

11. INTRODUCTION TO THE SPECTRAL THEOREM

The following spectral theorem is a minor variant of the usual spectral theorem for matrices. This reformulation has the virtue of carrying over to general (unbounded) self adjoint operators on infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 11.1. *Suppose A is an $n \times n$ complex self adjoint matrix, i.e. $A^* = A$ or equivalently $A_{ji} = \bar{A}_{ij}$ and let μ be counting measure on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then there exists a unitary map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow L^2(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}, d\mu)$ and a real function $\lambda : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $UA\xi = \lambda \cdot U\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We summarize this equation by writing $UAU^{-1} = M_\lambda$ where*

$$M_\lambda : L^2(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}, d\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}, d\mu)$$

is the linear operator, $g \in L^2(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}, d\mu) \rightarrow \lambda \cdot g \in L^2(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}, d\mu)$.

Proof. By the usual form of the spectral theorem for self-adjoint matrices, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of eigenvectors of A , say $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $U : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow L^2(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}, d\mu)$ to be the unique (unitary) map determined by $Ue_i = \delta_i$ where

$$\delta_i(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

and let $\lambda : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\lambda(i) := \lambda_i$. ■

Definition 11.2. Let $A : H \rightarrow H$ be a possibly unbounded operator on H . We let

$$D(A^*) = \{y \in H : \exists z \in H \ni (Ax, y) = (x, z) \forall x \in D(A)\}$$

and for $y \in D(A^*)$ set $A^*y = z$.

Definition 11.3. If $A = A^*$ the A is self adjoint.

Proposition 11.4. *Let (X, μ) be σ - finite measure space, $H = L^2(X, d\mu)$ and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function. Set $Ag = fg = M_f g$ for all*

$$g \in D(M_f) = \{g \in H : fg \in H\}.$$

Then $D(M_f)$ is a dense subspace of H and $M_f^* = M_{\bar{f}}$.

Proof. For any $g \in H = L^2(X, d\mu)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $g_m := g1_{|f| \leq m}$. Since $|fg_m| \leq m|g|$ it follows that $fg_m \in H$ and hence $g_m \in D(M_f)$. By the dominated convergence theorem, it follows that $g_m \rightarrow g$ in H as $m \rightarrow \infty$, hence $D(M_f)$ is dense in H .

Suppose $h \in \mathcal{D}(M_f^*)$ then there exists $k \in L^2$ such that $(M_f g, h) = (g, k)$ for all $g \in D(M_f)$, i.e.

$$\int_X fg\bar{h} d\mu = \int_X g\bar{k} d\mu \text{ for all } g \in D(M_f)$$

or equivalently

$$(11.1) \quad \int_X g(\overline{fh - k}) d\mu = 0 \text{ for all } g \in D(M_f).$$

Choose $X_n \subset X$ such that $X_n \uparrow X$ and $\mu(X_n) < \infty$ for all n . It is easily checked that

$$g_n := 1_{X_n} \frac{\overline{fh - k}}{\overline{fh - k}} 1_{|f| \leq n}$$

is in $D(M_f)$ and putting this function into Eq. (11.1) shows

$$\int_X |\bar{f}h - k| 1_{|f| \leq n} d\mu = 0 \text{ for all } n.$$

Using the monotone convergence theorem, we may let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this equation to find $\int_X |\bar{f}h - k| d\mu = 0$ and hence that $\bar{f}h = k \in L^2$. This shows $h \in D(M_{\bar{f}})$ and $M_{\bar{f}}^*h = fh$. ■

Theorem 11.5 (Spectral Theorem). *Suppose $A^* = A$ then there exists (X, μ) a σ -finite measure space, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable, and $U : H \rightarrow L^2(x, \mu)$ unitary such that $UAU^{-1} = M_f$. Note this is a statement about domains as well, i.e. $UD(M_f) = D(A)$.*

I would like to give some examples of computing A^* and Theorem 11.5 as well. We will consider here the case of constant coefficient differential operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. First we need the following definition.

Definition 11.6. Let $a_\alpha \in C^\infty(U)$, $L = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha$ – a m^{th} order linear differential operator on $\mathcal{D}(U)$ and

$$L^\dagger \phi = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha [a_\alpha \phi]$$

denote the **formal adjoint** of L as in Lemma 5.4 above. For $f \in L^p(U)$ we say $Lf \in L^p(U)$ or $L_{loc}^p(U)$ if the generalized function Lf may be represented by an element of $L^p(U)$ or $L_{loc}^p(U)$ respectively, i.e. $Lf = g \in L_{loc}^p(U)$ iff

$$(11.2) \quad \int_U f \cdot L^\dagger \phi \, dm = \int_U g \phi \, dm \text{ for all } \phi \in C_c^\infty(U).$$

In terms of the complex inner product,

$$(f, g) := \int_U f(x) \bar{g}(x) dm(x)$$

Eq. (11.2) is equivalent to

$$(f \cdot L^\otimes \phi) = (g, \phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in C_c^\infty(U)$$

where

$$L^\otimes \phi := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha [\bar{a}_\alpha \phi].$$

Notice that L^\otimes satisfies $L^\otimes \bar{\phi} = \overline{L^\dagger \phi}$. (We do not write L^* here since L^\otimes is to be considered an operator on the space on $\mathcal{D}'(U)$.)

Remark 11.7. Recall that if $f, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the following are equivalent

- (1) $\hat{f} = h$.
- (2) $(h, g) = (f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g)$ for all $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (3) $(h, g) = (f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (4) $(h, g) = (f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g)$ for all $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Indeed if $\hat{f} = h$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the unitarity of \mathcal{F} implies

$$(h, g) = (\hat{f}, g) = (\mathcal{F}f, g) = (f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g).$$

Hence $1 \implies 4$ and it is clear that $4 \implies 3 \implies 2$. If 2 holds, then again since \mathcal{F} is unitary we have

$$(h, g) = (f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g) = (\hat{f}, g) \text{ for all } g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

which implies $h = \hat{f}$ a.e., i.e. $h = \hat{f}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 11.8. *Let $p(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha x^\alpha$ be a polynomial on \mathbb{C}^n ,*

$$(11.3) \quad L := p(\partial) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha$$

and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $Lf \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ iff $p(i\xi)\hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and in which case

$$(11.4) \quad (\widehat{Lf})(\xi) = p(i\xi)\hat{f}(\xi).$$

Put more concisely, letting

$$D(B) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : Lf \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$$

with $Bf = Lf$ for all $f \in D(B)$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}B\mathcal{F}^{-1} = M_{p(i\xi)}.$$

Proof. As above, let

$$(11.5) \quad L^\dagger := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha (-\partial)^\alpha \text{ and } L^\circledast := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \bar{a}_\alpha (-\partial)^\alpha.$$

For $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} L^\circledast \phi^\vee(x) &= L^\circledast \int \phi(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\lambda(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \bar{a}_\alpha (-\partial_x)^\alpha \int \phi(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\lambda(\xi) \\ &= \int \overline{p(i\xi)} \phi(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\lambda(\xi) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\overline{p(i\xi)} \phi(\xi) \right] (x) \end{aligned}$$

So if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $Lf \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then by Remark 11.7,

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{Lf}, \phi) &= (Lf, \phi^\vee) = \langle f, L^\circledast \phi^\vee \rangle = \langle f(x), \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\overline{p(i\xi)} \phi(\xi) \right] (x) \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{f}(\xi), \left[\overline{p(i\xi)} \phi(\xi) \right] \rangle = \langle p(i\xi) \hat{f}(\xi), \phi(\xi) \rangle \text{ for all } \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that Eq. (11.4) holds and that $p(i\xi)\hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Conversely, if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that $p(i\xi)\hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then for $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(11.6) \quad (f, L^\circledast \phi) = (\hat{f}, \mathcal{F}L^\circledast \phi).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(L^\circledast \phi)(\xi) &= \int L^\circledast \phi(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} d\lambda(x) = \int \phi(x) \overline{L_x} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int \phi(x) \overline{a_\alpha} \partial_x^\alpha e^{-ix \cdot \xi} d\lambda(x) = \int \phi(x) \overline{a_\alpha} (-i\xi)^\alpha e^{-ix \cdot \xi} d\lambda(x) \\ &= \overline{p(i\xi)} \hat{\phi}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (11.6) becomes

$$(f, L^\circledast \phi) = (\hat{f}(\xi), \overline{p(i\xi)} \hat{\phi}(\xi)) = (p(i\xi) \hat{f}(\xi), \hat{\phi}(\xi)) = \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[p(i\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \right] (x), \phi(x) \right).$$

This shows $Lf = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[p(i\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \right] \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. ■

Lemma 11.9. *Suppose $p(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha x^\alpha$ is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^n and $L = p(\partial)$ is the constant coefficient differential operator $B = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha$ with $D(B) := \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}B\mathcal{F}^{-1} = M_{p(i\xi)}|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. This is result of the fact that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\xi \cdot x} d\lambda(\xi)$$

so that

$$Bf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) L_\xi e^{i\xi \cdot x} d\lambda(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) p(i\xi) e^{i\xi \cdot x} d\lambda(\xi)$$

so that

$$(Bf)^\wedge(\xi) = p(i\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

■

Lemma 11.10. *Suppose $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function such that $|g(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^M)$ for some constants C and M . Let A be the unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by $D(A) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $Af = gf$. Then $A^* = M_{\bar{g}}$.*

Proof. If $h \in D(M_{\bar{g}})$ and $f \in D(A)$, we have

$$(Af, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} gf \bar{h} dm = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \overline{gh} dm = (f, M_{\bar{g}}h)$$

which shows $M_{\bar{g}} \subset A^*$, i.e. $h \in D(A^*)$ and $A^*h = M_{\bar{g}}h$. Now suppose $h \in D(A^*)$ and $A^*h = k$, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} gf \bar{h} dm = (Af, h) = (f, k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \bar{k} dm \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

or equivalently that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g\bar{h} - \bar{k}) f dm = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since the last equality (even just for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$) implies $g\bar{h} - \bar{k} = 0$ a.e. we may conclude that $h \in D(M_{\bar{g}})$ and $k = M_{\bar{g}}h$, i.e. $A^* \subset M_{\bar{g}}$. ■

Theorem 11.11. *Suppose $p(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha x^\alpha$ is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^n and $A = p(\partial)$ is the constant coefficient differential operator with $D(A) := C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $A = L = p(\partial)$ on $D(A)$, see Eq. (11.3). Then A^* is the operator described by*

$$\begin{aligned} D(A^*) &= \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : L^\dagger f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\} \\ &= \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : p(i\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and $A^*f = L^\dagger f$ for $f \in D(A^*)$ where L^\dagger is defined in Eq. (11.5) above. Moreover we have $\mathcal{F}A^*\mathcal{F}^{-1} = M_{\overline{p(i\xi)}}$.

Proof. Let $D(B) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $B := L$ on $D(B)$ so that $A \subset B$. We are first going to show $A^* = B^*$. As is easily verified, in general if $A \subset B$ then $B^* \subset A^*$. So we need only show $A^* \subset B^*$. Now by definition, if $g \in D(A^*)$ with $k = A^*g$, then

$$(Af, g) = (f, k) \text{ for all } f \in D(A) := C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi = 1$ in a neighborhood of 0. Then $f_n(x) := \phi(x/n)f(x)$ is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and hence

$$(11.7) \quad (f_n, k) = (Lf_n, g).$$

An exercise in the product rule and the dominated convergence theorem shows $f_n \rightarrow f$ and $Lf_n \rightarrow Lf$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we may pass to the limit in Eq. (11.7) to learn

$$(f, k) = (Bf, g) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

which shows $g \in D(B^*)$ and $B^*g = k$.

By Lemma 11.10, we may conclude that $A^* = B^* = M_{\frac{1}{p(i\xi)}}$ and by Proposition 11.8 we then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} D(A^*) &= \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : p(i\xi)\hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : L^\dagger f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and for $f \in D(A^*)$ we have $A^*f = L^\dagger f$. ■

Example 11.12. If we take $L = \Delta$ with $D(L) := C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$L^* = \bar{\Delta} = \mathcal{F}M_{-|\xi|^2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}$$

where $D(\bar{\Delta}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \Delta f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ and $\bar{\Delta}f = \Delta f$.

Theorem 11.13. Suppose $A = A^*$ and $A \leq 0$. Then for all $u_0 \in D(A)$ there exists a unique solution $u \in C^1([0, \infty))$ such that $u(t) \in D(A)$ for all t and

$$(11.8) \quad \dot{u}(t) = Au(t) \text{ with } u(0) = u_0.$$

Writing $u(t) = e^{tA}u_0$, the map $u_0 \rightarrow e^{tA}u_0$ is a linear contraction semi-group, i.e.

$$(11.9) \quad \|e^{tA}u_0\| \leq \|u_0\| \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

So e^{tA} extends uniquely to H by continuity. This extension satisfies:

- (1) **Strong Continuity:** the map $t \in [0, \infty) \rightarrow e^{tA}u_0$ is continuous for all $u_0 \in H$.
- (2) **Smoothing property:** $t > 0$

$$e^{tA}u_0 \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} D(A^n) =: C^\infty(A)$$

and

$$(11.10) \quad \|A^k e^{tA}\| \leq \left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^k e^{-k} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Uniqueness. Suppose u solves Eq. (11.8), then

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t), u(t)) = 2\text{Re}(\dot{u}, u) = 2\text{Re}(Au, u) \leq 0.$$

Hence $\|u(t)\|$ is decreasing so that $\|u(t)\| \leq \|u_0\|$. This implies the uniqueness assertion in the theorem and the norm estimate in Eq. (11.9).

Existence: By the spectral theorem we may assume $A = M_f$ acting on $L^2(X, \mu)$ for some σ -finite measure space (X, μ) and some measurable function $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. We wish to show $u(t) = e^{tf}u_0 \in L^2$ solves

$$\dot{u}(t) = fu(t) \text{ with } u(0) = u_0 \in D(M_f) \subset L^2.$$

Let $t > 0$ and $|\Delta| < t$. Then by the mean value inequality

$$\left| \frac{e^{(t+\Delta)f} - e^{tf}}{\Delta} u_0 \right| = \max \left\{ |fe^{(t+\tilde{\Delta})f}u_0| : \tilde{\Delta} \text{ between } 0 \text{ and } \Delta \right\} \leq |fu_0| \in L^2.$$

This estimated along with the fact that

$$\frac{u(t+\Delta) - u(t)}{\Delta} = \frac{e^{(t+\Delta)f} - e^{tf}}{\Delta} u_0 \xrightarrow{\text{point wise}} fe^{tf}u_0 \text{ as } \Delta \rightarrow 0$$

enables us to use the dominated convergence theorem to conclude

$$\dot{u}(t) = L^2\text{-}\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(t+\Delta) - u(t)}{\Delta} = e^{tf}fu_0 = fu(t)$$

as desired. i.e. $\dot{u}(t) = fu(t)$.

The extension of e^{tA} to H is given by $M_{e^{tf}}$. For $g \in L^2$, $|e^{tf}g| \leq |g| \in L^2$ and $e^{tf}g \rightarrow e^{\tau g}g$ pointwise as $t \rightarrow \tau$, so the Dominated convergence theorem shows $t \in [0, \infty) \rightarrow e^{tA}g \in H$ is continuous. For the last two assertions, let $t > 0$ and $f(x) = x^k e^{tx}$. Then $(\ln f)'(x) = \frac{k}{x} + t$ which is zero when $x = -k/t$ and therefore

$$\max_{x \leq 0} |x^k e^{tx}| = |f(-k/t)| = \left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^k e^{-k}.$$

Hence

$$\|A^k e^{tA}\|_{op} \leq \max_{x \leq 0} |x^k e^{tx}| \leq \left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^k e^{-k} < \infty.$$

■

Theorem 11.14. Take $A = \mathcal{F}M_{-|\xi|^2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ so $A|_S = \Delta$ then

$$C^\infty(A) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A^n) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

i.e. for all $f \in C^\infty(A)$ there exists a version \tilde{f} of f such that $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. By assumption $|\xi|^{2n}\hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2$ for all n . Therefore $\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{g_n(\xi)}{1+|\xi|^{2n}}$ for some $g_n \in L^2$ for all n . Therefore for n chosen so that $2n > m + d$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^m |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi \leq \|g_n\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{|\xi|^m}{1+|\xi|^{2n}} \right\|_2 < \infty$$

which shows $|\xi|^m |\hat{f}(\xi)| \in L^1$ for all $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We may now differentiate the inversion formula, $f(x) = \int \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$ to find

$$D^\alpha f(x) = \int (i\xi)^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \text{ for any } \alpha$$

and thus conclude $f \in C^\infty$. ■

Exercise 11.1. Some Exercises: Section 2.5 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 17.

11.1. Du Hammel's principle again.

Lemma 11.15. *Suppose A is an operator on H such that A^* is densely defined then A^* is closed.*

Proof. If $f_n \in D(A^*) \rightarrow f \in H$ and $A^* f_n \rightarrow g$ then for all $h \in D(A)$

$$(g, h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^* f_n, h)$$

while

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^* f_n, h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n, Ah) = (f, Ah),$$

i.e. $(Ah, f) = (h, g)$ for all $h \in D(A)$. Thus $f \in D(A^*)$ and $A^* f = g$. ■

Corollary 11.16. *If $A^* = A$ then A is closed.*

Corollary 11.17. *Suppose A is closed and $u(t) \in D(A)$ is a path such that $u(t)$ and $Au(t)$ are continuous in t . Then*

$$A \int_0^T u(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^T Au(\tau) d\tau.$$

Proof. Let π_n be a sequence of partitions of $[0, T]$ such that $\text{mesh}(\pi_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and set

$$f_n = \sum_{\pi_n} u(\tau_i)(\tau_{i+1} - \tau_i) \in D(A).$$

Then $f_n \rightarrow \int_0^T u(\tau) d\tau$ and

$$A f_n = \sum_{\pi_n} Au(\tau_i)(\tau_{i+1} - \tau_i) \rightarrow \int_0^T Au(\tau) d\tau.$$

Therefore $\int_0^T u(\tau) d\tau \in D(A)$ and $A \int_0^T u(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^T Au(\tau) d\tau$. ■

Lemma 11.18. *Suppose $A = A^*$, $A \leq 0$, and $h : [0, \infty] \rightarrow H$ is continuous. Then*

$$(s, t) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow e^{sA} h(t)$$

$$(s, t) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow A^k e^{sA} h(t)$$

are continuous maps into H .

Proof. Let $k \geq 0$, then if $s \geq \sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^k (e^{sA} h(t) - e^{\sigma A} h(\tau))\| &= \|A^k e^{\sigma A} (e^{(s-\sigma)A} h(t) - h(\tau))\| \\ &\leq \|A^k e^{\sigma A}\| \|e^{(s-\sigma)A} [h(t) - h(\tau)] + e^{(s-\sigma)A} h(\tau) - h(\tau)\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k}{\sigma}\right)^k e^{-k} \cdot [\|h(t) - h(\tau)\| + \|e^{(s-\sigma)A} h(\tau) - h(\tau)\|]. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\lim_{s \downarrow \sigma \text{ and } t \rightarrow \tau} \|A^k (e^{sA} h(t) - e^{\sigma A} h(\tau))\| = 0$$

and we may take $\sigma = 0$ if $k = 0$. Similarly, if $s \leq \sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^k (e^{sA}h(t) - e^{\sigma A}h(\tau))\| &= \|A^k e^{sA} (h(t) - e^{(\sigma-s)A}h(\tau))\| \\ &\leq \|A^k e^{sA}\| \left[\|h(t) - h(\tau)\| + \|h(\tau) - e^{(\sigma-s)A}h(\tau)\| \right] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k}{s}\right)^k e^{-k} \left[\|h(t) - h(\tau)\| + \|h(\tau) - e^{(\sigma-s)A}h(\tau)\| \right] \end{aligned}$$

and the latter expression tends to zero as $s \uparrow \sigma$ and $t \rightarrow \tau$. ■

Lemma 11.19. *Let $h \in C([0, \infty), H)$, $D := \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : s > t \geq 0\}$ and $F(s, t) := \int_0^t e^{(s-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau$ for $(s, t) \in D$. Then*

(1) $F \in C^1(D, H)$ (in fact $F \in C^\infty(D, H)$),

$$(11.11) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(s, t) = e^{(s-t)A}h(t)$$

and

$$(11.12) \quad \frac{\partial F(s, t)}{\partial s} = \int_0^t A e^{(s-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau.$$

(2) Given $\epsilon > 0$ let

$$u_\epsilon(t) := F(t + \epsilon, t) = \int_0^t e^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau.$$

Then $u_\epsilon \in C^1((-\epsilon, \infty), H)$, $u_\epsilon(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t > -\epsilon$ and

$$(11.13) \quad \dot{u}_\epsilon(t) = e^{\epsilon A}h(t) + Au_\epsilon(t).$$

Proof. We claim the function

$$(s, t) \in D \rightarrow F(s, t) := \int_0^t e^{(s-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau$$

is continuous. Indeed if $(s', t') \in D$ and $(s, t) \in D$ is sufficiently close to (s', t') so that $s > t'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(s, t) - F(s', t') &= \int_0^t e^{(s-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau - \int_0^{t'} e^{(s'-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t e^{(s-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau - \int_0^{t'} e^{(s-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t'} [e^{(s-\tau)A} - e^{(s'-\tau)A}] h(\tau)d\tau \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(11.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|F(s, t) - F(s', t')\| &\leq \left| \int_{t'}^t \|e^{(s-\tau)A}h(\tau)\| d\tau \right| + \int_0^{t'} \left\| [e^{(s-\tau)A} - e^{(s'-\tau)A}] h(\tau) \right\| d\tau \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t'}^t \|h(\tau)\| d\tau \right| + \int_0^{t'} \left\| [e^{(s-\tau)A} - e^{(s'-\tau)A}] h(\tau) \right\| d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{(s,t) \rightarrow (s',t')} \left| \int_{t'}^t \|h(\tau)\| d\tau \right| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{(s,t) \rightarrow (s',t')} \int_0^{t'} \left\| \left[e^{(s-\tau)A} - e^{(s'-\tau)A} \right] h(\tau) \right\| d\tau = 0$$

which along with Eq. (11.14) shows F is continuous.

By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(s, t) = e^{(s-t)A} h(t)$$

and as we have seen this expression is continuous on D . Moreover, since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} e^{(s-\tau)A} h(\tau) = A e^{(s-\tau)A} h(\tau)$$

is continuous and bounded for on $s > t > \tau$, we may differentiate under the integral to find

$$\frac{\partial F(s, t)}{\partial s} = \int_0^t A e^{(s-\tau)A} h(\tau) d\tau \text{ for } s > t.$$

A similar argument (making use of Eq. (11.10) with $k = 1$) shows $\frac{\partial F(s, t)}{\partial s}$ is continuous for $(s, t) \in D$.

By the chain rule, $u_\epsilon(t) := F(t + \epsilon, t)$ is C^1 for $t > -\epsilon$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_\epsilon(t) &= \frac{\partial F(t + \epsilon, t)}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial F(t + \epsilon, t)}{\partial t} \\ &= e^{\epsilon A} h(t) + \int_0^t A e^{(s-\tau+\epsilon)A} h(\tau) d\tau = e^{\epsilon A} h(t) + u_\epsilon(t). \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 11.20. *Suppose $A = A^*$, $A \leq 0$, $u_0 \in H$ and $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow H$ is continuous. Assume further that $h(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $t \rightarrow Ah(t)$ is continuous, then*

$$(11.15) \quad u(t) := e^{tA} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A} h(\tau) d\tau$$

is the unique function $u \in C^1((0, \infty), H) \cap C([0, \infty), H)$ such that $u(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t > 0$ satisfying the differential equation

$$\dot{u}(t) = Au(t) + h(t) \text{ for } t > 0 \text{ and } u(0+) = u_0.$$

Proof. Uniqueness: If $v(t)$ is another such solution then $w(t) := u(t) - v(t)$ satisfies,

$$\dot{w}(t) = Aw(t) \text{ with } w(0+) = 0$$

which we have already seen implies $w = 0$.

Existence: By linearity and Theorem 11.13 we may assume with out loss of generality that $u_0 = 0$ in which case

$$u(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A} h(\tau) d\tau.$$

By Lemma 11.18, we know $\tau \in [0, t] \rightarrow e^{(t-\tau)A} h(\tau) \in H$ is continuous, so the integral in Eq. (11.15) is well defined. Similarly by Lemma 11.18,

$$\tau \in [0, t] \rightarrow e^{(t-\tau)A} Ah(\tau) = A e^{(t-\tau)A} h(\tau) \in H$$

and so by Corollary 11.17, $u(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and

$$Au(t) = \int_0^t Ae^{(t-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A}Ah(\tau)d\tau.$$

Let

$$u_\epsilon(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau$$

be defined as in Lemma 11.19. Then using the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \leq T} \|u_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\| &\leq \sup_{t \leq T} \int_0^t \left\| \left(e^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A} - e^{(t-\tau)A} \right) h(\tau) \right\| d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^T \left\| (e^{\epsilon A} - I) h(\tau) \right\| d\tau \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \downarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \|Au_\epsilon(t) - Au(t)\| \leq \int_0^T \left\| (e^{\epsilon A} - I) Ah(\tau) \right\| d\tau \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \downarrow 0$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{\epsilon A}h(\tau)d\tau - \int_0^t h(\tau)d\tau \right\| \leq \int_0^t \left\| (e^{\epsilon A} - I) h(\tau) \right\| d\tau \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Integrating Eq. (11.13) shows

$$(11.16) \quad u_\epsilon(t) = \int_0^t e^{\epsilon A}h(\tau)d\tau + \int_0^t Au_\epsilon(\tau)d\tau$$

and then passing to the limit as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ in this equations shows

$$u(t) = \int_0^t h(\tau)d\tau + \int_0^t Au(\tau)d\tau.$$

This shows u is differentiable and $\dot{u}(t) = h(t) + Au(t)$ for all $t > 0$. ■

Theorem 11.21. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow H$ be a locally α -Holder continuous function, $A = A^*$, $A \leq 0$ and $u_0 \in H$. The function*

$$u(t) := e^{tA}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau$$

is the unique function $u \in C^1((0, \infty), H) \cap C([0, \infty), H)$ such that $u(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t > 0$ satisfying the differential equation

$$\dot{u}(t) = Au(t) + h(t) \text{ for } t > 0 \text{ and } u(0+) = u_0.$$

(For more details see Pazy [2, §5.7].)

Proof. The proof of uniqueness is the same as in Theorem 11.20 and for existence we may assume $u_0 = 0$.

With out loss of generality we may assume $u_0 = 0$ so that

$$u(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau.$$

By Lemma 11.18, we know $\tau \in [0, t] \rightarrow e^{(t-\tau)A}h(\tau) \in H$ is continuous, so the integral defining u is well defined. For $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$u_\epsilon(t) := \int_0^t e^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A}h(\tau)d\tau = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A}e^{\epsilon A}h(\tau)d\tau.$$

Notice that $v(\tau) := e^{\epsilon A}h(\tau) \in C^\infty(A)$ for all τ and moreover since $Ae^{\epsilon A}$ is a bounded operator, it follows that $\tau \rightarrow Av(\tau)$ is continuous. So by Lemma 11.18, it follows that $\tau \in [0, t] \rightarrow Ae^{(t-\tau)A}v(\tau) \in H$ is continuous as well. Hence we know $u_\epsilon(t) \in D(A)$ and

$$Au_\epsilon(t) = \int_0^t Ae^{(t-\tau)A}e^{\epsilon A}h(\tau)d\tau.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} Au_\epsilon(t) &= \int_0^t Ae^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A}h(t)d\tau + \int_0^t Ae^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A}[h(\tau) - h(t)]d\tau, \\ \int_0^t Ae^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A}h(t)d\tau &= -e^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A}h(t)|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=t} = e^{(t+\epsilon)A}h(t) - e^{\epsilon A}h(t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| Ae^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A}[h(\tau) - h(t)] \right\| &\leq e^{-1} \frac{1}{(t+\epsilon-\tau)} \|h(\tau) - h(t)\| \\ &\leq Ce^{-1} \frac{1}{(t+\epsilon-\tau)} |t-\tau|^\alpha \leq Ce^{-1} |t-\tau|^{\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

These results along with the dominated convergence theorem shows $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} Au_\epsilon(t)$ exists and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} Au_\epsilon(t) &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left[e^{(t+\epsilon)A}h(t) - e^{\epsilon A}h(t) \right] + \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^t Ae^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A}[h(\tau) - h(t)]d\tau \\ &= e^{tA}h(t) - h(t) + \int_0^t Ae^{(t-\tau)A}[h(\tau) - h(t)]d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Because A is a closed operator, it follows that $u(t) \in D(A)$ and

$$Au(t) = e^{tA}h(t) - h(t) + \int_0^t Ae^{(t-\tau)A}[h(\tau) - h(t)]d\tau.$$

Claim: $t \rightarrow Au(t)$ is continuous. To prove this it suffices to show

$$v(t) := A \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A}(h(\tau) - h(t))d\tau$$

is continuous and for this we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(t+\Delta) - v(t) &= \int_0^{t+\Delta} Ae^{(t+\Delta-\tau)A}(h(\tau) - h(t+\Delta))d\tau - \int_0^t Ae^{(t-\tau)A}(h(\tau) - h(t))d\tau \\ &= I + II \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_t^{t+\Delta} Ae^{(t+\Delta-\tau)A}(h(\tau) - h(t+\Delta))d\tau \text{ and} \\ II &= \int_0^t \left[Ae^{(t+\Delta-\tau)A}(h(\tau) - h(t+\Delta)) - Ae^{(t-\tau)A}(h(\tau) - h(t)) \right] d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \left[Ae^{(t+\Delta-\tau)A}(h(\tau) - h(t)) - Ae^{(t-\tau)A}(h(\tau) - h(t)) \right] d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left[Ae^{(t+\Delta-\tau)A}(h(t) - h(t+\Delta)) \right] d\tau \\ &= II_1 + II_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &= \int_0^t A \left[e^{(t+\Delta-\tau)A} - e^{(t-\tau)A} \right] (h(\tau) - h(t)) d\tau \text{ and} \\ II_2 &= \left[e^{(t+\Delta)A} - e^{\Delta A} \right] (h(t) - h(t + \Delta)). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate I as

$$\begin{aligned} \|I\| &\leq \left| \int_t^{t+\Delta} \left\| A e^{(t+\Delta-\tau)A} (h(\tau) - h(t + \Delta)) \right\| d\tau \right| \\ &\leq C \left| \int_t^{t+\Delta} \frac{1}{t + \Delta - \tau} |t + \Delta - \tau|^\alpha d\tau \right| = C \int_0^{|\Delta|} x^{\alpha-1} dx = C \alpha^{-1} |\Delta|^\alpha \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \Delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that $\|II_2\| \leq 2C |\Delta|^\alpha \rightarrow 0$ as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\left\| A \left[e^{(t+\Delta-\tau)A} - e^{(t-\tau)A} \right] (h(\tau) - h(t)) \right\| \leq C |t - \tau|^{\alpha-1}$$

which is integrable, so by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\|II_1\| \leq \int_0^t \left\| A \left[e^{(t+\Delta-\tau)A} - e^{(t-\tau)A} \right] (h(\tau) - h(t)) \right\| d\tau \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \Delta \rightarrow 0.$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} Au_\epsilon(t) - Au(t) &= e^{(t+\epsilon)A} h(t) - e^{tA} h(t) + h(t) - e^{\epsilon A} h(t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t A \left(e^{(t+\epsilon-\tau)A} - e^{(t-\tau)A} \right) [h(\tau) - h(t)] d\tau \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au_\epsilon(t) - Au(t)\| &\leq 2 \|h(t) - e^{\epsilon A} h(t)\| + \int_0^t \left\| A e^{(t-\tau)A} (e^{\epsilon A} - I) [h(\tau) - h(t)] \right\| d\tau \\ &\leq 2 \|h(t) - e^{\epsilon A} h(t)\| + e^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{1}{|t - \tau|} \left\| (e^{\epsilon A} - I) [h(\tau) - h(t)] \right\| d\tau \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows $Au_\epsilon(t) \rightarrow Au(t)$ boundedly. We may now pass to the limit in Eq. (11.16) to find

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} u_\epsilon(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left[\int_0^t e^{\epsilon A} h(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t Au_\epsilon(\tau) d\tau \right] \\ &= \int_0^t h(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t Au(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $u \in C^1((0, \infty), H)$ and $\dot{u}(t) = h(t) + Au(t)$. ■