$ is the predictable process in Corollary 7.20 . Since $h$ is predictable,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\langle\bar{D} F, h\rangle_{H} & =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\frac{d}{d s}(\bar{D} F)_{s}, h_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle d s\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{d}{d s}(\bar{D} F)_{s} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], h_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle d s\right] \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $h$ is an arbitrary predictable Cameron-Martin valued process, comparing Eqs. 7.18) and 7.18 shows

$$
a_{s}=\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{d}{d s}(\bar{D} F)_{s} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]
$$

which combined with Eq. 7.12 completes the proof.
Remark 7.22. As mentioned in Remark 7.18 it is possible to prove Eq. (7.17) by an inductive procedure. On the other hand if we were to know that Eq. 7.17) was valid for all $F \in \mathcal{F} C^{1}(W)$, then for $h \in \mathcal{X}_{a}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[F \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{d}{d s} D F_{s} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{d}{d s} D F_{s} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], h_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle d s\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\frac{d}{d s} D F_{s}, h_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle d s\right]=\langle D F, h\rangle_{\mathcal{X}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This identity shows $h \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)$ and that $D^{*} h=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle$, i.e. we have recovered Theorem 7.15 In this way we see that the Clark-Ocone formula may be used to recover integration by parts on Wiener space.

[^8]Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the infinite dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator defined as the self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}(\mu)$ given by $\mathcal{L}=D^{*} \bar{D}$. The following spectral gap inequality for $\mathcal{L}$ has been known since the early days of quantum mechanics. This is because $\mathcal{L}$ is unitarily equivalent to a "harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian" for which the full spectrum may be found, see for example [162. However, these explicit computations will not in general be available when we consider analogous spectral gap inequalities when $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is replaced by a general compact Riemannian manifold $M$.

Theorem 7.23 (Ornstein Uhlenbeck Spectral Gap Inequality). The null-space of $\mathcal{L}$ consists of the constant functions on $W$ and $\mathcal{L}$ has a spectral gap of size 1 , i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{L} F, F\rangle_{L^{2}(\mu)} \geq\langle F, F\rangle_{L^{2}(\mu)} \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $F \in \operatorname{Nul}(\mathcal{L})^{\perp}=\{1\}^{\perp}$.
Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{D})$, then by the Clark-Ocone formula in Eq. 7.16, the isometry property of the Itô integral and the contractive properties of conditional expectation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(F-\mathbb{E} F)^{2} & =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{d}{d s} \bar{D} F_{s}(b) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle\right]^{2} \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{d}{d s} \bar{D} F_{s}(b) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right|^{2} d s\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\left|\frac{d}{d s} \bar{D} F_{s}(b)\right| \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right)^{2} d s\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\left|\frac{d}{d s} \bar{D} F_{s}(b)\right|^{2} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] d s\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{d}{d s} \bar{D} F_{s}(b)\right|^{2} d s\right]=\langle\bar{D} F, \bar{D} F\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular if $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$, then $\langle\bar{D} F, \bar{D} F\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}=\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L} F \cdot F]$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{L} F, F\rangle_{L^{2}(\mu)} \geq\langle F-\mathbb{E} F, F-\mathbb{E} F\rangle_{L^{2}(\mu)} \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if $F \in \operatorname{Nul}(\mathcal{L})$, it follows that $F=\mathbb{E} F$, i.e. $F$ is a constant. Moreover if $F \perp 1$ (i.e. $\mathbb{E} F=0$ ) then Eq. 7.20) becomes Eq. 7.21).

It turns out that using a method which is attributed to Maurey and Neveu in [29], it is possible to use the Clark-Ocone formula as the starting point for a proof of Gross' logarithmic Sobolev inequality which by general theory is known to be stronger than the spectral gap inequality in Theorem 7.23 .

Theorem 7.24 (Gross' Logarithmic Sobolev Inequality for $W\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ). For all $F \in$ $\mathcal{D}(\bar{D})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[F^{2} \log F^{2}\right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\langle D F, D F\rangle_{H}\right]+\mathbb{E} F^{2} \cdot \log \mathbb{E} F^{2} \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{F} C^{1}(W), \varepsilon>0, H_{\varepsilon}:=F^{2}+\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{D})$ and $a_{s}=$ $\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{d}{d s}\left(D H_{\varepsilon}\right)_{s} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$. By Theorem 7.21 .

$$
H_{\varepsilon}=\mathbb{E} H_{\varepsilon}+\int_{0}^{1}\langle a, d b\rangle
$$

and hence

$$
M_{s}:=\mathbb{E}\left[H_{\varepsilon} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[F^{2}+\varepsilon \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \geq \varepsilon
$$

is a positive martingale which may be written as

$$
M_{s}:=M_{0}+\int_{0}^{s}\langle a, d b\rangle
$$

where $M_{0}=\mathbb{E} H_{\varepsilon}$.
Let $\phi(x)=x \ln x$ so that $\phi^{\prime}(x)=\ln x+1$ and $\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)=x^{-1}$. Then by Itô's formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left[\phi\left(M_{s}\right)\right] & =\phi\left(M_{0}\right)+\phi^{\prime}\left(M_{s}\right) d M_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}\left(M_{s}\right)\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s \\
& =\phi\left(M_{0}\right)+\phi^{\prime}\left(M_{s}\right) d M_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{M_{s}}\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating this equation on $s$ and then taking expectations shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(M_{1}\right)\right]=\phi\left(\mathbb{E} M_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{M_{s}}\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right] \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\bar{D} H_{\varepsilon}=2 F \bar{D} F$, Eq. 7.23 is equivalent to

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]=\phi\left(\mathbb{E} H_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}\left[H_{\varepsilon} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]}\left|\mathbb{E}\left[2 F(\bar{D} F)_{s}^{\prime} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right|^{2} d s\right]
$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the contractive properties of conditional expectations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\left.2 F \frac{d}{d s}(\bar{D} F)_{s} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right|^{2} & \leq 4\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left.F\left|\frac{d}{d s}(\bar{D} F)_{s}\right| \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right)^{2} \\
& \leq 4 \mathbb{E}\left[F^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\left|\frac{d}{d s}(\bar{D} F)_{s}\right|^{2} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the last two equations, using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[F^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[H_{\varepsilon} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[F^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[F^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]+\varepsilon} \leq 1 \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right)\right] & \leq \phi\left(\mathbb{E} H_{\varepsilon}\right)+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\left|\frac{d}{d s}(\bar{D} F)_{s}\right|^{2} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] d s \\
& =\phi\left(\mathbb{E} H_{\varepsilon}\right)+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{d}{d s}(\bar{D} F)_{s}\right|^{2} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may now let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in this inequality to find Eq. 7.22) is valid for $F \in \mathcal{F} C^{1}(W)$. Since $\mathcal{F} C^{1}(W)$ is a core for $\bar{D}$, standard limiting arguments show that Eq. 7.22 is valid in general.

The main objective for the rest of this section is to generalize the previous theorems to the setting of general compact Riemannian manifolds. Before doing this we need to record the stochastic analogues of the differentiation formula in Theorems 4.7, 4.12, and 4.13

### 7.2. Differentials of Stochastic Flows and Developments.

Notation 7.25. Let $T_{s}^{\beta}(m)=\Sigma_{s}$ where $\Sigma_{s}$ is the solution to Eq. (5.1 with $\Sigma_{0}=m$ and $\beta_{s}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued semi-martingale, i.e.

$$
\delta \Sigma_{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta \beta_{s}^{i}+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s \text { with } \Sigma_{0}=m
$$

Theorem 7.26 (Differentiating $\Sigma$ in $B$ ). Let $\beta_{s}=B_{s}$ be an $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued Brownian motion and $h$ be an adapted Cameron-Martin process, $h_{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\left|h_{s}^{\prime}\right|$ bounded. Then there is a version of $T_{s}^{B+t h}(m)$ which is continuous in $s$ and differentiable in $(t, m)$. Moreover if we define $\partial_{h} T_{s}^{B}(o):=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} T_{s}^{B+s h}(o)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{h} T_{s}^{B}(o)=Z_{s} \int_{0}^{s} Z_{r}^{-1} X_{h_{r}^{\prime}}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r=/ / s z_{s} \int_{0}^{s} z_{r}^{-1} / /_{r}^{-1} X_{h_{r}^{\prime}}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) d r \tag{7.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{s}:=\left(T_{s}^{B}\right)_{* o}, / /_{s}$ is stochastic parallel translation along $\Sigma$, and $z_{s}:=$ $/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} Z_{s}$. (See Theorem 5.41 for more on the processes $Z$ and z.) Recall from Notation 5.4 that

$$
X_{a}(m):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} X_{i}(m)=\mathbf{X}(m) a
$$

Proof. This is a stochastic analogue of Theorem 4.7. Formally, if $B_{s}$ were piecewise differentiable it would follow from Theorem4.7 with $s=t$,

$$
X_{s}(m)=\mathbf{X}(m) B_{s}^{\prime}+X_{0}(m) \text { and } Y_{s}(m)=\mathbf{X}(m) h_{s}^{\prime}
$$

(Notice that $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0}\left[\mathbf{X}(m)\left(B_{s}^{\prime}+t h_{s}^{\prime}\right)+X_{0}(m)\right]=Y_{s}$.) For a rigorous proof of this theorem in the flat case, which is essentially applicable here because of $M$ is an imbedded submanifold, see Bell [12] or Nualart [148] for example. For this theorem in this geometric context see Bismut [20] or Driver [47] for example.

Notation 7.27. Let $b$ be an $T_{o} M \cong \mathbb{R}^{d}$ - valued Brownian motion. A $T_{o} M$ valued semi-martingale $Y$ is called an adapted vector field or tangent process to $b$ if $Y$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{s}=\int_{0}^{s} q_{r} d b_{r}+\int_{0}^{s} \alpha_{r} d r \tag{7.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{r}$ is an $s o(d)$ - valued adapted process and $\alpha_{s}$ is a $T_{o} M$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\alpha_{s}\right|^{2} d s<\infty \text { a.e. }
$$

A key point of a tangent process $Y$ as above is that it gives rise to natural perturbations of the underlying Brownian motion $b$. Namely, following Bismut (also see Fang and Malliavin [78]), for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let $b_{s}^{t}$ be the process given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{s}^{t}:=\int_{0}^{s} e^{t q_{r}} b_{r}+t \int_{0}^{s} \alpha_{r} d r . \tag{7.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (under some integrability restrictions on $\alpha$ ) by Lévy's criteria and Girsanov's theorem, the law of $b^{t}$ is absolutely continuous relative to the law of $b$. Moreover $b^{0}=b$ and, with some additional integrability assumptions on $q_{r},\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} b^{t}=Y$.

Let $b$ be an $T_{o} M \cong \mathbb{R}^{d}$ - valued Brownian motion, $\Sigma:=\phi(b)$ be the stochastic development map as in Notation 5.30 and suppose that $X^{h}=/ / h$ is a CameronMartin vector field on $W(M)$. Using Theorem 4.12 as motivation (see Eq. 4.16),
the pull back of $X$ under the stochastic development map should be the process $Y$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{s}=h_{s}+\int_{0}^{s}\left(\int_{0}^{r} R_{/ / \rho}\left(h_{\rho}, \delta b_{\rho}\right)\right) \delta b_{r} \tag{7.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{/ / s}\left(h_{s}, \delta b_{s}\right)=/ /_{s}^{-1} R\left(/ /{ }_{s} h_{s}, / /{ }_{s} \delta b_{s}\right) / /{ }_{s} \tag{7.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

like in Eq. 4.15. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{0}^{r} R_{/ / \rho}\left(h_{\rho}, \delta b_{\rho}\right)\right) \delta b_{r} & =\left(\int_{0}^{r} R_{/ / \rho}\left(h_{\rho}, \delta b_{\rho}\right)\right) d b_{r}+\frac{1}{2} R_{/ / \rho}\left(h_{\rho}, d b_{\rho}\right) d b_{\rho} \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{r} R_{/ / \rho}\left(h_{\rho}, \delta b_{\rho}\right)\right) d b_{r}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} R_{/ / \rho}\left(h_{\rho}, e_{i}\right) e_{i} d \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_{o} M$, Eq. 7.28 may be written in Itô form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y .=\int_{0} C_{s} d b_{s}+\int_{0} r_{s} d s \tag{7.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{s} & :=\int_{0}^{s} R_{/ / \sigma}\left(h_{\sigma}, \delta b_{\sigma}\right), \quad r_{s}=h_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s} \text { and }  \tag{7.31}\\
\operatorname{Ric} / / s a & :=/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} \mathrm{Ric} / /{ }_{s} a \forall a \in T_{o} M . \tag{7.32}
\end{align*}
$$

By the symmetry property in item 4 b of Proposition 3.36, the matrix $C_{s}$ is skew symmetric and therefore $Y$ is a tangent process. Here is a theorem which relates $Y$ in Eq. 7.30 to $X^{h}=/ / h$.

Theorem 7.28 (Differential of the development map). Assume $M$ is compact manifold, $o \in M$ is fixed, $b$ is $T_{o} M \cong \mathbb{R}^{d}$ - valued Brownian motion, $\Sigma:=\phi(b)$, $h$ is a Cameron-Martin process with $\left|h_{s}^{\prime}\right| \leq K<\infty$ ( $K$ is a non-random constant) and $Y$ is as in Eq. (7.30). As in Eq. (7.27) let

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{s}^{t}:=\int_{0}^{s} e^{t C_{r}} d b_{r}+t \int_{0}^{s} r_{r} d r \tag{7.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a version of $\phi_{s}\left(b^{t}\right)$ which is continuous in $(s, t)$, differentiable in $t$ and $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \phi\left(b^{t}\right)=X^{h}$.

Proof. For the proof of this theorem and its generalization to more general $h$, the reader is referred to Section 3.1 of 45] and to [47. Let me just point out here that formally the proof is very analogous to the deterministic version in Theorems 4.12 and 4.13 .
7.3. Quasi - Invariance Flow Theorem for $W(M)$. In this section, we will discuss the $W(M)$ analogues of Theorems 7.13 and 7.14 .

Theorem 7.29 (Cameron-Martin Theorem for $M)$. Let $h \in H\left(T_{o} M\right)$ and $X^{h}$ be the $\mu_{W(M)}$ - a.e. well defined vector field on $W(M)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{h}(\sigma)=/ /_{s}(\sigma) h_{s} \text { for } s \in[0,1] \tag{7.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $/ / s(\sigma)$ is stochastic parallel translation along $\sigma \in W(M)$. Then $X^{h}$ admits a flow $e^{t X^{h}}$ on $W(M)$ (see Figure 14) and this flow leaves the Wiener measure, $\mu_{W(M)}$, quasi-invariant.


Figure 14. Constructing a vector field, $X^{h}$, on $W(M)$ from a vector field $h$ on $W\left(T_{o} M\right)$. The dotted path indicates the flow of $\sigma$ under this vector field.

This theorem first appeared in Driver 47] for $h \in H\left(T_{o} M\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0,1], T_{o} M\right)$ and was soon extended to all $h \in H\left(T_{o} M\right)$ by E. Hsu 96, 97. Other proofs may also be found in [76, 127, 146. The proof of this theorem is rather involved and will not be given here. A sketch of the argument and more information on the technicalities involved may be found in 49].

Example 7.30. When $M=\mathbb{R}^{d}$, $/ /_{s}(\sigma) v_{o}=v_{\sigma_{s}}$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\sigma \in W\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Thus $X_{s}^{h}(\sigma)=\left(h_{s}\right)_{\sigma_{s}}$ and $e^{t X^{h}}(\sigma)=\sigma+t h$ and so Theorem 7.29 becomes the classical Cameron-Martin Theorem 7.13 .

Corollary 7.31 (Integration by Parts for $\left.\mu_{W(M)}\right)$. For $h \in H\left(T_{o} M\right)$ and $F \in$ $\mathcal{F} C^{1}(W(M))$ as in Eq. (7.2), let

$$
\left(X^{h} F\right)(\sigma)=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} F\left(e^{t X^{h}}(\sigma)\right)=G\left(D F, X^{h}\right)
$$

as in Notation 7.11. Then

$$
\int_{\mathrm{W}(M)} X^{h} F d \mu_{W(M)}=\int_{\mathrm{W}(M)} F z^{h} d \mu_{W(M)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
z^{h}:=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle \\
b_{s}(\sigma):=\Psi_{s}(\sigma)=\int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1} \delta \sigma_{r}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{o} M\right)$ is as in $E q$. 5.60).

Proof. A special case of this Corollary 7.31 with $F(\sigma)=f\left(\sigma_{s}\right)$ for some $f \in$ $C^{\infty}(M)$ first appeared in Bismut [21]. The result stated here was proved in 47] as an infinitesimal form of the flow Theorem 7.29 . Other proofs of this corollary may be found in $[2,5,50,72,73,70,76,78,96,97,122,123,127,146]$. This corollary is a special case of Theorem 7.32 below.
7.4. Divergence and Integration by Parts. In the next theorem, it will be shown that adapted Cameron-Martin vector fields, $X$, are in the domain of $D^{*}$ and consequently $D^{*}$ is densely defined. For the purposes of this subsection, we assume that $b$ is a $T_{o} M$ - valued Brownian motion, $\Sigma=\phi(b)$ is the evolved Brownian motion on $M$ and $/ / s$ is stochastic parallel translation along $\Sigma$.

Theorem 7.32. Let $X \in \mathcal{X}_{a}$ be an adapted Cameron-Martin vector field on $W(M)$ and $h:=/ /^{-1} X$. Then $X \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{*} 1=D^{*} X=\int_{0}^{1}\langle B(h), d b\rangle=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle \tag{7.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is the random linear operator mapping $H$ to $L^{2}\left(d s, T_{o} M\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[B(h)]_{s}:=h_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s} \tag{7.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 7.33. There is a non-random constant $C<\infty$ depending only on the bound on the Ricci tensor such that $\|B\|_{H \rightarrow L^{2}\left(d s, T_{o} M\right)} \leq C$.

Proof. I will give a sketch of the proof here, the interested reader may find complete details of this proof in [45]. Moreover, we will give two more proofs of this theorem, see Theorem 7.40 and Corollary 7.50 below.

We start by proving the theorem under the additional assumption that $h:=$ $/ /^{-1} X$ satisfies $\sup _{s \in[0,1]}\left|h_{s}^{\prime}\right| \leq K$, where $K$ is a non-random constant.

Let $b_{s}^{t}$ be defined as in Eq. 7.33. (Notice that $b^{t}$ is not the flow of the vectorfield $Y$ in Eq. 7.30 but does have the property that $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} b_{s}^{t}=Y_{s}$.) Since $C_{s}$ is skew-symmetric, $e^{t C_{s}}$ is orthogonal and so by Levy's criteria, $s \rightarrow \int_{0}^{s} e^{t C_{r}} d b_{r}$ is a Brownian motion. Combining this with Girsanov's theorem, $s \rightarrow b_{s}^{t}$ (for fixed $t$ ) is a Brownian motion relative to the measure $Z_{t} \cdot \mu$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}:=\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{1} t\left\langle r, e^{t C} d b\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2} t^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\langle r, r\rangle d s\right) \tag{7.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\Sigma(t, \cdot):=\phi\left(b^{t}\right)$ where $\phi$ is the stochastic development map as in Theorem 5.29. Then by Theorem 7.28, $X^{h}=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \Sigma(t, \cdot)$ and in particular if $F$ is a smooth cylinder function then $X^{h} F=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} F(\Sigma(t, \cdot))$. So differentiating the identity,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\Sigma(t, \cdot) Z_{t}\right]=\mathbb{E}[F(\Sigma)]\right.
$$

at $t=0$ gives:

$$
\mathbb{E}[X F]-\mathbb{E}\left[F \int_{0}^{1}\langle r, d b\rangle\right]=0
$$

This last equation may be written alternatively as

$$
\langle D F, X\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}=\mathbb{E}[G(D F, X)]=\mathbb{E}\left[F \cdot \int_{0}^{1}\langle B(h), d b\rangle\right] .
$$

Hence it follows that $X \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)$ and

$$
D^{*} X=\int_{0}^{1}\langle B(h), d b\rangle
$$

This proves the theorem in the special case that $h^{\prime}$ is uniformly bounded.
Let $X$ be a general adapted Cameron-Martin vector-field and $h:=/ /{ }^{-1} X$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $h_{n}(s):=\int_{0}^{s} h^{\prime}(r) \cdot 1_{\left|h^{\prime}(r)\right| \leq n} d r$ be as in Eq. 7.11. Set $X^{n}:=$ $/ / h_{n}$, then by the special case above we know that $X^{n} \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)$ and $D^{*} X^{n}=$ $\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle B\left(h_{n}\right), d b\right\rangle$. It is easy to check that

$$
\left\langle X-X^{n}, X-X^{n}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}=\mathbb{E}\left\langle h-h_{n}, h-h_{n}\right\rangle_{H} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|D^{*}\left(X^{m}-X^{n}\right)\right|^{2}=\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|B\left(h_{m}-h_{n}\right)\right|^{2} d s \leq C \mathbb{E}\left\langle h_{m}-h_{n}, h_{m}-h_{n}\right\rangle_{H}
$$

from which it follows that $D^{*} X^{m}$ is convergent. Because $D^{*}$ is a closed operator, it follows that $X \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)$ and

$$
D^{*} X=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D^{*} X^{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle B\left(h_{n}\right), d b\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1}\langle B(h), d b\rangle
$$

Corollary 7.34. The operator $D^{*}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(W(M), \mu_{W(M)}\right)$ is densely defined. In particular $D$ is closable. (Let $\bar{D}$ denote the closure of $D$.

Proof. Let $h \in H, X^{h}:=/ / h$, and $F$ and $K$ be smooth cylinder functions. Then, by the product rule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle D F, K X^{h}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{X}} & =\mathbb{E}\left[G\left(K D F, X^{h}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[G\left(D(K F)-F D K, X^{h}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[F \cdot K D^{*} X^{h}-F G\left(D K, X^{h}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $K X^{h} \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)\right.$ is the domain of $\left.D^{*}\right)$ and

$$
D^{*}\left(K X^{h}\right)=K D^{*} X^{h}-G\left(D K, X^{h}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{K X^{h} \mid h \in H \text { and } K \in \mathcal{F} C^{\infty}\right\} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)
$$

is is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{X}, D^{*}$ is densely defined.
Corollary 7.35. Let $h$ be an adapted Cameron-Martin valued process and $Q_{s}$ be defined as in Eq. (6.1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X^{Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h}\right)^{*} 1=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h^{\prime}, d b\right\rangle \tag{7.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking the transpose of Eq. (6.1) shows $Q^{\text {tr }}$ solves,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s} Q^{\operatorname{tr}}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric} / / Q^{\operatorname{tr}}=0 \text { with } Q_{0}^{\operatorname{tr}}=I d \tag{7.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from Eq. 7.35,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(X^{Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h}\right)^{*} 1 & =\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\left(Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ /} Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h, d b\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\left[\frac{d}{d s}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ /}\right]\left(Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h\right), d b\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h^{\prime}, d b\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 7.32 may be extended to allow for vector-fields on the paths of $M$ which are not based. This theorem and it Corollary 7.37 will not be used in the sequel and may safely be skipped.

Theorem 7.36. Let $h$ be an adapted $T_{o} M$ - valued process such that $h(0)$ is nonrandom and $h-h(0)$ is a Cameron-Martin process, $X:=X^{h}:=/ / h, \mathbb{E}_{x}$ denote the path space expectation for a Brownian motion starting at $x \in M, F: C([0,1] \rightarrow$ $M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a cylinder function as in Definition 7.4 and $X^{h} F$ be defined as in $E q$. (7.7). Then (writing $\langle d f, v\rangle$ for $d f(v)$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{o}\left[X^{h} F\right]=\mathbb{E}_{o}\left[F D^{*} X^{h}\right]+\left\langle d\left(\mathbb{E}_{(\cdot)} F\right), h(0)_{o}\right\rangle \tag{7.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D^{*} X^{h}:=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle:=\int_{0}^{1}\langle B(h), d b\rangle,
$$

as in Eq. 7.35) and $B(h)$ is defined in Eq. 7.36.
Proof. Start by choosing a smooth path $\alpha$ in $M$ such that $\dot{\alpha}(0)=h(0)_{o}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & :=\int R_{/ /}(h, \delta b) \\
r & =h^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ /}(h) \\
b_{s}^{t} & =\int_{0}^{s} e^{t C} d b+t \int_{0}^{s} r d \lambda \text { and } \\
Z_{t} & =\exp -\left\{\int_{0}^{1} t\left\langle r, e^{t C} d b\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} t^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\langle r, r\rangle d s\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

be defined by the same formulas as in the proof of Theorem 7.32 Let $u_{0}(t)$ denote parallel translation along $\alpha$, that is

$$
d u_{0}(t) / d t+\Gamma(\dot{\alpha}(t)) u_{0}(t)=0 \quad \text { with } \quad u_{0}(0)=i d
$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\Sigma(t, \cdot)$ by

$$
\Sigma(t, \delta s)=u(t, s) \delta b_{s}^{t} \quad \text { with } \quad \Sigma(t, 0)=\alpha(t)
$$

and

$$
u(t, \delta s)+\Gamma\left(u(t, s) \delta_{s} b_{s}^{t}\right) u(t, s)=0 \quad \text { with } \quad u(t, 0)=u_{o}(t)
$$

Appealing to a stochastic version of Theorem 4.14 (after choosing a good version of $\Sigma)$ it is possible to show that $\dot{\Sigma}(0, \cdot)=X$, so the $X F=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} F[\Sigma(t, \cdot)]$. As in the proof of Theorem $7.32, b^{t}$ is a Brownian motion relative to the expectation $\mathbb{E}_{t}$
defined by $\mathbb{E}_{t}(F):=\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{t} F\right]$. From this it is easy to see that $\Sigma(t, \cdot)$ is a Brownian motion on $M$ starting at $\alpha(t)$ relative to the expectation $\mathbb{E}_{t}$. Therefore, for all $t$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[F(\Sigma(t, \cdot)) Z_{t}\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\alpha(t)} F
$$

and differentiating this last expression at $t=0$ gives:

$$
\mathbb{E}[X F(\Sigma)]-\mathbb{E}\left[F \int_{0}^{1}\langle r, d b\rangle\right]=\left\langle d \mathbb{E}_{(\cdot)} F, h(0)_{o}\right\rangle
$$

The rest of the proof is identical to the previous proof.
As a corollary to Theorem 7.36 we get Elton Hsu's derivative formula which played a key role in the original proof of his logarithmic Sobolev inequality on $W(M)$, see Theorem 7.52 below and 98 .

Corollary 7.37 (Hsu's Derivative Formula). Let $v_{o} \in T_{o} M$. Define $h$ to be the adapted $T_{o} M$ - valued process solving the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s}=0 \quad \text { with } \quad h_{0}=v_{o} \tag{7.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d\left(\mathbb{E}_{(\cdot)} F\right), v_{o}\right\rangle=\mathbb{E}_{o}\left[X^{h} F\right] . \tag{7.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 7.36 to $X^{h}$ with $h$ defined by (7.41). Notice that $h$ has been constructed so that $B(h) \equiv 0$, i.e. $D^{*} X^{h}=0$.

The idea for the proof used here is similar to Hsu's proof, the only question is how one describes the perturbed process $\Sigma(t, \cdot)$ in the proof of Theorem 7.36 above. It is also possible to give a much more elementary proof of Eq. 7.42 based on the ideas in Section 6, see for example [58].
7.5. Elworthy-Li Integration by Parts Formula. In this subsection, let $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n} \subset \Gamma(T M), B$ be a $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued Brownian motion and $T_{s}^{B}(m)$ denote the solution to Eq. (5.1) with $\beta=B$ be as in Notation 7.25 . We will further assume that $\mathbf{X}(m): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow T_{m} M$ (as in Notation 5.4) is surjective for all $m \in M$ and let $\mathbf{X}(m)^{\#}=\left[\left.\mathbf{X}(m)\right|_{\left.\operatorname{Nul}(\mathbf{X}(m))^{\perp}\right]^{-1}}\right.$ as in Eq. 66.5). The following Lemma is an elementary exercise in linear algebra.

Lemma 7.38. For $m \in M$ and $v, w \in T_{m} M$ let

$$
\langle v, w\rangle_{m}:=\left\langle\mathbf{X}(m)^{\#} v, \mathbf{X}(m)^{\#} w\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}
$$

Then
(1) $m \rightarrow\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{m}$ is a smooth Riemannian metric on $M$.
(2) $\mathbf{X}(m)^{\operatorname{tr}}=\mathbf{X}(m)^{\#}$ and in particular $\mathbf{X}(m) \mathbf{X}(m)^{\operatorname{tr}}=i d_{T_{m} M}$ for all $m \in$ $M$.
(3) Every $v \in T_{m} M$ may be expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle v, X_{j}(m)\right\rangle X_{j}(m)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle v, \mathbf{X}(m) e_{j}\right\rangle \mathbf{X}(m) e_{j} \tag{7.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
The proof of this lemma is left to the reader with the comment that Eq. 7.43) is proved in the same manner as item (1) in Proposition 3.48 .

Theorem 7.39 (Elworthy - Li). Suppose $k_{s}$ is a $T_{o} M$ valued Cameron-Martin process such that $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|k_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s<\infty$ and $F: W(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded $C^{1}$ - function with bounded derivative on $W$, for example $F$ could be a cylinder function. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(d_{W(M)} F\right)(Z . k .)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[F(\Sigma) \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle Z_{s} k_{s}^{\prime}, \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[F(\Sigma) \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} Z_{s} k_{s}^{\prime}, d B_{s}\right\rangle\right] \tag{7.44}
\end{align*}
$$

where and $Z_{s}=\left(T_{s}^{B}\right)_{* o}$ is the differential of $m \rightarrow T_{s}^{B}(m)$ at $o$.
Proof. Notice that $Z_{s} k_{s} \in T_{\Sigma_{s}} M$ for all $s$ as it should be. By the reduction argument used in the proof of Theorem 7.32, it suffices to consider the case where $\left|k_{s}^{\prime}\right| \leq K$ where $K$ is a non-random constant. Let $h_{s}$ be the $T_{o} M$ - valued CameronMartin process defined by

$$
h_{s}:=\int_{0}^{s} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} Z_{r} k_{r}^{\prime} d r
$$

Then by Lemma 7.38 and Theorem 7.26 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{h} T_{s}^{B}(o) & =Z_{s} \int_{0}^{s} Z_{r}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) h_{r}^{\prime} d r \\
& =Z_{s} \int_{0}^{s} Z_{r}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} Z_{r} k_{r}^{\prime} d r=Z_{s} k_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular this implies

$$
\partial_{h} F\left(T_{(\cdot)}^{B}(o)\right)=\left\langle d F(\Sigma), \partial_{h} T_{s}^{B}(o)\right\rangle=\left\langle d_{W(M)} F(\Sigma), Z k\right\rangle
$$

and therefore by integration by parts on the flat Wiener space (Theorem 7.32 with $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(d_{W(M)} F\right)(\Sigma)(Z . k .)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\partial_{h}[F(\Sigma)]\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[F(\Sigma) \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}, d B_{s}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[F(\Sigma) \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} Z_{s} k_{s}^{\prime}, d B_{s}\right\rangle\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By factoring out the redundant noise in Theorem 7.39, we get yet another proof of Corollary 7.35 which also easily gives another proof of Theorem 7.32 .

Theorem 7.40 (Factoring out the redundant noise). Assume $\mathbf{X}(m)=P(m)$ and $X_{0}=0, k_{s}$ is a Cameron-Martin valued process adapted to the filtration, $\mathcal{F}_{s}^{\Sigma}:=$ $\sigma\left(\Sigma_{r}: r \leq s\right)$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(d_{W(M)} F\right)\left(/ / Q_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}} k\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[F(\Sigma) \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}} k_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right]
$$

where $Q_{s}$ solves Eq. 6.1.

Proof. By Theorems 7.39 and 5.40 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(d_{W(M)} F\right)(/ / z k)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[F(\Sigma) \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle/ /{ }_{s} z_{s} k_{s}^{\prime}, P\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[F(\Sigma) \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle z_{s} k_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with Theorem 5.44 implies

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(d_{W(M)} F\right)(/ / \bar{z} k)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[F(\Sigma) \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\bar{z}_{s} k_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right] .
$$

As observed in the proof of Corollary $6.4 \quad \bar{z}_{t}=Q_{t}^{\mathrm{tr}}$ which completes the proof.
The reader interested in seeing more of these type of arguments is referred to Elworthy, Le Jan and Li [71] where these ideas are covered in much greater detail and in full generality.
7.6. Fang's Spectral Gap Theorem and Proof. As in the flat case we let $\mathcal{L}=D^{*} \bar{D}$ - an unbounded operator on $L^{2}\left(W(M), \mu_{W(M)}\right)$ which is a "curved" analogue of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator used in Theorem 7.23. It has been shown in Driver and Röckner [56] that this operator generates a diffusion on $W(M)$. This last result also holds for pinned paths on $M$ and free loops on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, see [6].

In this section, we will give a proof of S. Fang's [79] spectral gap inequality for $\mathcal{L}$. Hsu's stronger logarithmic Sobolev inequality will be covered later in Theorem 7.52 below.

Theorem 7.41 (Fang). Let $\bar{D}$ be the closure of $D$ and $\mathcal{L}$ be the self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}\left(\mu_{W(M)}\right)$ defined by $\mathcal{L}=D^{*} \bar{D}$. (Note, if $M=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ then $\mathcal{L}$ would be an infinite dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator.) Then the null-space of $\mathcal{L}$ consists of the constant functions on $W(M)$ and $\mathcal{L}$ has a spectral gap, i.e. there is a constant $c>0$ such that $\langle\mathcal{L} F, F\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{W(M)}\right)} \geq c\langle F, F\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{W(M)}\right)}$ for all $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$ which are perpendicular to the constant functions.

This theorem is the $W(M)$ analogue of Theorem 7.23 . The proof of this theorem will be given at the end of this subsection. We first will need to represent $F$ in terms of $D F$. (Also see Section 7.7 below.)

Lemma 7.42. For each $F \in L^{2}\left(W(M), \mu_{W(M)}\right)$, there is a unique adapted Cameron-Martin vector field $X$ on $W(M)$ such that

$$
F=\mathbb{E} F+D^{*} X
$$

Proof. By the martingale representation theorem (see Corollary 7.20), there is a predictable $T_{o} M$-valued process, $a$, (which is not in general continuous) such that

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s<\infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle a_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle . \tag{7.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $h:=B^{-1}(a)$, where $B$ is as in Eq. 7.36; that is to say let $h$ be the solution to the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s}=a_{s} \text { with } h_{0}=0 \tag{7.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim: $B_{\sigma}^{-1}$ is a bounded linear map from $L^{2}\left(d s, T_{o} M\right) \rightarrow H$ for each $\sigma \in W(M)$, and furthermore the norm of $B_{\sigma}^{-1}$ is bounded independent of $\sigma \in W(M)$.

To prove the claim, use Duhamel's principle to write the solution to 7.46 as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s}=\int_{0}^{s} Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Q_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} a_{\tau} d \tau \tag{7.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{s}$ is as in Eq. 6.1. Since, $W_{s}:=Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Q_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1}$ solves the differential equation

$$
W_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} W_{s}=0 \text { with } W_{\tau}=I
$$

it is easy to show from the boundedness of $\mathrm{Ric}_{/ / s}$ and an application of Gronwall's inequality that

$$
\left|Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Q_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1}\right|=\left|W_{s}\right| \leq C
$$

where $C$ is a non-random constant independent of $s$ and $\tau$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle h, h\rangle_{H} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left|a_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s}\right|^{2} d s \\
& \leq 2 \int_{0}^{1}\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s+2 \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s}\right|^{2} d s \\
& \leq 2\left(1+C^{2} K^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K$ is a bound on the process $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Ric}_{/ / s}$. This proves the claim.
Because of the claim, $h:=B^{-1}(a)$ satisfies $\mathbb{E}\left[\langle h, h\rangle_{H}\right]<\infty$ and because of Eq. (7.47), $h$ is adapted. Hence, $X:=/ / h$ is an adapted Cameron-Martin vector field and

$$
D^{*} X=\int_{0}^{1}\langle B(h), d b\rangle=\int_{0}^{1}\langle a, d b\rangle .
$$

The existence part of the theorem now follows from this identity and Eq. 7.45.
The uniqueness assertion follows from the energy identity:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[D^{*} X\right]^{2}=\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|B(h)_{s}\right|^{2} d s \geq C \mathbb{E}\left[\langle h, h\rangle_{H}\right]
$$

Indeed if $D^{*} X=0$, then $h=0$ and hence $X=/ / h=0$.
The next goal is to find an expression for the vector-field $X$ in the above lemma in terms of the function $F$ itself. This will be the content of Theorem 7.45 below.

Notation 7.43. Let $L_{a}^{2}\left(\mu_{W(M)}: L^{2}\left(d s, T_{o} M\right)\right)$ denote the $T_{o} M$ - valued predictable processes, $v_{s}$ on $W(M)$ such that $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|v_{s}\right|^{2} d s<\infty$. Define the bounded linear operator $\bar{B}: \mathcal{X}_{a} \rightarrow L_{a}^{2}\left(\mu_{W(M)}: L^{2}\left(d s, T_{o} M\right)\right)$ by

$$
\bar{B}(X)=B\left(/ /^{-1} X\right)=\frac{d}{d s}\left[/ /{ }_{s}^{-1} X_{s}\right]+\frac{1}{2} / /_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{Ric} X_{s}
$$

Also let $\mathcal{Q}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{X}$ onto $\mathcal{X}_{a}$.

Remark 7.44. Notice that $D^{*} X=\int_{0}^{1}\langle\bar{B}(X), d b\rangle$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}_{a}$. We have seen that $\bar{B}$ has a bounded inverse, in fact $\bar{B}^{-1}(a)=/ / B^{-1}(a)$.

Theorem 7.45. As above let $\bar{D}$ denote the closure of $D$. Also let $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{a}$ be the bounded linear operator defined by

$$
T(X)=\left(\bar{B}^{*} \bar{B}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{Q} X
$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Then for all $F \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{D})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathbb{E} F+D^{*} T \bar{D} F \tag{7.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth pointing out that $\bar{B}^{*}$ is not $/ / B^{*}$ but is instead given by $\mathcal{Q} / / B^{*}$. This is because $/ / B^{*}$ does not take adapted processes to adapted processes. This is the reason it is necessary to introduce the orthogonal projection, $\mathcal{Q}$.

Proof. Let $Y \in \mathcal{X}_{a}$ be given and $X \in \mathcal{X}_{a}$ be chosen so that $F=\mathbb{E} F+D^{*} X$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle Y, \mathcal{Q} \bar{D} F\rangle_{\mathcal{X}} & =\langle Y, \bar{D} F\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}=\mathbb{E}\left[D^{*} Y \cdot F\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[D^{*} Y \cdot D^{*} X\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\langle\bar{B}(Y), \bar{B}(X)\rangle_{L^{2}(d s)}\right] \\
& =\left\langle Y, \bar{B}^{*} \bar{B}(X)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in going from the first to the second line we have used $\mathbb{E}\left[D^{*} Y\right]=0$. From the above displayed equation it follows that $\mathcal{Q} \bar{D} F=\bar{B}^{*} \bar{B}(X)$ and hence $X=$ $\left(\bar{B}^{*} \bar{B}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{Q} \bar{D} F=T(\bar{D} F)$.
7.6.1. Proof of Theorem 7.41. Let $F \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{D})$. By Theorem 7.45,

$$
\mathbb{E}[F-\mathbb{E} F]^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left[D^{*} T \bar{D} F\right]^{2}=\mathbb{E}|\bar{B}(T \bar{D} F)|_{L^{2}\left(d s, T_{o} M\right)}^{2} \leq C\langle\bar{D} F, \bar{D} F\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}
$$

where $C$ is the operator norm of $\bar{B} T$. In particular if $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$, then $\langle\bar{D} F, \bar{D} F\rangle_{\mathcal{X}}=$ $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L} F \cdot F]$, and hence

$$
\langle\mathcal{L} F, F\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{W(M)}\right)} \geq C^{-1}\langle F-\mathbb{E} F, F-\mathbb{E} F\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{W(M)}\right)}
$$

Therefore, if $F \in \operatorname{Nul}(\mathcal{L})$, it follows that $F=\mathbb{E} F$, i.e. $F$ is a constant. Moreover if $F \perp 1$ (i.e. $\mathbb{E} F=0$ ) then

$$
\langle\mathcal{L} F, F\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{W(M)}\right)} \geq C^{-1}\langle F, F\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{W(M)}\right)}
$$

proving Theorem 7.41 with $c=C^{-1}$.
7.7. $W(M)$ - Martingale Representation Theorem. In this subsection, $\Sigma$ is a Brownian motion on $M$ starting at $o \in M, / / s$ is stochastic parallel translation along $\Sigma$ and

$$
b_{s}=[\Psi(\Sigma)]_{s}=\int_{0}^{s} / /_{r}^{-1} \delta \Sigma_{r}
$$

is the undeveloped $T_{o} M$ - valued Brownian motion associated to $\Sigma$ as described before Theorem 5.29

Lemma 7.46. If $f \in C^{\infty}\left(M^{n+1}\right)$ and $i \leq n$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[/ / s_{i}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_{n}}\right] \\
& =/ / s_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i}\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \tag{7.49}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let us begin with the special case where $f=g \otimes h$ for some $g \in C^{\infty}\left(M^{n}\right)$ and $h \in C^{\infty}(M)$ where $g \otimes h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right):=g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) h\left(x_{n+1}\right)$. In this case

$$
/ /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right)=/ /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \cdot h\left(\Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right)
$$

where $/ /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s_{n}}$ - measurable. Hence by the Markov property we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[/ / s_{i}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_{n}}\right] \\
& =/ / s_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[h\left(\Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_{n}}\right] \\
& =/ /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta} / 2} h\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \\
& =/ /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i}\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Alternatively, as we have already seen, $M_{s}:=\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s\right) \bar{\Delta} / 2} h\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$ is a martingale for $s \leq s_{n+1}$, and therefore,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[h\left(\Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_{n}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[M_{s_{n+1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_{n}}\right]=M_{s_{n}}=\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta} / 2} h\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) .
$$

Since Eq. $\sqrt{7.49}$ is linear in $f$, this proves Eq. (7.49) when $f$ is a linear combination of functions of the form $g \otimes h$ as above.

Using a partition unity argument along with the standard convolution approximation methods; to any $f \in C^{\infty}\left(M^{n+1}\right)$ there exists a sequence of $f_{k} \in$ $C^{\infty}\left(M^{n+1}\right)$ with each $f_{k}$ being a linear combination of functions of the form $g \otimes h$ such that $f_{k}$ along with all of its derivatives converges uniformly to $f$. Passing to the limit in Eq. (7.49) with $f$ being replaced by $f_{k}$, shows that Eq. 7.49 holds for all $f \in C^{\infty}\left(M^{n+1}\right)$.

Recall that $Q_{s}$ is the End $\left(T_{o} M\right)$ - valued process determined in Eq. 6.1) and since

$$
\frac{d}{d s} Q_{s}^{-1}=-Q_{s}^{-1}\left[\frac{d}{d s} Q_{s}\right] Q_{s}^{-1}
$$

$Q_{s}^{-1}$ solves the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s} Q_{s}^{-1}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} Q_{s}^{-1} \text { with } Q_{0}^{-1}=I \tag{7.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 7.47 (Representation Formula). Suppose that $F$ is a smooth cylinder function of the form $F(\sigma)=f\left(\sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \sigma_{s_{n}}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\Sigma)=\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle a_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle \tag{7.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{s}$ is a bounded predictable process, $a_{s}$ is zero if $s \geq s_{n}$ and $s \rightarrow a_{s}$ is continuous off the partition set, $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$. Moreover $a_{s}$ may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{s}:=Q_{s}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{s \leq s_{i}} Q_{s_{i}} / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \tag{7.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof will be by induction on $n$. For $n=1$ suppose $F(\Sigma)=f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)$ for some $t \in(0,1]$. Integrating Eq. (5.38) from $[0, t]$ with $g=f$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\Sigma)=f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)=e^{t \bar{\Delta} / 2} f(o)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle/ /_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} e^{(t-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right), d b_{s}\right\rangle \tag{7.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e^{t \bar{\Delta} / 2} f(o)=\mathbb{E} F$, Eq. 7.53 shows Eq. 7.51 holds with

$$
a_{s}=1_{0 \leq s \leq t} / /_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} e^{(t-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)
$$

By Lemma 6.1. $Q_{s} / /_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} e^{(t-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$ is a martingale, and hence

$$
Q_{s} / /_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} e^{(t-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{t} / /_{t}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]
$$

from which it follows that

$$
a_{s}=1_{0 \leq s \leq t} / /_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} e^{(t-s) \bar{\Delta} / 2} f\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)=1_{0 \leq s \leq t} Q_{s}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[Q_{t} / /_{t}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]
$$

This shows that Eq. (7.52) is valid for $n=1$.
To carry out the inductive step, suppose the result holds for level $n$ and now suppose that

$$
F(\Sigma)=f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right)
$$

with $0<s_{1}<s_{2} \cdots<s_{n+1} \leq 1$. Let

$$
\left(\Delta_{n+1} f\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)=(\Delta g)\left(x_{n+1}\right)
$$

where $g(x):=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, x\right)$. Similarly, let $\operatorname{grad}_{n+1}$ denote the gradient acting on the $(n+1)^{\text {th }}$ - variable of a function $f \in C^{\infty}\left(M^{n+1}\right)$. Set

$$
H(s, \Sigma):=\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s}\right)
$$

for $s_{n} \leq s \leq s_{n+1}$. By Itô's Lemma, (see Corollary 5.18 and also Eq. 5.38,

$$
d\left[H\left(s, \Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=\left\langle\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s}, / /{ }_{s} d b_{s}\right\rangle
$$

for $s_{n} \leq s \leq s_{n+1}$. Integrating this last expression from $s_{n}$ to $s_{n+1}$ yields:

$$
F(\Sigma)=\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\quad+\int_{s_{n}}^{s_{n+1}}\left\langle/ /_{s}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{n+1} e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s}\right), d b_{s}\right\rangle  \tag{7.54}\\
& =\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)+\int_{s_{n}}^{s_{n+1}}\left\langle\alpha_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle, \tag{7.55}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{s}:=/ /{ }_{s}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, the smooth cylinder function,

$$
\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)
$$

may be written as a constant plus $\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\tilde{a}_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle$, where $\tilde{a}_{s}$ is bounded and piecewise continuous and $\tilde{a}_{s} \equiv 0$ if $s \geq s_{n}$. Thus if we let $a_{s}:=\tilde{a}_{s}+1_{s_{n}<s \leq s_{n+1}} \alpha_{s}$, we have shown

$$
F(\Sigma)=C+\int_{0}^{s_{n+1}}\left\langle a_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle
$$

for some constant $C$. Taking expectations of both sides of this equation then shows $C=\mathbb{E}[F(\Sigma)]$ and the proof of Eq. 7.51 is complete. So to finish the proof it only remains to verify Eq. (7.52).

Again by Lemma 6.1.

$$
s \rightarrow M_{s}:=Q_{s} / /_{s}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s}\right)
$$

is a martingale for $s \in\left[s_{n}, s_{n+1}\right]$ and therefore,

$$
M_{s}=Q_{s} / /_{s}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathbb{E}\left[M_{s_{n+1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n+1}} / /_{s_{n+1}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \tag{7.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& / /{ }_{s}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s}\right) \\
& \quad=Q_{s}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n+1}} / /_{s_{n+1}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \tag{7.57}
\end{align*}
$$

Using this identity, Eq. 7.54 may be written as
$F(\Sigma)=g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\int_{s_{n}}^{s_{n+1}}\left\langle Q_{s}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n+1}} / /_{s_{n+1}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle \tag{7.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right):=\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n}\right)
$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \\
& \quad=C+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{s \leq s_{i}} Q_{s_{i}} / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle \tag{7.59}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C=\mathbb{E}[F(\Sigma)]$ as we have already seen or alternatively, by the Markov property,

$$
\begin{align*}
C & :=\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right)=\mathbb{E}[F(\Sigma)] \tag{7.60}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 7.46, for $s \leq s_{n}$ and $i<n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} & {\left[Q_{s_{i}} / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] } \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{i}} \mathbb{E}\left[/ / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i}\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_{n}}\right] \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \\
(7.61) & =\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{i}} / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

While for $s \leq s_{n}$ and $i=n$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{grad}_{n} g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)=\operatorname{grad}_{n}\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \\
& \\
& +\operatorname{grad}_{n+1}\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right), \\
& \mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n}} / /_{s_{n}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{n}\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \\
& \quad=\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n}} \mathbb{E}\left[/ / s_{s_{n}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{n}\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_{n}}\right] \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \\
& \quad=\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n}} / l_{s_{n}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{n} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 7.46 and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n}} / /_{s_{n}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{n+1}\left(e^{\left(s_{n+1}-s_{n}\right) \bar{\Delta}_{n+1} / 2} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_{n}}\right] \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \\
& \quad=\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n+1}} / /_{s_{n+1}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

from Eq. 7.57 with $s=s_{n}$. Combining the previous three displayed equations shows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n}} / /_{s_{n}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{n} g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n}} / /_{s_{n}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{n} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \\
& \quad+\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s_{n+1}} / /_{s_{n+1}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \tag{7.62}
\end{align*}
$$

Assembling Eqs. 7.59, 7.60, 7.61 and 7.62 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}[F(\Sigma)]+\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle Q_{s}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[1_{s \leq s_{i}} Q_{s_{i}} / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[1_{s \leq s_{n}} Q_{s_{n+1}} / /_{s_{n+1}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{n+1} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

which combined with Eq. (7.58) shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\Sigma)=\mathbb{E}[F(\Sigma)] \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} 1_{s \leq s_{i}} Q_{s_{i}} / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}, \Sigma_{s_{n+1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the induction argument and hence the proof.
Proposition 7.48. Equation (7.51) may also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\Sigma)=\mathbb{E}[F(\Sigma)]+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\xi_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / r} \xi_{r} d r \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle \tag{7.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\xi_{s}:=/ /_{s}^{-1} \frac{d}{d s}(D F)_{s}
$$

Proof. Let $v_{i}:=/ /{ }_{s_{i}} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)$, so that

$$
\xi_{s}:=/ /_{s}^{-1} \frac{d}{d s}(D F)_{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{s<s_{i}} v_{i}
$$

and let

$$
\alpha_{s}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{s \leq s_{i}} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{s_{i}} / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{s \leq s_{i}} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{s_{i}} v_{i}
$$

Then the Lebesgue-Stieljtes measure associate to $\xi_{s}$ is

$$
d \xi_{s}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{s_{i}}(d s) v_{i}
$$

and therefore

$$
\alpha_{s}=-Q_{s}^{-1} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{r} d \xi_{r}=-\int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} d \xi_{r}
$$

So by integration by parts we have, for $s \notin\left\{0, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, 1\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{s} & =-\int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} d \xi_{r}=-\left.\left[Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \xi_{r}\right]\right|_{r=s} ^{r=1}+\int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1}\left[\frac{d}{d r} Q_{r}\right] \xi_{r} \\
& =\xi_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / r} \xi_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $\xi_{1}=0$. This completes the proof since from Eqs. 7.51) and (7.52),

$$
F(\Sigma)=\mathbb{E}[F(\Sigma)]+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle E\left[\alpha_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle
$$

Corollary 7.49. Let $F$ be a smooth cylinder function, then there is a predictable, piecewise continuously differentiable Cameron-Martin vector field $X$ such that $F=$ $\mathbb{E}[F]+D^{*} X$.

Proof. Just follow the proof of Lemma 7.42 using Theorem 7.47 in place of Corollary 7.20
7.7.1. The equivalence of integration by parts and the representation formula.

Corollary 7.50. The representation formula in Theorem 7.47 may be used to prove the integration by parts Theorem 7.32 in the case $F$ is a cylinder function.

Proof. Let $F$ be a cylinder function, $a_{s}$ be as in Eq. 7.52 , $h$ be an adapted Cameron-Martin process and $k_{s}:=\left(Q_{s}^{\mathrm{tr}}\right)^{-1} h_{s}$. Then, by the product rule and Eq. (7.39),

$$
h_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s}=\left(\frac{d}{d s}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s}\right) Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}} k_{s}=Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}} k_{s}^{\prime}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} & {\left[F \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Ric}_{/ / s} h_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right] } \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle a_{s}, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}} k_{s}^{\prime}, d b_{s}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}} k_{s}^{\prime}, a_{s}\right\rangle d s\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}} k_{s}^{\prime}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{s \leq s_{i}} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{s_{i}} / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)\right\rangle d s\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle k_{s}^{\prime}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{s \leq s_{i}} Q_{s_{i}} / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)\right\rangle d s\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle k_{s_{i}}, Q_{s_{i}} / /_{s_{i}}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle/ / s_{s_{i}} h_{s_{i}}, \operatorname{grad}_{i} f\left(\Sigma_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \Sigma_{s_{n}}\right)\right\rangle\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X^{h} F\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely we may give a proof of Theorem 7.47 which is based on the integration by parts Theorem 7.32 .

Theorem 7.51 (Representation Formula). Suppose $F$ is a cylinder function on $W(M)$ as in Eq. (7.2) and $\xi_{s}:=/ /_{s}^{-1} \frac{d}{d s}(D F)_{s}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\xi_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \mathrm{Ric}_{/ / r} \xi_{r} d r \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right], d b_{s}\right\rangle \tag{7.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{s}$ is the solution to Eq. (6.1).
Proof. Let $h \in \mathcal{X}_{a}$ be a predictable adapted Cameron-Martin valued process such that $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|h_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s<\infty$. By the martingale representation property in Corollary 7.20 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathbb{E} F+\int_{0}^{1}\langle a, d b\rangle \tag{7.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some predictable process $a$ such that $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s<\infty$. Then from Corollary 7.35 and the Itô isometry property,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[X^{Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h} F\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[F \cdot\left(X^{Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h}\right)^{*} 1\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[F \cdot \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h^{\prime}, d b\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}} h_{s}^{\prime}, a_{s}\right\rangle d s\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle h_{s}^{\prime}, Q_{s} a_{s}\right\rangle d s\right] . \tag{7.66}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand we may compute $\mathbb{E}\left[X^{Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h} F\right]$ as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[X^{Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h} F\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle D F, / / Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h\right\rangle_{H}\right]=\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\xi_{s}, \frac{d}{d s}\left(Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h\right)_{s}\right\rangle d s \\
& =\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\xi_{s}, Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}} h_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s} Q_{s}^{\operatorname{tr}} h_{s}\right\rangle d s \tag{7.67}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used Eq. $(7.39$ in the last equality. We will now rewrite the right side of Eq. 7.67) so that it has the same form as Eq. 7.66 To do this let $\rho_{s}:=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / s}$ and notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\xi_{s}, \rho_{s} Q_{s}^{\mathrm{tr}} h_{s}\right\rangle d s & =\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s} \rho_{s}^{*} \xi_{s},\left(\int_{0}^{s} h_{r}^{\prime} d r\right)\right\rangle d s \\
& =\int d r d s 1_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq 1}\left\langle Q_{s} \rho_{s}^{*} \xi_{s}, h_{r}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\int_{s}^{1} Q_{r} \rho_{r}^{*} \xi_{r} d r, h_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle d s
\end{aligned}
$$

wherein the last equality we have interchanged the role of $r$ and $s$. Using this result back in Eq. 7.67) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[X^{Q^{\operatorname{tr}} h} F\right]=\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s} \xi_{s}-\int_{s}^{1} Q_{r} \rho_{r}^{*} \xi_{r} d r, h_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle d s \tag{7.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and comparing this with Eq. (7.66) shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s} a_{s}-Q_{s} \xi_{s}+\int_{s}^{1} Q_{r} \rho_{r}^{*} \xi_{r} d r, h_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle d s=0 \tag{7.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{X}_{a}$.
Up to now we have only used $F \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ and not the fact that $F$ is a cylinder function. We will use this hypothesis now. From the easy part of Theorem 7.47
we know that $a_{s}$ satisfies the additional properties of being 1) bounded, 2) zero if $s \geq s_{n}$ and most importantly 3$) s \rightarrow a_{s}$ is continuous off the partition set, $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$.

Fix $\tau \in(0,1) \backslash\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}, v \in T_{o} M$ and let $G$ be a bounded $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ - measurable function. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$
l_{n}(s):=\int_{0}^{s} n 1_{\tau \leq r \leq \tau+\frac{1}{n}} d r
$$

Replacing $h$ in Eq. 7.69 by $h_{n}(s):=G \cdot l_{n}(s) v$ and then passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Q_{s} a_{s}-Q_{s} \xi_{s}+\int_{s}^{1} Q_{r} \rho_{r}^{*} \xi_{r} d r, h_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle d s \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[G\left\langle Q_{\tau} a_{\tau}-Q_{\tau} \xi_{\tau}+\int_{\tau}^{1} Q_{r} \rho_{r}^{*} \xi_{r} d r, v\right\rangle\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $G$ and $v$ were arbitrary we conclude from this equation that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[Q_{\tau} \xi_{\tau}-\int_{\tau}^{1} Q_{r} \rho_{r} \xi_{r} d r \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right]=Q_{\tau} a_{\tau}
$$

Thus for all but finitely many $s \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{s} & =Q_{s}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[Q_{s} \xi_{s}-\int_{s}^{1} Q_{r} \rho_{r} \xi_{r} d r \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\xi_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / r} \xi_{r} d r \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with Eq. 7.65 proves Eq. 7.64.
7.8. Logarithmic-Sobolev Inequality for $W(M)$. The next theorem is the "curved" generalization of Theorem 7.24.
Theorem 7.52 (Hsu's Logarithmic Sobolev Inequality). Let $M$ be a compact Riemannian manifold, then for all $F \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{D})$
$\mathbb{E}\left[F^{2} \log F^{2}\right] \leq \mathbb{E} F^{2} \cdot \log \mathbb{E} F^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|/ /{ }_{s}^{-1}(D F)_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / r} / /_{r}^{-1}(D F)_{r}^{\prime} d r\right|^{2} d s \tag{7.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(D F)_{s}^{\prime}:=\frac{d}{d s}(D F)_{s}$. Moreover, there is a constant $C=C$ (Ric) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[F^{2} \log F^{2}\right] \leq C \mathbb{E}\left[\langle D F, D F\rangle_{H\left(T_{o} M\right)}\right]+\mathbb{E} F^{2} \cdot \log \mathbb{E} F^{2} \tag{7.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof we give here follows the paper of Capitaine, Hsu and Ledoux 29. We begin in the same way as the proof of Theorem 7.24. Let $F \in \mathcal{F} C^{1}(W(M)), \varepsilon>0, H_{\varepsilon}:=F^{2}+\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{D})$ and

$$
a_{s}:=\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\xi_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / r} \xi_{r} d r \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]
$$

where

$$
\xi_{s}=/ /_{s}^{-1} \frac{d}{d s}\left(D H_{\varepsilon}\right)_{s}=2 F \cdot / /_{s}^{-1} \frac{d}{d s}(D F)_{s}
$$

Then by Theorem 7.47 .

$$
H_{\varepsilon}=\mathbb{E} H_{\varepsilon}+\int_{0}^{1}\langle a, d b\rangle
$$

The same proof used to derive Eq. 7.23 shows, with $\phi(x)=x \ln x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(M_{1}\right)\right]=\phi\left(\mathbb{E} M_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{M_{s}}\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right] \\
& =\phi\left(\mathbb{E} H_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}\left[H_{\varepsilon} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]}\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the contractive properties of conditional expectations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{s}\right|^{2} & =\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\left.2 F\left\{/ /_{s}^{-1}(D F)_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / r} / /_{r}^{-1}(D F)_{r}^{\prime} d r\right\} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right|^{2} \\
& \leq 4 \mathbb{E}\left[F^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\left|/ /_{s}^{-1}(D F)_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / r} / /{ }_{r}^{-1}(D F)_{r}^{\prime} d r\right|^{2} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the last two equations along with Eq. (7.24) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \phi\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq & \phi\left(\mathbb{E} H_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& +2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\left|/ /_{s}^{-1}(D F)_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \mathrm{Ric}_{/ /_{r}} / /_{r}^{-1}(D F)_{r}^{\prime} d r\right|^{2} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] d s \\
= & \phi\left(\mathbb{E} H_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& +2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|/ /_{s}^{-1}(D F)_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \mathrm{Ric}_{/ / r} / /_{r}^{-1}(D F)_{r}^{\prime} d r\right|^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We may now let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in this inequality to learn Eq. 7.70 holds for all $F \in$ $\mathcal{F} C^{1}(W)$. By compactness of $M, \operatorname{Ric}_{m}$ is bounded on $M$ and so by simple Gronwall type estimates on $Q$ and $Q^{-1}$, there is a non-random constant $K<\infty$ such that

$$
\left\|Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / r}\right\|_{o p} \leq K \text { for all } r, s
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, / /_{s}^{-1}(D F)_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r}\right. \text { Ric }_{/ /_{r}} / /\left._{r}^{-1}(D F)_{r}^{\prime} d r\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left[\left|(D F)_{s}^{\prime}\right|+\frac{1}{2} K \int_{0}^{1}\left|(D F)_{s}^{\prime}\right| d s\right]^{2} \\
& \quad \leq 2\left|(D F)_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} K^{2}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|(D F)_{s}^{\prime}\right| d s\right]^{2} \\
& \quad \leq 2\left|(D F)_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} K^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left|(D F)_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|(D F)_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} Q_{s}^{-1} Q_{r} \operatorname{Ric}_{/ / r}(D F)_{r}^{\prime} d r\right|^{2} d s \\
& \quad \leq\left(4+K^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left|(D F)_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this estimate with Eq. 7.70 implies Eq. 7.71 holds with $C=$ $\left(4+K^{2}\right)$. Again, since $\mathcal{F} C^{1}(W)$ is a core for $\bar{D}$, standard limiting arguments show that Eq. 7.70 and Eq. 7.71 are valid for all $F \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{D})$.

Theorem 7.52 was first proved by Hsu [98] with an independent proof given shortly thereafter by Aida and Elworthy [4]. Hsu's original proof relied on a Markov dependence version of a standard additivity property for logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and makes key use of Corollary 7.37. On the other hand Aida and Elworthy show, using the projection construction of Brownian motion, the logarithmic Sobolev inequality on $W(M)$ is a consequence of Gross' 92 original logarithmic Sobolev inequality on the classical Wiener space $W\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, see Theorem 7.24. In Aida's and Elworthy's proof, Theorem 5.43 plays an important role.
7.9. More References. Many people have now proved some version of integration by parts for path and loop spaces in one context or another, see for example [21, 28, 32, 26, 28, 27, 47, 48, 49, 76, 75, 78, 85, 122, 128, 146, 161, 159, 160, 163, 102. We have followed Bismut in these notes who proved integration by parts formulas for cylinder functions depending on one time. However, as is pointed out by Leandre and Malliavin and Fang, Bismut's technique works with out any essential change for arbitrary cylinder functions. In [47, 48, the flow associated to a general class of vector fields on paths and loop spaces of a manifold were constructed. The reader is also referred to the texts [71, 100, 171] and the related articles [81, 80, 35, 77, 82, 83, 84, 34, 37, 33, 38, 36, 39, 125].

Many of the results in this section extend to pinned Wiener measure on loop spaces, see 48 for example. Loop spaces are more interesting than path spaces since they have nontrivial topology, The issue of the spectral gap and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for general loop spaces is still an open problem. In 93, Gross has prove a logarithmic Sobolev inequality on Loop groups with an added "potential term" for a special geometry on loop groups. Here Gross uses pinned Wiener measure as the reference measure. In Driver and Lohrenz [54], it is shown that a logarithmic Sobolev inequality without a potential term does hold on the Loop group provided one replace pinned Wiener measure by a "heat kernel" measure. The quasi-invarariance properties of the heat kernel measure on loop groups was first established in 50, 51. For more results on heat kernel measures on the loop groups see for example, [57, 3, 30, 31, 82, 83, 106].

The question as to when or if the potential is needed in Gross's setting for logarithmic Sobolev inequalities is still an open question, but see Gong, Röckner and Wu [89] for a positive result in this direction. Eberle [59, 60, 61, 62] has provided examples of Riemannian manifolds where the spectral gap inequality fails in the loop space setting. The reader is referred to [52, 53] and the references therein for some more perspective on the stochastic analysis on loop spaces.

## 8. Malliavin's Methods for Hypoelliptic Operators

In this section we will be concerned with determining smoothness properties of the Law $\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)$ where $\Sigma_{t}$ denotes the solution to Eq. (5.1) with $\Sigma_{0}=o$ and $\beta=B$ being an $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued Brownian motion. Unlike the previous sections in these notes, the map $\mathbf{X}(m): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow T_{m} M$ is not assumed to be surjective. Equivalently put, the diffusion generator $L:=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}+X_{0}$ is no longer assumed to be elliptic. However we will always be assuming that the vector fields $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$ satisfy Hörmander's restricted bracket condition at $o \in M$ as in Definition 8.1. Let $\mathcal{K}_{1}:=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{l}$ be defined inductively by

$$
\mathcal{K}_{l+1}=\left\{\left[X_{i}, K\right]: K \in \mathcal{K}_{l}\right\} \cup \mathcal{K}_{l} .
$$

For example

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{K}_{2}=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\} \cup\left\{\left[X_{j}, X_{i}\right]: i, j=1, \ldots, n\right\} \text { and } \\
\mathcal{K}_{3}=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\} \cup\left\{\left[X_{j}, X_{i}\right]: i, j=1, \ldots, n\right\} \\
\qquad \cup\left\{\left[X_{k},\left[X_{j}, X_{i}\right]\right]: i, j, k=1, \ldots, n\right\} \text { etc. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 8.1. The collection of vector fields, $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n} \subset \Gamma(T M)$, satisfies Hörmander's restricted bracket condition at $m \in M$ if there exist $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{K(m): K \in \mathcal{K}_{l}\right\}\right)=T_{m} M
$$

Under this condition it follows from a classical theorem of Hörmander that solutions to the heat equation $\partial_{t} u=L u$ are necessarily smooth. Since the fundamental solution to this equation at $o \in M$ is the law of the process $\Sigma_{t}$, it follows that the Law $\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)$ is absolutely continuous relative to the volume measure $\lambda$ on $M$ and its Radon-Nikodym derivative is a smooth function on $M$. Malliavin, in his 1976 pioneering paper [130], gave a probabilistic proof of this fact. Malliavin's original paper was followed by an avalanche of papers carrying out and extending Malliavin's program including the fundamental works of Stroock [169, 170, 168, Kusuoka and Stroock [121, 119, 120], and Bismut [21]. See also [13, 12, 23, 55, 104, 132, 152, 136, 147, 148, 157, 158, 179] (and the references therein) along with Bell's article in this volume. The purpose of this section is to briefly explain (omitting some details) Malliavin methods.
8.1. Malliavin's Ideas in Finite Dimensions. To understand Malliavin's methods it is best to begin with a finite dimensional analogue.

Theorem 8.2 (Malliavin's Ideas in Finite Dimensions). Let $W=\mathbb{R}^{N}$, $\mu$ be the Gaussian measure on $W$ defined by

$$
d \mu(x):=(2 \pi)^{-N / 2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^{2}} d m(x) .
$$

Further suppose $F: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ (think $F=\Sigma_{t}$ ) is a function satisfying:
(1) $F$ is smooth and all of its partial derivatives are in

$$
L^{\infty-}(\mu):=\cap_{1 \leq p<\infty} L^{p}(W, \mu)
$$

(2) $F$ is a submersion or equivalently assume the "Malliavin" matrix

$$
C(\omega):=D F(\omega) D F(\omega)^{*}
$$

is invertible for all $\omega \in W$.
(3) Let

$$
\Delta(\omega):=\operatorname{det} C(\omega)=\operatorname{det}\left(D F(\omega) D F(\omega)^{*}\right)
$$

and assume $\Delta^{-1} \in L^{\infty-}(\mu)$.
Then the law ( $\mu_{F}=F_{*} \mu=\mu \circ F^{-1}$ ) of $F$ is absolutely continuous relative to Lebesgue measure, $\lambda$, on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and the Radon-Nikodym derivative, $\rho:=d \mu_{F} / d \lambda$, is smooth.

Proof. For each vector field $Y \in \Gamma\left(T \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Y}(\omega)=D F(\omega)^{*} C(\omega)^{-1} Y(F(\omega)) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- a smooth vector field on $W$ such that $D F(\omega) \mathbb{Y}(\omega)=Y(F(\omega))$ or in more geometric notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{*} \mathbb{Y}(\omega)=Y(F(\omega)) \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the purposes of this proof, it is sufficient to restrict our attention to the case where $Y$ is a constant vector field.

Explicit computations using the chain rule and Cramer's rule for computing $C(\omega)^{-1}$ shows that $D^{k} \mathbb{Y}$ may be expressed as a polynomial in $\Delta^{-1}$ and $D^{\ell} F$ for $\ell=0,1,2 \ldots, k$. In particular $D^{k} \mathbb{Y}$ is in $L^{\infty-}(\mu)$. Suppose $f, g: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are $C^{1}$ functions such that $f, g$, and their first order derivatives are in $L^{\infty-}(\mu)$. Then by a standard truncation argument and integration by parts, one shows that

$$
\int_{W}(\mathbb{Y} f) g d \mu=\int_{W} f\left(\mathbb{Y}^{*} g\right) d \mu
$$

where

$$
\mathbb{Y}^{*}=-\mathbb{Y}+\delta(\mathbb{Y}) \text { and } \delta(\mathbb{Y})(\omega):=-\operatorname{div}(\mathbb{Y})(\omega)+\mathbb{Y}(\omega) \cdot \omega
$$

Suppose that $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $Y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \subset \Gamma\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then from Eq. 8.2 and induction,

$$
\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} \cdots Y_{k} \phi\right)(F(\omega))=\left(\mathbb{Y}_{1} \mathbb{Y}_{2} \cdots \mathbb{Y}_{k}(\phi \circ F)\right)(\omega)
$$

and therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} \cdots Y_{k} \phi\right) d \mu_{F} & =\int_{W}\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} \cdots Y_{k} \phi\right)(F(\omega)) d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\int_{W}\left(\mathbb{Y}_{1} \mathbb{Y}_{2} \cdots \mathbb{Y}_{k}(\phi \circ F)\right)(\omega) d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\int_{W} \phi(F(\omega)) \cdot\left(\mathbb{Y}_{k}^{*} \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}^{*} \cdots \mathbb{Y}_{1}^{*} 1\right)(\omega) d \mu(\omega) \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By the remarks in the previous paragraph, $\left(\mathbb{Y}_{k}^{*} \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}^{*} \cdots \mathbb{Y}_{1}^{*} 1\right) \in L^{\infty-}(\mu)$ which along with Eq. 8.3 shows

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} \cdots Y_{k} \phi\right) d \mu_{F}\right| \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

where $C=\left\|\mathbb{Y}_{k}^{*} \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}^{*} \cdots \mathbb{Y}_{1}^{*} 1\right\|_{L^{1}(\mu)}<\infty$. It now follows from Sobolev imbedding theorems or simple Fourier analysis that $\mu_{F} \ll \lambda$ and that $\rho:=d \mu_{F} / d \lambda$ is a smooth function.

The remainder of Section 8 will be devoted to an infinite dimensional analogue of Theorem 8.2 (see Theorem 8.9 where $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is replaced by a manifold $M^{d}$,

$$
W:=\left\{\omega \in C\left([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^{n}\right): \omega(0)=0\right\}
$$

$\mu$ is taken to be Wiener measure on $W, B_{t}: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be defined by $B_{t}(\omega)=\omega_{t}$ and $F:=\Sigma_{t}: W\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow M$ is a solution to Eq. (5.1) with $\Sigma_{0}=o \in M$ and $\beta=B$. Recall that $\mu$ is the unique measure on $\mathcal{F}:=\sigma\left(\bar{B}_{t}: t \in[0, \infty)\right)$ such that $\left\{B_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion. I am now using $t$ as the dominant parameter rather than $s$ to be in better agreement with the literature on this subject.
8.2. Smoothness of Densities for Hörmander Type Diffusions . For simplicity of the exposition, it will be assumed that $M^{d}$ is a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $d$. However this can and should be relaxed. For example most everything we are going to say would work if $M$ is an imbedded submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and the vector fields $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$ are the restrictions of smooth vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ whose partial derivatives to any order greater than 0 are all bounded.

Remark 8.3. The choice of Riemannian metric here is somewhat arbitrary and is an artifact of the method to be described below. It is the author's belief that this issue has still not been adequately addressed in the literature.

To abbreviate the notation, let

$$
H=\left\{h \in W:\langle h, h\rangle_{H}:=\int_{0}^{\infty}|\dot{h}(t)|^{2} d t<\infty\right\}
$$

and $D \Sigma_{t}: H \rightarrow T_{\Sigma_{t}} M$ be defined by $\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right) h:=\partial_{h} T_{t}^{B}(o)$ as defined Theorem7.26. Recall from Theorem 7.26 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right) h:=Z_{t} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \dot{h}_{\tau} d \tau=/ /{ }_{t} z_{t} \int_{0}^{t} z_{\tau}^{-1} / /_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \dot{h}_{\tau} d \tau \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{h}_{\tau}:=\frac{d}{d \tau} h_{\tau}, Z_{t}:=\left(T_{t}^{B}\right)_{* o}: T_{o} M \rightarrow T_{\Sigma_{t}} M, / / t$ is stochastic parallel translation along $\Sigma$ and $z_{t}:=/ /_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}$. In the sequel, adjoints will be denote by either "* " or " tr " with the former being used if an infinite dimensional space is involved and the latter if all spaces involved are finite dimensional.

Definition 8.4 (Reduced Malliavin Covariance). The End ( $\left.T_{o} M\right)$ - valued random variable,

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{C}_{t} & :=\int_{0}^{t} Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} d \tau  \tag{8.5}\\
& =\int_{0}^{t} z_{\tau}^{-1} / /_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} / /_{\tau}\left(z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} d \tau \tag{8.6}
\end{align*}
$$

will be called the reduced Malliavin covariance matrix.
Theorem 8.5. The adjoint, $\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right)^{*}: T_{\Sigma_{t}} M \rightarrow H$, of the map $D \Sigma_{t}$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right)^{*} / /{ }_{t} v\right]_{\tau}=1_{\tau \leq t} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} / /_{\tau}\left(z_{t} z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} v \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in T_{o} M$. The Malliavin covariance matrix $C_{t}:=D \Sigma_{t}\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right)^{*}: T_{\Sigma_{t}} M \rightarrow$ $T_{\Sigma_{t}} M$ is given by $C_{t}=Z_{t} \bar{C}_{t} Z_{t}^{\mathrm{tr}}$ or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{t}=D \Sigma_{t}\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right)^{*}=/ /{ }_{t} z_{t} \bar{C}_{t} z_{t}^{\mathrm{tr}} / /_{t}^{-1} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using Eq. 8.4,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle D \Sigma_{t} h, / /{ }_{t} v\right\rangle_{T_{\Sigma_{t}} M} & =\left\langle Z_{t} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \dot{h}_{\tau} d \tau, / /{ }_{t} v\right\rangle_{T_{\Sigma_{t} M}} \\
& =\left\langle/ / t z_{t} \int_{0}^{t} z_{\tau}^{-1} / /_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \dot{h}_{\tau} d \tau, /{ }_{t} v\right\rangle_{T_{\Sigma_{t} M}} \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle z_{t} z_{\tau}^{-1} / /_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \dot{h}_{\tau}, v\right\rangle_{T_{o} M} d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\dot{h}_{\tau}, \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} / /_{\tau}\left(z_{t} z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} d \tau \tag{8.9}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies Eq. 8.7. Combining Eqs. 8.4 and 8.7, using

$$
Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}=\left(/ / \tau z_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}=z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}} / /_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}=z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}} / /_{\tau}^{-1}
$$

shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
D \Sigma_{t}\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right)^{*} / / t v & =Z_{t} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} / / \tau\left(z_{t} z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} v d \tau \\
& =Z_{t} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} Z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}} / / t v d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
C_{t}=Z_{t} \bar{C}_{t} Z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}}=/ /{ }_{t} z_{t} \bar{C}_{t} z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}} / /_{t}^{-1}
$$

from which Eq. 8.8) follows.
The next crucial theorem is at the heart of Malliavin's method and constitutes the deepest part of the theory. The proof of this theorem will be postponed until Section 8.4 below.

Theorem 8.6 (Non-degeneracy of $\left.\bar{C}_{t}\right)$. Let $\bar{\Delta}_{t}:=\operatorname{det}\left(\bar{C}_{t}\right)$. If Hörmander's restricted bracket condition at $o \in M$ holds then $\bar{\Delta}_{t}>0$ a.e. (i.e. $\bar{C}_{t}$ is invertible a.e.) and moreover $\bar{\Delta}_{t}^{-1} \in L^{\infty-}(\mu)$.

Following the general strategy outlined in Theorem 8.2, given a vector field $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ we wish to lift it via the $\operatorname{map} \Sigma_{t}: W \rightarrow M$ to a vector field $\mathbb{Y}^{t}$ on $W:=W\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. According to the prescription used in Eq. 8.1) in Theorem 8.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Y}^{t}:=\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right)^{*}\left(D \Sigma_{t}\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right)^{*}\right)^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)=\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right)^{*} C_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \in H \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. 8.8

$$
C_{t}^{-1}=/ /_{t}\left(z_{t}^{\mathrm{tr}}\right)^{-1} \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} z_{t}^{-1} / /_{t}^{-1}
$$

and combining this with Eq. 8.10, using Eq. 8.7), implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d \tau} \mathbb{Y}_{\tau}^{t} & =1_{\tau \leq t} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\left(D \Sigma_{t}\right) / /_{t}\left(z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} z_{t}^{-1} / /_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]_{\tau} \\
& =1_{\tau \leq t} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} / /_{\tau}\left(z_{t} z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} z_{t}^{-1} / /_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \\
& =1_{\tau \leq t} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} / /_{\tau}\left(z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \\
& =1_{\tau \leq t} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the formula for $\mathbb{Y}^{t}$ in Eq. 8.10 may be explicitly written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Y}_{s}^{t}=\left[\int_{0}^{s \wedge t}\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} d \tau\right] \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader should observe that the process $s \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}_{s}^{t}$ is non-adapted since $\bar{C}_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)$ depends on the entire path of $\Sigma$ up to time $t$.

Theorem 8.7. Let $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ and $\mathbb{Y}^{t}$ be the non-adpated Cameron-Martin process defined in Eq. 8.11). Then $\mathbb{Y}^{t}$ is "Malliavin smooth," i.e. $\mathbb{Y}^{t}$ is $H$ - differentiable (in the sense of Theorem 7.14) to all orders with all differentials being in $L^{\infty-}(\mu)$, see Nualart [148] for more precise definitions. Moreover if $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, then $f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)$ is Malliavin smooth and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\bar{D}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right], \mathbb{Y}^{t}\right\rangle_{H}=Y f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{D}$ is the closure of the gradient operator defined in Corollary 7.16.
Proof. We only sketch the proof here and refer the reader to [147, 12, 148 with regard to some of the technical details which are omitted below. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ be an orthonormal basis for $T_{o} M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Y}_{s}^{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle e_{i}, \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right\rangle \int_{0}^{s}\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} e_{i} d \tau=\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i} h_{s}^{i} \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a_{i}:=\left\langle e_{i}, \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right\rangle \text { and } h_{s}^{i}:=\int_{0}^{s \wedge t}\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} e_{i} d \tau
$$

It is well known that solutions to stochastic differential equations with smooth coefficients are Malliavin smooth from which it follows that $h^{i}, Z_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)$, and $\bar{C}_{t}$ are Malliavin smooth. It also follows from the general theory, under the conclusion of Theorem 8.6, that $\bar{C}_{t}^{-1}$ is Malliavin smooth and hence so are each the functions $a_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots d$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Y}^{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i} h^{i}$ is Malliavin smooth as well and in particular $\mathbb{Y}^{t} \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{*}\right)$. It now only remains to verify Eq. 8.12.

Let $h$ be a non-random element of $H$. Then from Theorems 7.14, 7.15, 7.26 and the chain rule for Wiener calculus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \cdot D^{*} h\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\partial_{h}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[d f\left(D \Sigma_{t} h\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[d f\left(Z_{t} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \dot{h}_{\tau} d \tau\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right), Z_{t} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \dot{h}_{\tau} d \tau\right\rangle_{T_{\Sigma_{t}} M}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} Z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}} \vec{\nabla} f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \vec{\nabla} f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right), \dot{h}_{\tau}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} d \tau\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we conclude that $f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \in \mathcal{D}\left(D^{* *}\right)=\mathcal{D}(\bar{D})$ and

$$
\left(\bar{D}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]\right)_{s}=\int_{0}^{s \wedge t} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} Z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}} \vec{\nabla} f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) d \tau
$$

From this formula and the definition of $\mathbb{Y}^{t}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\bar{D} & {\left.\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right], \mathbb{Y}^{t}\right\rangle_{H} } \\
= & \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} Z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}} \vec{\nabla} f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right), \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right\rangle d \tau \\
= & \left\langle\vec{\nabla} f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right), Z_{t}\left(\int_{0}^{t} Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\left(Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} d \tau\right) \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right), Z_{t} \bar{C}_{t} \bar{C}_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}^{-1} Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\vec{\nabla} f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right), Y\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =(Y f)\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notation 8.8. Let $\mathbb{Y}^{t}$ act on Malliavin smooth functions by the formula, $\mathbb{Y}^{t} F:=$ $\left\langle\bar{D} F, \mathbb{Y}^{t}\right\rangle_{H}$ and let $\left(\mathbb{Y}^{t}\right)^{*}$ denote the $L^{2}(\mu)$ - adjoint of $\mathbb{Y}^{t}$.

With this notation, Theorem 8.7 asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Y}^{t}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]=(Y f)\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose $F, G: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Malliavin smooth functions, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{Y}^{t} F \cdot G+F \cdot \mathbb{Y}^{t} G\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{Y}^{t}[F G]\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle\bar{D}[F G], \mathbb{Y}^{t}\right\rangle_{H}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[F \cdot G D^{*} \mathbb{Y}^{t}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows that $G \in \mathcal{D}\left(\left(\mathbb{Y}^{t}\right)^{*}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{Y}^{t}\right)^{*} G=-\mathbb{Y}^{t} G+G D^{*} \mathbb{Y}^{t} \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the general theory (see [148 for example), $D^{*} U$ is Malliavin smooth if $U$ is Malliavin smooth. In particular $\left(\mathbb{Y}^{t}\right)^{*} G$ is Malliavin smooth if $G$ is Malliavin smooth.

Theorem 8.9 (Smoothness of Densities). Assume the restricted Hörmander condition holds at $o \in M$ (see Definition 8.1) and suppose $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k} \subset \Gamma(T M)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(Y_{1} \ldots Y_{k} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{Y}_{1}^{t} \ldots \mathbb{Y}_{k}^{t}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]\left(\mathbb{Y}_{k}^{t}\right)^{*} \ldots\left(\mathbb{Y}_{1}^{t}\right)^{*} 1\right] . \tag{8.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the law of $\Sigma_{t}$ is smooth.
Proof. By an induction argument using Eq. 8.14,

$$
\mathbb{Y}_{1}^{t} \ldots \mathbb{Y}_{k}^{t}\left[f\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]=\left(Y_{1} \ldots \overline{Y_{k} f}\right)\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)
$$

from which Eq. 8.16 is a simple consequence. As has already been observed, $\left(\mathbb{Y}_{k}^{t}\right)^{*} \ldots\left(\mathbb{Y}_{1}^{t}\right)^{*} 1$ is Malliavin smooth and in particular $\left(\mathbb{Y}_{k}^{t}\right)^{*} \ldots\left(\mathbb{Y}_{1}^{t}\right)^{*} 1 \in L^{1}(\mu)$. Therefore it follows from Eq. 8.16 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\left(Y_{1} \ldots Y_{k} f\right)\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]\right| \leq\left\|\left(\mathbb{Y}_{k}^{t}\right)^{*} \ldots\left(\mathbb{Y}_{1}^{t}\right)^{*} 1\right\|_{L^{1}(\mu)}\|f\|_{\infty} \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the argument used in the proof of Theorem 8.2 after Eq. 8.16 is local in nature, it follows from Eq. 8.17) that the $\operatorname{Law}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)$ has a smooth density relative to any smooth measure on $\bar{M}$ and in particular the Riemannian volume measure.
8.3. The Invertibility of $\bar{C}_{t}$ in the Elliptic Case. As a warm-up to the proof of the full version of Theorem 8.6 let us first consider the special case where $\mathbf{X}(m)$ : $\mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow T_{m} M$ is surjective for all $m \in M$. Since $M$ is compact this will imply there exists and $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\mathbf{X}(m) \mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{tr}}(m) \geq \varepsilon I_{T_{m} M} \text { for all } m \in M
$$

Notation 8.10. We will write $f(\varepsilon)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)$ if, for all $p<\infty$,

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{|f(\varepsilon)|}{\varepsilon^{p}}=0
$$

Proposition 8.11 (Elliptic Case). Suppose there is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\mathbf{X}(m) \mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{tr}}(m) \geq \varepsilon I_{T_{m} M}
$$

for all $m \in M$, then $\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\bar{C}_{t}\right)\right]^{-1} \in L^{\infty-}(\mu)$.
Proof. Let $\delta \in(0,1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\delta}:=\inf \left\{t>0:\left|z_{t}-I_{T_{o} M}\right|>\delta\right\} \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as usual,

$$
z_{t}:=/ /_{t}^{-1} Z_{t}=/ /_{t}^{-1}\left(T_{t}^{B}\right)_{* o}
$$

Since for all $a \in T_{o} M$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right. & \left.\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} a, a\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} a,\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} a\right\rangle \\
& \geq \varepsilon\left\langle\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} a,\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} a\right\rangle=\varepsilon\left\langle a, Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} a\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad \geq \varepsilon Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1}=\varepsilon z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}} / /_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(/ /_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1}\left(z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1}=\varepsilon z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(z_{t}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{C}_{t} & =\int_{0}^{t} Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} d \tau \\
& \geq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} Z_{\tau}^{-1}\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} d \tau \geq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{\delta}} z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(z_{\tau}^{\mathrm{tr}}\right)^{-1} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore,

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{t}=\operatorname{det}\left(\bar{C}_{t}\right) \geq \varepsilon^{d} \operatorname{det}\left(\int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{\delta}} z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} d \tau\right)
$$

By choosing $\delta>0$ sufficiently small we may arrange that

$$
\left\|z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1}-I\right\| \leq 1 / 2
$$

for all $\tau \leq t \wedge T_{\delta}$ in which case

$$
\int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{\delta}} z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} d \tau \geq \frac{1}{2} t \wedge T_{\delta} \cdot I d
$$

and hence $\bar{\Delta}_{t}=\operatorname{det}\left(\bar{C}_{t}\right) \geq \varepsilon^{d}\left(\frac{1}{2} t \wedge T_{\delta}\right)^{d}$. From this it follows, with $q=p \cdot d$, that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\bar{\Delta}_{t}^{-p}\right] \leq 2^{q} \varepsilon^{-q} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{1}{t \wedge T_{\delta}}\right)^{q}\right) .
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{1}{t \wedge T_{\delta}}\right)^{q}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(-\int_{t \wedge T_{\delta}}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d \tau} \tau^{-q} d \tau\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(q \int_{0}^{\infty} 1_{t \wedge T_{\delta} \leq \tau} \cdot \tau^{-q-1} d \tau\right) \\
& =q \int_{0}^{\infty} \tau^{-q-1} \mu\left(t \wedge T_{\delta} \leq \tau\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

which will be finite for all $q>1$ iff $\mu\left(t \wedge T_{\delta} \leq \tau\right)=\mu\left(T_{\delta} \leq \tau\right)=O\left(\tau^{k}\right)$ as $\tau \downarrow 0$ for all $k>0$.

By Chebyschev's inequalities and Eq. 9.10) of Proposition 9.5 below,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(T_{\delta} \leq \tau\right)=\mu\left(\sup _{s \leq \tau}\left|z_{s}-I\right|>\delta\right) \leq \delta^{-q} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{s \leq \tau}\left|z_{s}-I\right|^{q}\right]=O\left(\tau^{q / 2}\right) \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q \geq 2$ was arbitrary it follows that $\mu\left(T_{\delta} \leq \tau\right)=O\left(\tau^{\infty-}\right)$ which completes the proof.

### 8.4. Proof of Theorem 8.6,

Notation 8.12. Let $S:=\left\{v \in T_{o} M:\langle v, v\rangle=1\right\}$, i.e. $S$ is the unit sphere in $T_{o} M$.
Proof. (Proof of Theorem 8.6.) To show $\bar{C}_{t}^{-1} \in L^{\infty-}(\mu)$ it suffices to shows

$$
\mu\left(\inf _{v \in S}\left\langle\bar{C}_{t} v, v\right\rangle<\varepsilon\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)
$$

To verify this claim, notice that $\lambda_{0}:=\inf _{v \in S}\left\langle\bar{C}_{t} v, v\right\rangle$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $\bar{C}_{t}$. Since det $\bar{C}_{t}$ is the product of the eigenvalues of $\bar{C}_{t}$ it follows that $\bar{\Delta}_{t}:=\operatorname{det} \bar{C}_{t} \geq \lambda_{0}^{d}$ and so $\left\{\operatorname{det} \bar{C}_{t}<\varepsilon^{d}\right\} \subset\left\{\lambda_{0}<\varepsilon\right\}$ and hence

$$
\mu\left(\operatorname{det} \bar{C}_{t}<\varepsilon^{d}\right) \leq \mu\left(\lambda_{0}<\varepsilon\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)
$$

By replacing $\varepsilon$ by $\varepsilon^{1 / d}$ above this implies $\mu\left(\bar{\Delta}_{t}<\varepsilon\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)$. From this estimate it then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\bar{\Delta}_{t}^{-q}\right] & =\mathbb{E} \int_{\bar{\Delta}_{t}}^{\infty} q \tau^{-q-1} d \tau=q \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{\infty} 1_{\bar{\Delta}_{t} \leq \tau} \tau^{-q-1} d \tau \\
& =q \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu\left(\bar{\Delta}_{t} \leq \tau\right) \tau^{-q-1} d \tau=q \int_{0}^{\infty} O\left(\tau^{p}\right) \tau^{-q-1} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

which is seen to be finite by taking $p \geq q+1$.
More generally if $T$ is any stopping time with $T \leq t$, since $\left\langle\bar{C}_{T} v, v\right\rangle \leq\left\langle\bar{C}_{t} v, v\right\rangle$ for all $v \in S$ it suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\inf _{v \in S}\left\langle\bar{C}_{T} v, v\right\rangle<\varepsilon\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right) \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lemma 8.13 and Proposition 8.15 below, Eq. 8.20 holds with

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{\delta}:=\inf \left\{t>0: \max \left\{\left|z_{t}-I_{T_{o} M}\right|, \operatorname{dist}\left(\Sigma_{t}, \Sigma_{0}\right)\right\}>\delta\right\} \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $\delta>0$ is chosen sufficiently small.

The rest of this section is now devoted to the proof of Lemma 8.13 and Proposition 8.15 below. In what follows we will make repeated use of the identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\bar{C}_{T} v, v\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), v\right\rangle^{2} d \tau \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this, let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} v & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) e_{i}\left\langle e_{i}, \mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} v\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), v\right\rangle Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) \mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\left(Z_{\tau}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)^{-1} v, v\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), v\right\rangle^{2}
$$

which upon integrating on $\tau$ gives Eq. 8.22).
In the proofs below, there will always be an implied sum on repeated indices.
Lemma 8.13 (Compactness Argument). Let $T_{\delta}$ be as in Eq. 8.21) and suppose for all $v \in S$ there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and an open neighborhood $N \subset_{o} S$ of $v$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in N} \mu\left(\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d \tau<\varepsilon\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right) \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Eq. 8.20 holds provided $\delta>0$ is sufficiently small.
Proof. By compactness of $S$, it follows from Eq. 8.23 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in S} \mu\left(\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d \tau<\varepsilon\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right) \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $w \in T_{o} M$, let $\partial_{w}$ denote the directional derivative acting on functions $f(v)$ with $v \in T_{o} M$. Because for all $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $|v| \leq 1$ and $|w| \leq 1$ (using Eq. 8.22),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\partial_{w}\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v, v\right\rangle\right| \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left|\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), v\right\rangle\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), w\right\rangle\right| d \tau \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left|Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, T_{o} M\right)}^{2} d \tau \\
&=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left|z_{\tau}^{-1} / /_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, T_{o} M\right)}^{2} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

by choosing $\delta>0$ in Eq. 8.21 sufficiently small we may assume there is a nonrandom constant $\theta<\infty$ such that

$$
\sup _{|v|,|w| \leq 1}\left|\partial_{w}\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v, v\right\rangle\right| \leq \theta<\infty
$$

With this choice of $\delta$, if $v, w \in S$ satisfy $|v-w|<\theta / \varepsilon$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v, v\right\rangle-\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} w, w\right\rangle\right|<\varepsilon \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists $D<\infty$ satisfying: for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is an open cover of $S$ with at most $D \cdot(\theta / \varepsilon)^{n}$ balls of the form $B\left(v_{j}, \varepsilon / \theta\right)$. From Eq. 8.25), for any $v \in S$ there exists $j$ such that $v \in B\left(v_{j}, \varepsilon / \theta\right) \cap S$ and

$$
\left|\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v, v\right\rangle-\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v_{j}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

So if $\inf _{v \in S}\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v, v\right\rangle<\varepsilon$ then $\min _{j}\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v_{j}, v_{j}\right\rangle<2 \varepsilon$, i.e.

$$
\left\{\inf _{v \in S}\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v, v\right\rangle<\varepsilon\right\} \subset\left\{\min _{j}\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v_{j}, v_{j}\right\rangle<2 \varepsilon\right\} \subset \bigcup_{j}\left\{\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v_{j}, v_{j}\right\rangle<2 \varepsilon\right\}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(\inf _{v \in S}\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v, v\right\rangle<\varepsilon\right) & \leq \sum_{j} \mu\left(\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v_{j}, v_{j}\right\rangle<2 \varepsilon\right) \\
& \leq D \cdot(\theta / \varepsilon)^{n} \cdot \sup _{v \in S} \mu\left(\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} v, v\right\rangle<2 \varepsilon\right) \\
& \leq D \cdot(\theta / \varepsilon)^{n} O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following important proposition is the Stochastic version of Theorem 4.9 It gives the first hint that Hörmander's condition in Definition 8.1 is relevant to showing $\bar{\Delta}_{t}^{-1} \in L^{\infty-}(\mu)$ or equivalently that $\bar{C}_{t}^{-1} \in L^{\infty-}(\mu)$.

Proposition 8.14 (The appearance of commutators). Let $W \in \Gamma(T M)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left[Z_{s}^{-1} W\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{0}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s+Z_{s}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[X_{i}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}^{i} \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This may also be written in Itô form as

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left[Z_{s}^{-1} W\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right] & =Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}^{i} \\
& +\left\{Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{0}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{s}^{-1}\left(L_{X_{i}}^{2} W\right)\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\} d s \tag{8.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{X} W:=[X, W]$ as in Theorem 4.9.
Proof. Write $W\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)=Z_{s} w_{s}$, i.e. let $w_{s}:=Z_{s}^{-1} W\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$. By Proposition 5.36 and Theorem 5.41,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\delta \Sigma_{s}} W & =\delta^{\nabla}\left[W\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=\delta^{\nabla}\left[Z_{s} w_{s}\right]=\left(\delta^{\nabla} Z_{s}\right) w_{s}+Z_{s} \delta w_{s} \\
& =\left(\nabla_{Z_{s} w_{s}} \mathbf{X}\right) \delta B_{s}+\left(\nabla_{Z_{s} w_{s}} X_{0}\right) d s+Z_{s} \delta w_{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, using the fact that $\nabla$ has zero torsion (see Proposition 3.36),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta w_{s} & =Z_{s}^{-1}\left[\nabla_{\delta \Sigma_{s}} W-\left(\nabla_{Z_{s} w_{s}} \mathbf{X}\right) \delta B_{s}+\left(\nabla_{Z_{s} w_{s}} X_{0}\right) d s\right] \\
& =Z_{s}^{-1}\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}+X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s} W-\left(\nabla_{W\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)} \mathbf{X}\right) \delta B_{s}+\left(\nabla_{W\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)} X_{0}\right) d s\right] \\
& =Z_{s}^{-1}\left[\left(\nabla_{X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)} W-\nabla_{W\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)} X_{i}\right) \delta B_{s}^{i}+\left(\nabla_{X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)} W-\nabla_{W\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)} X_{0}\right) d s\right] \\
& =Z_{s}^{-1}\left(\left[X_{i}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}^{i}+\left[X_{0}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Eq. 8.26.
Applying Eq. 8.26 with $W$ replaced by $\left[X_{i}, W\right]$ implies

$$
d\left[Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right]=Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{j},\left[X_{i}, W\right]\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}^{j}+d[B V]
$$

where $B V$ denotes process of bounded variation. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) \delta B_{s}^{i} & =Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} d\left\{Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)\right\} d B_{s}^{i} \\
& =Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{j},\left[X_{i}, W\right]\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}^{j} d B_{s}^{i} \\
& =Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, W\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d B_{s}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} Z_{s}^{-1}\left[X_{i},\left[X_{i}, W\right]\right]\left(\Sigma_{s}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

which combined with Eq. 8.26 proves Eq. 8.27.
Proposition 8.15. Let $T_{\delta}$ be as in Eq. 8.21). If Hörmander's restricted bracket condition holds at $o \in M$ and $v \in S$ is given, there exists $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and an open neighborhood $U \subset_{o} S$ of $v$ such that

$$
\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d \tau \leq \varepsilon\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)
$$

Proof. The proof given here will follow Norris [147]. Hörmander's condition implies there exist $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{K}_{l}\right|} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_{l}} K(o) K(o)^{\operatorname{tr}} \geq 3 \beta I
$$

or equivalently put for all $v \in S$,

$$
3 \beta \leq \frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{K}_{l}\right|} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_{l}}\langle K(o), v\rangle^{2} \leq \max _{K \in \mathcal{K}_{l}}\langle K(o), v\rangle^{2} .
$$

By choosing $\delta>0$ in Eq. 8.21 sufficiently small we may assume that

$$
\max _{K \in \mathcal{K}_{l}} \inf _{\tau \leq T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} K\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), v\right\rangle^{2} \geq 2 \beta \text { for all } v \in S
$$

Fix a $v \in S$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_{l}$ such that

$$
\inf _{\tau \leq T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} K\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), v\right\rangle^{2} \geq 2 \beta
$$

and choose an open neighborhood $U \subset S$ of $v$ such that

$$
\inf _{\tau \leq T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} K\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} \geq \beta \text { for all } u \in U
$$

Then, using Eq. 8.19,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} K\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d \tau \leq \varepsilon\right) \\
& \quad \leq \mu\left(\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}} \beta d t \leq \varepsilon\right)=\mu\left(T_{\delta} \leq \varepsilon / \beta\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right) \tag{8.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Write $K=L_{X_{i_{r}}} \ldots L_{X_{i_{2}} X_{i_{1}}}$ with $r \leq l$. If it happens that $r=1$ then Eq. 8.28 becomes

$$
\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\left\langle\bar{C}_{T_{\delta}} u, u\right\rangle \leq \varepsilon\right) \leq \sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} X_{i_{1}}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d t \leq \varepsilon\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)
$$

and we are done. So now suppose $r>1$ and set

$$
K_{j}=L_{X_{i_{j}}} \ldots L_{X_{i_{2}}} X_{i_{1}} \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, r
$$

so that $K_{r}=K$. We will now show by (decreasing) induction on $j$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} K_{j}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d t \leq \varepsilon\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right) \tag{8.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Proposition 8.14 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left[Z_{t}^{-1} K_{j-1}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]=Z_{t}^{-1}[ & \left.X_{i}, K_{j-1}\right]\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) d B^{i}(t) \\
& +\left\{Z_{t}^{-1}\left[X_{0}, K_{j-1}\right]\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)+\frac{1}{2} Z_{t}^{-1}\left(L_{X_{i}}^{2} K_{j-1}\right)\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which upon integrating on $t$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle Z_{t}^{-1} K_{j-1}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right), u\right\rangle & =\left\langle K_{j-1}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right), u\right\rangle+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, K_{j-1}\right]\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle d B_{\tau}^{i} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1}\left[X_{0}, K_{j-1}\right]\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)+\frac{1}{2} Z_{t}^{-1}\left(L_{X_{i}}^{2} K_{j-1}\right)\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Proposition 9.13 of the appendix with $T=T_{\delta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{t} & :=\left\langle Z_{t}^{-1} K_{j-1}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right), u\right\rangle, y=\left\langle K_{j-1}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right), u\right\rangle \\
M_{t} & =\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, K_{j-1}\right]\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle d B_{\tau}^{i} \text { and } \\
A_{t} & :=\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1}\left[X_{0}, K_{j-1}\right]\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)+\frac{1}{2} Z_{\tau}^{-1}\left(L_{X_{i}}^{2} K_{j-1}\right)\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle d t
\end{aligned}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\Omega_{1}(u) \cap \Omega_{2}(u)\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right) \tag{8.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1}(u):=\left\{\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{t}^{-1} K_{j-1}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d t<\varepsilon^{q}\right\} \\
& \Omega_{2}(u):=\left\{\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, K_{j-1}\right]\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d \tau \geq \varepsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $q>4$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\left[\Omega_{2}(u)\right]^{c}\right) & =\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1}\left[X_{i}, K_{j-1}\right]\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d \tau<\varepsilon\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{\tau}^{-1} K_{j}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d \tau<\varepsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we may applying the induction hypothesis to learn,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\left[\Omega_{2}(u)\right]^{c}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right) \tag{8.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It now follows from Eqs. 8.30 and 8.31 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\Omega_{1}(u)\right) & \leq \sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\Omega_{1}(u) \cap \Omega_{2}(u)\right)+\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\Omega_{1}(u) \cap\left[\Omega_{2}(u)\right]^{c}\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\Omega_{1}(u) \cap \Omega_{2}(u)\right)+\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\left[\Omega_{2}(u)\right]^{c}\right) \\
& =O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is to say

$$
\sup _{u \in U} \mu\left(\int_{0}^{T_{\delta}}\left\langle Z_{t}^{-1} K_{j-1}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right), u\right\rangle^{2} d t<\varepsilon^{q}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)
$$

Replacing $\varepsilon$ by $\varepsilon^{1 / q}$ in the previous equation, using $O\left(\left(\varepsilon^{1 / q}\right)^{\infty-}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty-}\right)$, completes the induction argument and hence the proof.
8.5. More References. The literature on the "Malliavin calculus" is very extensive and I will not make any attempt at summarizing it here. Let me just add to references already mentioned the articles in [176, 105, 153] which carry out Malliavin's method in the geometric context of these notes. Also see 150 for another method which works if Hörmander's bracket condition holds at level 2, namely when

$$
\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{K(m): K \in \mathcal{K}_{2}\right\}\right)=T_{m} M \text { for all } m \in M
$$

see Definition 8.1. The reader should also be aware of the deep results of Ben Arous and Leandre in [17, 18, 16, 15, 124].

## 9. Appendix: Martingale and SDE Estimates

In this appendix $\left\{B_{t}: t \geq 0\right\}$ will denote and $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued Brownian motion, $\left\{\beta_{t}: t \geq 0\right\}$ will be a one dimensional Brownian motion and, unlike in the text, we will use the more standard letter $P$ rather than $\mu$ to denote the underlying probability measure.

Notation 9.1. When $M_{t}$ is a martingale and $A_{t}$ is a process of bounded variation let $\langle M\rangle_{t}$ be the quadratic variation of $M$ and $|A|_{t}$ be the total variation of $A$ up to time $t$.

### 9.1. Estimates of Wiener Functionals Associated to SDE's.

Proposition 9.2. Suppose $p \in[2, \infty), \alpha_{\tau}$ and $A_{\tau}$ are predictable $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ - valued processes respectively and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}:=\int_{0}^{t} A_{\tau} d B_{\tau}+\int_{0}^{t} \alpha_{\tau} d \tau \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, letting $Y_{t}^{*}:=\sup _{\tau \leq t}\left|Y_{\tau}\right|$, there exists $C_{p}<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{t}^{*}\right)^{p} \leq C_{p}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{2} d \tau\right)^{p / 2}+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|\alpha_{\tau}\right| d \tau\right)^{p}\right\} \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
|A|^{2}=\operatorname{tr}\left(A A^{*}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(A A^{*}\right)_{i i}=\sum_{i, j} A_{i j} A_{i j}=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} A\right)
$$

Proof. We may assume the right side of Eq. (9.2) is finite for otherwise there is nothing to prove. For the moment further assume $\alpha \equiv 0$. By a standard limiting argument involving stopping times we may further assume there is a non-random constant $C<\infty$ such that

$$
Y_{T}^{*}+\int_{0}^{T}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{2} d \tau \leq C
$$

Let $f(y)=|y|^{p}$ and $\hat{y}:=y /|y|$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then, for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\partial_{a} f(y)=p|y|^{p-1} \hat{y} \cdot a=p|y|^{p-2} y \cdot a
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{b} \partial_{a} f(y) & =p(p-2)|y|^{p-4}(y \cdot a)(y \cdot b)+p|y|^{p-2} b \cdot a \\
& =p|y|^{p-2}[(p-2)(\hat{y} \cdot a)(\hat{y} \cdot b)+b \cdot a]
\end{aligned}
$$

So by Itô's formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left|Y_{t}\right|^{p} & =d\left[f\left(Y_{t}\right)\right] \\
& =p\left|Y_{t}\right|^{p-1} \hat{Y}_{t} \cdot d Y_{t}+\frac{p}{2}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{p-2}\left[(p-2)\left(\hat{Y}_{t} \cdot d Y_{t}\right)\left(\hat{Y}_{t} \cdot d Y_{t}\right)+d Y_{t} \cdot d Y_{t}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking expectations of this formula (using $Y$ is a martingale) then gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{p}=\frac{p}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left(|Y|^{p-2}[(p-2)(\hat{Y} \cdot d Y)(\hat{Y} \cdot d Y)+d Y \cdot d Y]\right) \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $d Y=A d B$, we have

$$
d Y \cdot d Y=A e_{i} \cdot A e_{j} d B^{i} d B^{j}=e_{i} \cdot A^{*} A e_{i} d t=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} A\right) d t=|A|^{2} d t
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\hat{Y} \cdot d Y)^{2} & =\left(\hat{Y} \cdot A e_{i}\right)\left(\hat{Y} \cdot A e_{j}\right) d B^{i} d B^{j}=\left(A^{*} \hat{Y} \cdot e_{i}\right)\left(A^{*} \hat{Y} \cdot e_{i}\right) d t \\
& =\left(A^{*} \hat{Y} \cdot A^{*} \hat{Y}\right) d t=\left(A A^{*} \hat{Y} \cdot \hat{Y}\right) d t \leq|A|^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting these results back into Eq. (9.3) implies

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{p} \leq \frac{p}{2}(p-1) \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{\tau}\right|^{p-2}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{2}\right) d \tau
$$

By Doob's inequality there is a constant $C_{p}$ (for example $C_{p}=\left[\frac{p}{p-1}\right]^{p}$ will work) such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p} \leq C_{p} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{p}
$$

Combining the last two displayed equations implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p} \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{\tau}\right|^{p-2}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{2}\right) d \tau \leq C \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p-2} \int_{0}^{t}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{2} d \tau\right) \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now applying Hölder's inequality to the result, with exponents $q=p(p-2)^{-1}$ and conjugate exponent $q^{\prime}=p / 2$ gives

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p} \leq C\left[\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p}\right]^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{2} d \tau\right)^{p / 2}\right]^{2 / p}
$$

or equivalently, using $1-(p-2) / p=2 / p$,

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p}\right)^{2 / p} \leq C\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{2} d \tau\right)^{p / 2}\right]^{2 / p}
$$

Taking the $2 / p$ roots of this equation then shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p} \leq C \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{2} d \tau\right)^{p / 2} \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general case now follows, since when $Y$ is given as in Eq. 9.1 we have

$$
Y_{t}^{*} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{\cdot} A_{\tau} d B_{\tau}\right)_{t}^{*}+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\alpha_{\tau}\right| d \tau
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|Y_{t}^{*}\right\|_{p} & \leq\left\|\left(\int_{0} A_{\tau} d B_{\tau}\right)_{t}^{*}\right\|_{p}+\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\left|\alpha_{\tau}\right| d \tau\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq C\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{2} d \tau\right)^{p / 2}\right]^{1 / p}+\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|\alpha_{\tau}\right| d \tau\right)^{p}\right]^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and taking the $p^{\text {th }}-$ power of this equation proves Eq. 9.2.
Remark 9.3. A slightly different application of Hölder's inequality to the right side of Eq. 9.4 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p} & \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p-2}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{2}\right] d \tau\right) \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left[\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p}\right]^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\left[\mathbb{E}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{p}\right]^{2 / p} d \tau\right) \\
& =\left[\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p}\right]^{\frac{p-2}{p}} C \int_{0}^{t}\left[\mathbb{E}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{p}\right]^{2 / p} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to the estimate

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{*}\right|^{p} \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left[\mathbb{E}\left|A_{\tau}\right|^{p}\right]^{2 / p} d \tau\right)^{p / 2}
$$

Here are some applications of Proposition 9.2 .
Proposition 9.4. Let $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$ be a collection of smooth vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ for which $D^{k} X_{i}$ is bounded for all $k \geq 1$ and suppose $\Sigma_{t}$ denotes the solution to Eq. (5.1) with $\Sigma_{0}=x \in M:=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\beta=B$. Then for all $T<\infty$ and $p \in[2, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\Sigma_{T}^{*}\right)^{p}:=\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq T}\left|\Sigma_{t}\right|^{p}\right]<\infty \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \delta B^{i}(t) & =X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) d B^{i}(t)+\frac{1}{2} d\left[X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right] \cdot d B^{i}(t) \\
& =X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) d B^{i}(t)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)} X_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

the Itô form of Eq. 5.1) is

$$
\delta \Sigma_{t}=\left[X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)} X_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right] d t+X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) d B^{i}(t) \text { with } \Sigma_{0}=x
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\Sigma_{t}=x+\int_{0}^{t} X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right) d B_{\tau}^{i}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)} X_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right] d \tau
$$

By Proposition 9.2

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\Sigma_{t}\right|^{p} & \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\Sigma_{t}^{*}\right)^{p} \leq C_{p}|x|^{p}+C_{p} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right|^{2} d \tau\right)^{p / 2} \\
& +C_{p} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)} X_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right| d \tau\right)^{p} \tag{9.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the bounds on the derivatives of $X$ we learn

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right|^{2} \leq C\left(1+\left|\Sigma_{\tau}\right|^{2}\right) \text { and } \\
& \left|X_{0}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{X_{i}\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)} X_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma_{\tau}\right)\right| \leq C\left(1+\left|\Sigma_{\tau}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which combined with Eq. 9.7) gives the estimate
$\mathbb{E}\left|\Sigma_{t}\right|^{p} \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\Sigma_{t}^{*}\right)^{p}$

$$
\leq C_{p}|x|^{p}+C_{p} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t} C\left(1+\left|\Sigma_{\tau}\right|^{2}\right) d \tau\right)^{p / 2}+C_{p} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t} C\left(1+\left|\Sigma_{\tau}\right|\right) d \tau\right)^{p}
$$

Now assuming $t \leq T<\infty$, we have by Jensen's (or Hölder's) inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|\Sigma_{t}\right|^{p} \leq & \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\Sigma_{t}^{*}\right)^{p} \\
\leq & C|x|^{p}+C t^{p / 2} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left|\Sigma_{\tau}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} \frac{d \tau}{t} \\
& +C t^{p} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left|\Sigma_{\tau}\right|\right)^{p} \frac{d \tau}{t} \\
\leq & C|x|^{p}+C T^{(p / 2-1)} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left|\Sigma_{\tau}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d \tau \\
& +C T^{(p-1)} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left|\Sigma_{\tau}\right|\right)^{p} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\Sigma_{t}\right|^{p} \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\Sigma_{t}^{*}\right)^{p} \leq C|x|^{p}+C(T) \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\mathbb{E}\left|\Sigma_{\tau}\right|^{p}\right) d \tau \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

An application of Gronwall's inequality now shows $\sup _{t \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left|\Sigma_{t}\right|^{p}<\infty$ for all $p<\infty$ and feeding this back into Eq. (9.8) with $t=T$ proves Eq. 9.6.

Proposition 9.5. Suppose $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$ is a collection of smooth vector fields on $M$, $\Sigma_{t}$ solves Eq. (5.1) with $\Sigma_{0}=o \in M$ and $\beta=B, z_{t}$ is the solution to Eq. (5.59) (i.e. $z_{t}:=/ /_{t}^{-1} T_{t * 0}^{B}$ ) and further assum $\emptyset^{9}$ there is a constant $K<\infty$ such that $\|A(m)\|_{o p} \leq K<\infty$ for all $m \in M$, where $A(m) \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{m} M\right)$ is defined by

$$
A(m) v:=\frac{1}{2}\left[\nabla_{v}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{X_{i}} X_{i}+X_{0}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{\nabla}\left(v, X_{i}(m)\right) X_{i}(m)\right]
$$

[^9]and
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\nabla_{v} X_{i}\right| \leq K|v| \text { for all } v \in T M
$$

Then for all $p<\infty$ and $T<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq T}\left|z_{t}\right|^{p}\right]<\infty \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[(z .-I)_{t}^{* p}\right]=O\left(t^{p / 2}\right) \text { as } t \downarrow 0 \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In what follows $C$ will denote a constant depending on $K, T$ and $p$. From Theorem 5.43, we know that the integrated Itô form of Eq. 5.59) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}=I_{T_{o} M}+\int_{0}^{t} / /_{\tau}^{-1}\left(\nabla_{/ / \tau} z_{\tau}(\cdot) \mathbf{X}\right) d B_{\tau}+\frac{1}{2} A_{/ / \tau} z_{\tau} v d \tau \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{/ / t}:=/ /_{t}^{-1} A\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) / /{ }_{t}$. By Proposition 9.2 and the assumed bounds on $A$ and $\nabla$. $\mathbf{X}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(z_{t}^{*}\right)^{p} \leq C|I|^{p}+C \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mid / /_{\tau}^{-1}\left(\nabla_{/ / \tau} z_{\tau}(\cdot)\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.X_{i}\right)\left.\right|^{2} d \tau\right)^{p / 2} \\
&+C \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|A_{/ / \tau} z_{\tau}\right| d \tau\right)^{p} \\
& \leq C+C \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|z_{\tau}\right|^{2} d \tau\right)^{p / 2}+C \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|z_{\tau}\right| d \tau\right)^{p} \\
& \leq C+C \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left|z_{\tau}\right|^{p} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[(z .-I)_{t}^{* p}\right] & \leq C \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|z_{\tau}\right|^{2} d \tau\right)^{p / 2}+C \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|z_{\tau}\right| d \tau\right)^{p} \\
& \leq C \cdot \mathbb{E}\left|z_{t}^{*}\right|^{p} \cdot\left(t^{p / 2}+t^{p}\right) \tag{9.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have made use of Hölder's (or Jensen's) inequality. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|z_{t}\right|^{p} \leq \mathbb{E}\left(z_{t}^{*}\right)^{p} \leq C+C \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left|z_{\tau}\right|^{p} d \tau \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gronwall's inequality implies

$$
\sup _{t \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|z_{t}\right|^{p}\right] \leq C e^{C T}<\infty
$$

Feeding the last inequality back into Eq. (9.13) shows Eq. (9.9). Eq. (9.10) now follows from Eq. 9.9. and Eq. 9.12.
Exercise 9.6. Show under the same hypothesis of Proposition 9.5 that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq T}\left|z_{t}^{-1}\right|^{p}\right]<\infty
$$

for all $p, T<\infty$. Hint: Show $z_{t}^{-1}$ satisfies an equation similar to Eq. 9.11) with coefficients satisfying the same type of bounds.
9.2. Martingale Estimates. This section follows the presentation in Norris [147].

Lemma 9.7 (Reflection Principle). Let $\beta_{t}$ be a 1 -dimensional Brownian motion starting at $0, a>0$ and $T_{a}=\inf \left\{t>0: \beta_{t}=a\right\}-b e$ first time $\beta_{t}$ hits height $a$, see Figure 15. Then

$$
P\left(T_{a}<t\right)=2 P\left(\beta_{t}>a\right)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 \pi t}} \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2} / 2 t} d x
$$



Figure 15. The first hitting time $T_{a}$ of level $a$ by $\beta_{t}$.

Proof. Since $P\left(\beta_{t}=a\right)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(T_{a}<t\right) & =P\left(T_{a}<t \& \beta_{t}>a\right)+P\left(T_{a}<t \& \beta_{t}<a\right) \\
& =P\left(\beta_{t}>a\right)+P\left(T_{a}<t \& \beta_{t}<a\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

it suffices to prove

$$
P\left(T_{a}<t \& \beta_{t}<a\right)=P\left(\beta_{t}>a\right)
$$

To do this define a new process $\tilde{\beta}_{t}$ by

$$
\tilde{\beta}_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta_{t} & \text { for } \quad t<T_{a} \\
2 a-\beta_{t} & \text { for } \quad t \geq T_{a}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(see Figure 16) and notice that $\tilde{\beta}_{t}$ may also be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\beta}_{t}=\beta_{t \wedge T_{a}}-1_{t \geq T_{a}}\left(\beta_{t}-\beta_{t \wedge T_{a}}\right)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(1_{\tau<T_{a}}-1_{\tau \geq T_{a}}\right) d \beta_{\tau} . \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$


From Eq. 9.14 it follows that $\tilde{\beta}_{t}$ is a martingale and

$$
\left(d \tilde{\beta}_{t}\right)^{2}=\left(1_{\tau<T_{a}}-1_{\tau \geq T_{a}}\right)^{2} d t=d t
$$

and hence that $\tilde{\beta}_{t}$ is another Brownian motion. Since $\tilde{\beta}_{t}$ hits level $a$ for the first time exactly when $\beta_{t}$ hits level $a$,

$$
T_{a}=\tilde{T}_{a}:=\inf \left\{t>0: \tilde{\beta}_{t}=a\right\}
$$

and $\left\{\tilde{T}_{a}<t\right\}=\left\{T_{a}<t\right\}$. Furthermore (see Figure 16 ,

$$
\left\{T_{a}<t \& \beta_{t}<a\right\}=\left\{\tilde{T}_{a}<t \& \tilde{\beta}_{t}>a\right\}=\left\{\tilde{\beta}_{t}>a\right\}
$$



Figure 16. The Brownian motion $\beta_{t}$ and its reflection $\tilde{\beta}_{t}$ about the line $y=a$. Note that after time $T_{a}$, the labellings of the $\beta_{t}$ and the $\tilde{\beta}_{t}$ could be interchanged and the picture would still be possible. This should help alleviate the readers fears that Brownian motion has some funny asymmetry after the first hitting of level $a$.

Therefore,

$$
P\left(T_{a}<t \& \beta_{t}<a\right)=P\left(\tilde{\beta}_{t}>a\right)=P\left(\beta_{t}>a\right)
$$

which completes the proof.
Remark 9.8. An alternate way to get a handle on the stopping time $T_{a}$ is to compute its Laplace transform. This can be done by considering the martingale

$$
M_{t}:=e^{\lambda \beta_{t}-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} t} .
$$

Since $M_{t}$ is bounded by $e^{\lambda a}$ for $t \in\left[0, T_{a}\right]$ the optional sampling theorem may be applied to show

$$
e^{\lambda a} E\left[e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} T_{a}}\right]=E\left[e^{\lambda a-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} T_{a}}\right]=E M_{T_{a}}=E M_{0}=1
$$

i.e. this implies that $E\left[e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} T_{a}}\right]=e^{-\lambda a}$. This is equivalent to

$$
E\left[e^{-\lambda T_{a}}\right]=e^{-a \sqrt{2 \lambda}}
$$

From this point of view one would now have to invert the Laplace transform to get the density of the law of $T_{a}$.

Corollary 9.9. Suppose now that $T=\inf \left\{t>0:\left|\beta_{t}\right|=a\right\}$, i.e. the first time $\beta_{t}$ leaves the strip $(-a, a)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
P(T & <t) \leq 4 P\left(\beta_{t}>a\right)=\frac{4}{\sqrt{2 \pi t}} \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2} / 2 t} d x \\
& \leq \min \left(\sqrt{\frac{8 t}{\pi a^{2}}} e^{-a^{2} / 2 t}, 1\right) \tag{9.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $P(T<t)=P\left(\beta_{t}^{*} \geq a\right)$ where $\beta_{t}^{*}=\max \left\{\left|\beta_{\tau}\right|: \tau \leq t\right\}$. So Eq. 9.15) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\beta_{t}^{*} \geq a\right) \leq 4 P\left(\beta_{t}>a\right) \leq \min \left(\sqrt{\frac{8 t}{\pi a^{2}}} e^{-a^{2} / 2 t}, 1\right) \leq 2 e^{-a^{2} / 2 t} \tag{9.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By definition $T=T_{a} \wedge T_{-a}$ so that $\{T<t\}=\left\{T_{a}<t\right\} \cup\left\{T_{-a}<t\right\}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(T<t) & \leq P\left(T_{a}<t\right)+P\left(T_{-a}<t\right) \\
& =2 P\left(T_{a}<t\right)=4 P\left(\beta_{t}>a\right)=\frac{4}{\sqrt{2 \pi t}} \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2} / 2 t} d x \\
& \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{2 \pi t}} \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{x}{a} e^{-x^{2} / 2 t} d x=\left.\frac{4}{\sqrt{2 \pi t}}\left(-\frac{t}{a} e^{-x^{2} / 2 t}\right)\right|_{a} ^{\infty}=\sqrt{\frac{8 t}{\pi a^{2}}} e^{-a^{2} / 2 t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves everything but the very last inequality in Eq. 9.16). To prove this inequality first observe the elementary calculus inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{2 \pi} y} e^{-y^{2} / 2}, 1\right) \leq 2 e^{-y^{2} / 2} \tag{9.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed Eq. 9.17 holds $\frac{4}{\sqrt{2 \pi} y} \leq 2$, i.e. if $y \geq y_{0}:=2 / \sqrt{2 \pi}$. The fact that Eq. 9.17 holds for $y \leq y_{0}$ follows from the following trivial inequality

$$
1 \leq 1.4552 \cong 2 e^{-\frac{1}{\pi}}=e^{-y_{0}^{2} / 2}
$$

Finally letting $y=a / \sqrt{t}$ in Eq. 9.17 gives the last inequality in Eq. 9.16.
Theorem 9.10. Let $N$ be a continuous martingale such that $N_{0}=0$ and $T$ be $a$ stopping time. Then for all $\varepsilon, \delta>0$,

$$
P\left(\langle N\rangle_{T}<\varepsilon \& N_{T}^{*} \geq \delta\right) \leq P\left(\beta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \geq \delta\right) \leq 2 e^{-\delta^{2} / 2 \varepsilon}
$$

Proof. By the Dambis, Dubins \& Schwarz's theorem (see p. 174 of [109]) we may write $N_{t}=\beta_{\langle N\rangle_{t}}$ where $\beta$ is a Brownian motion (on a possibly "augmented" probability space). Therefore

$$
\left\{\langle N\rangle_{T}<\varepsilon \& N_{T}^{*} \geq \delta\right\} \subset\left\{\beta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \geq \delta\right\}
$$

and hence from Eq. 9.16,

$$
P\left(\langle N\rangle_{T}<\varepsilon \& N_{T}^{*} \geq \delta\right) \leq P\left(\beta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \geq \delta\right) \leq 2 e^{-\delta^{2} / 2 \varepsilon}
$$

Theorem 9.11. Suppose that $Y_{t}=M_{t}+A_{t}$ where $M_{t}$ is a martingale and $A_{t}$ is a process of bounded variation which satisfy: $M_{0}=A_{0}=0,|A|_{t} \leq c t$ and $\langle M\rangle_{t} \leq c t$ for some constant $c<\infty$. If $T_{a}:=\inf \left\{t>0:\left|Y_{t}\right|=a\right\}$ and $t<a / 2 c$, then

$$
P\left(Y_{t}^{*} \geq a\right)=P\left(T_{a} \leq t\right) \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi a}} \exp \left(-\frac{a^{2}}{8 c t}\right)
$$

Proof. Since

$$
Y_{t}^{*} \leq M_{t}^{*}+A_{t}^{*} \leq M_{t}^{*}+|A|_{t} \leq M_{t}^{*}+c t
$$

it follows that

$$
\left\{Y_{t}^{*} \geq a\right\} \subset\left\{M_{t}^{*} \geq a / 2\right\} \cup\{c t \geq a / 2\}=\left\{M_{t}^{*} \geq a / 2\right\}
$$

when $t<a / 2 c$. Again by the Dambis, Dubins and Schwarz's theorem (see p. 174 of [109]), we may write $M_{t}=\beta_{\langle M\rangle_{t}}$ where $\beta$ is a Brownian motion on a possibly augmented probability space. Since

$$
M_{t}^{*}=\max _{\tau \leq\langle M\rangle_{t}}\left|\beta_{\tau}\right| \leq \max _{\tau \leq c t}\left|\beta_{\tau}\right|=\beta_{c t}^{*}
$$

we learn

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(Y_{t}^{*}\right. & \geq a) \leq P\left(M_{t}^{*} \geq a / 2\right) \leq P\left(\beta_{c t}^{*} \geq a / 2\right) \\
& \leq \sqrt{\frac{8 c t}{\pi(a / 2)^{2}}} e^{-(a / 2)^{2} / 2 c t}=\sqrt{\frac{8 c t}{\pi(a / 2)^{2}}} e^{-(a / 2)^{2} / 2 c t} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\frac{8 c(a / 2 c)}{\pi(a / 2)^{2}}} e^{-(a / 2)^{2} / 2 c t}=\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi a}} \exp \left(-\frac{a^{2}}{8 c t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

wherein the last inequality we have used the restriction $t<a / 2 c$.
Lemma 9.12. If $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a locally absolutely continuous function such that $f(0)=0$, then

$$
|f(t)| \leq \sqrt{2\|\dot{f}\|_{L^{\infty}([0, t])}\|f\|_{L^{1}([0, t])}} \forall t \geq 0
$$

Proof. By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$
f^{2}(t)=2 \int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) \dot{f}(\tau) d \tau \leq 2\|\dot{f}\|_{L^{\infty}([0, t])}\|f\|_{L^{1}([0, t])}
$$

We are now ready for a key result needed in the probabilistic proof of Hörmander's theorem. Loosely speaking it states that if $Y$ is a Brownian semimartinagale, then it can happen only with small probability that the $L^{2}$ - norm of $Y$ is small while the quadratic variation of $Y$ is relatively large.

Proposition 9.13 (A key martingale inequality). Let $T$ be a stopping time bounded by $t_{0}<\infty, Y=y+M+A$ where $M$ is a continuous martingale and $A$ is a process of bounded variation such that $M_{0}=A_{0}=0$. Further assume, on the set $\{t \leq T\}$, that $\langle M\rangle_{t}$ and $|A|_{t}$ are absolutely continuous functions and there exists finite positive constants, $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, such that

$$
\frac{d\langle M\rangle_{t}}{d t} \leq c_{1} \text { and } \frac{d|A|_{t}}{d t} \leq c_{2}
$$

Then for all $\nu>0$ and $q>\nu+4$ there exists constants $c=c\left(t_{0}, q, \nu, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)>0$ and $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}\left(t_{0}, q, \nu, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\int_{0}^{T} Y_{t}^{2} d t<\varepsilon^{q},\langle Y\rangle_{T}=\langle M\rangle_{T} \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 c_{1} \varepsilon^{\nu}}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{-\infty}\right) \tag{9.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$.
Proof. Let $q_{0}=\frac{q-\nu}{2}\left(\right.$ so that $\left.q_{0} \in(2, q / 2)\right), N:=\int_{0}^{\cdot} Y d M$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{\langle N\rangle_{T} \leq c_{1} \varepsilon^{q}, \quad N_{T}^{*} \geq \varepsilon^{q_{0}}\right\} . \tag{9.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show shortly that for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{\int_{0}^{T} Y_{t}^{2} d t<\varepsilon^{q},\langle Y\rangle_{T} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \subset C_{\varepsilon} \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By an application of Theorem 9.10 .

$$
P\left(C_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon^{2 q_{0}}}{2 c_{1} \varepsilon^{q}}\right)=2 \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 c_{1} \varepsilon^{v}}\right)
$$

and so assuming the validity of Eq. 9.20,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\int_{0}^{T} Y_{t}^{2} d t<\varepsilon^{q},\langle Y\rangle_{T} \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq P\left(C_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 c_{1} \varepsilon^{v}}\right) \tag{9.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves Eq. 9.18. So to finish the proof it only remains to verify Eq. 9.20 which will be done by showing $B_{\varepsilon} \cap C_{\varepsilon}^{c}=\emptyset$.

For the rest of the proof, it will be assumed that we are on the set $B_{\varepsilon} \cap C_{\varepsilon}^{c}$. Since $\langle N\rangle_{T}=\int_{0}^{T}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{t}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\varepsilon} \cap C_{\varepsilon}^{c}=\left\{\int_{0}^{T} Y_{t}^{2} d t<\varepsilon^{q},\langle Y\rangle_{T} \geq \varepsilon, \int_{0}^{T}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{t}>c_{1} \varepsilon^{q}, \quad N_{T}^{*}<\varepsilon^{q_{0}}\right\} \tag{9.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 9.12 with $f(t)=\langle Y\rangle_{t}$ and the assumption that $d\langle Y\rangle_{t} / d t \leq c_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle Y\rangle_{T} \leq \sqrt{2\|\dot{f}\|_{L^{\infty}([0, T])}\|f\|_{L^{1}([0, T])}} \leq \sqrt{2 c_{1} \int_{0}^{T}\langle Y\rangle_{t} d t} \tag{9.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Itô's formula, the quadratic variation, $\langle Y\rangle_{t}$, of $Y$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle Y\rangle_{t}=Y_{t}^{2}-y^{2}-2 \int_{0}^{t} Y d Y \leq Y_{t}^{2}+2\left|\int_{0}^{t} Y d Y\right| \tag{9.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and on the set $\{t \leq T\} \cap B_{\varepsilon} \cap C_{\varepsilon}^{c}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{0}^{t} Y d Y\right| & =\left|\int_{0}^{t} Y d M+\int_{0}^{t} Y d A\right| \leq\left|N_{t}\right|+\int_{0}^{t}|Y| d A \\
& \leq N_{T}^{*}+c_{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|Y_{\tau}\right| d \tau \leq \varepsilon^{q_{0}}+c_{2} T^{1 / 2} \sqrt{\int_{0}^{T} Y_{\tau}^{2} d \tau} \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{q_{0}}+c_{2} t_{0}^{1 / 2} \varepsilon^{q} . \tag{9.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining Eqs. (9.24) and (9.25) shows, on the set $\{t \leq T\} \cap B_{\varepsilon} \cap C_{\varepsilon}^{c}$ that

$$
\langle Y\rangle_{t} \leq Y_{t}^{2}+2\left[\varepsilon^{q_{0}}+c_{2} t_{0}^{1 / 2} \varepsilon^{q}\right]
$$

and using this in Eq. (9.23) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle Y\rangle_{T} & \leq \sqrt{2 c_{1} \int_{0}^{T}\left(Y_{t}^{2}+2\left[\varepsilon^{q_{0}}+c_{2} t_{0}^{1 / 2} \varepsilon^{q}\right]\right) d t} \\
& \leq \sqrt{2 c_{1}\left[\varepsilon^{q}+2\left[\varepsilon^{q_{0}}+c_{2} t_{0}^{1 / 2} \varepsilon^{q}\right] t_{0}\right]}=O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{q_{0}}{2}}\right)=o(\varepsilon) \tag{9.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we may choose $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, t_{o}, q, \nu\right)>0$ such that, if $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ then

$$
\sqrt{2 c_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{q}+2 \varepsilon^{q_{0}} t_{0}+2 c_{2} t_{0}^{3 / 2} \varepsilon^{q / 2}\right)}<\varepsilon
$$

and hence on $B_{\varepsilon} \cap C_{\varepsilon}^{c}$ we learn $\varepsilon \leq\langle Y\rangle_{T}<\varepsilon$ which is absurd. So we must conclude that $B_{\varepsilon} \cap C_{\varepsilon}^{c}=\emptyset$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Because of the Whitney imbedding theorem (see for example Theorem 6-3 in Auslander and MacKenzie 9]), this is actually not a restriction.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Actually, for those in the know, any torsion zero covariant derivative could be used here.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ It would actually be sufficient to assume that $M$ is a "complete" Riemannian manifold for this section.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ Here we have used the fact that $M$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5}$ These assumptions are always satisfied when $M$ is compact.

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ The function $G$ is to be loosely interpreted as a Riemannian metric on $W(M)$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{7}$ The notion of derivative stated here is weaker than the notion given in 28. Nevertheless Cameron's proof covers this case without any essential change.

[^8]:    ${ }^{8}$ Here we are abusing notation and writing $\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{d}{d s} \bar{D} F_{s}(b) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$ for the "predictable" projection of the process $s \rightarrow \frac{d}{d s} \bar{D} F_{s}(b)$. Since we will only really use Eq. 7.17 in these notes, this technicality need not concern us here.

[^9]:    ${ }^{9}$ This will always be true when $M$ is compact.

