

Lecture 20: Mapping Γ -compact flats

Friday, March 10, 2017 9:07 AM

$$\boxed{\text{Step 3}} \quad \text{hd}(\phi(F_1), F_2) = \max \left\{ \overbrace{\sup_{x \in F_1} d(\phi(x), F_2)}^{d_1}, \overbrace{\sup_{x \in F_2} d(\phi(F_1), x)}^{d_2} \right\}$$

$$d_1 = \sup_{x \in F_1} d(\phi(x), F_2) \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 = \sup_{x \in F_2} d(\phi(F_1), x).$$

$$\bullet d_1 = \sup_{x \in F_1} d(\phi(x), F_2) = \sup_{x \in F_1} d(\text{pr}_{F_2}(\phi(x)), \phi(x))$$

$$\geq \sup_{x \in F_1} d(\text{pr}_{F_2}(\phi(x)), \phi(F_1)) = \sup_{x' \in F_2} d(x', \phi(F_1)) = d_2.$$

$$\bullet N_{d_2}(\phi(F_1)) \supseteq F_2 \implies \sup_{x \in \phi^{-1}(F_2)} d(\text{pr}_{F_1}(x), x) \leq \max\{b, kd_2\}$$

(again we are using surjectivity of ϕ .)

$$\text{Since } \text{pr}_{F_1}(N_b(\phi^{-1}(F_2))) = F_1, \quad \forall x_1 \in F_1, \exists x \in \phi^{-1}(F_2)$$

$$\text{and } x' \in X_1 \text{ st. } d(x, x') \leq b \text{ and } \text{pr}_{F_1}(x') = x_1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies d(x_1, \text{pr}_{F_1}(x)) \leq b \\ d(\text{pr}_{F_1}(x), x) \leq \max\{b, kd_2\} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \implies d(x_1, \text{pr}_{F_1}(x)) \leq b \\ d(\text{pr}_{F_1}(x), x) \leq \max\{b, kd_2\} \end{aligned}} \right\} \implies d(x_1, x) \leq \max\{2b, b + kd_2\}.$$

$$\implies F_1 \subseteq N_{2 \max\{b, kd_2\}}(\phi^{-1}(F_2))$$

$$\implies \phi(F_1) \subseteq N_{2k \max\{b, kd_2\}}(F_2)$$

$$\implies d_1 \leq 2k \max\{b, kd_2\}.$$

So we can assume $b < kd_2$, and we get

$$d_2 \leq d_1 \leq 2k^2 d_2.$$

Lecture 20: Mapping Γ -compact flats

Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:06 AM

Setting: $d_1 = \sup_{x \in F_1} d(\phi(x), F_2)$ and $d_2 = \sup_{x \in F_2} d(\phi(F_1), x)$.

We have $b < d_2 k$; $d_2 \leq d_1 \ll d_2$.

The following is the key geometric information:

when we are away from a maximal flat F , the orthogonal projection pr_F causes more contraction.

Key Geometric Fact $F \subseteq X$ a maximal flat; $p \notin F$;
 $V \subseteq T_p X$ and $\dim V = \dim F$.
subspace
Let $\tau: V \rightarrow T_{\text{pr}(p)} F$,
 $\tau(v) := d \text{pr}|_p(v)$.
Then $|\det \tau| \ll_x d(p, F)^{-1/2}$.

How are we going to use this? We are going to cover a ball of

radius $\Theta(d_2)$ in F_2 by orthogonal projection of a set that
away from F_2 by distance at least $\Theta(d_2)$;

OK let's see the details:

Let $h(x_2) := d(\phi(F_1), x_2)$. Then, $\forall \gamma \in \Delta$, $h(\gamma x_2) = h(x_2)$

Lecture 20: Mapping Γ -compact flats

Tuesday, March 14, 2017 9:04 AM

as ϕ is Γ -equiv. and F_1 is Δ -invariant. So h is a continu.

function on the torus $\Delta \setminus F_2$. So $\exists x_2 \in F_2$ s.t. $d_2 = d(\phi(F_1), x_2)$.

Since $\text{pr}_{F_2}(\phi(F_1)) = F_2$, we can cover $B(x_2, d_2/2) \cap F_2$.

Suppose $y_2 \in \phi(F_1)$ and $\text{pr}_{F_2}(y_2) \in B(x_2, d_2/2)$. Then

Claim. $y_2 \in B(x_2, \Theta(d_2)) \setminus N_{d_2/2}(F_2)$.

PF of claim ① $d(x_2, y_2) \leq d(x_2, \text{pr}_{F_2}(y_2)) + d(\text{pr}_{F_2}(y_2), y_2)$

$$\ll d_2 \quad \text{as } \phi(F_1) \subseteq N_{\Theta(d_2)}(F_2).$$

② $d(y_2, F_2) = d(y_2, \text{pr}_{F_2}(y_2)) \geq d(x_2, y_2) - d(x_2, \text{pr}_{F_2}(y_2))$

$$\geq d_2 - d_2/2 = d_2/2. \quad \blacksquare$$

Hence $\text{pr}_{F_2}(\phi(F_1) \cap B(x_2, \Theta(d_2)) \setminus N_{d_2/2}(F_2)) \supseteq B(x_2, d_2/2) \cap F_2$.

\Rightarrow By the mentioned geometric fact, we have

$$\text{vol}(\phi(F_1) \cap B(x_2, \Theta(d_2)) \setminus N_{d_2/2}(F_2)) \gg d_2^{1/2} \text{vol}(B(x_2, d_2/2) \cap F_2)$$

$$\gg d_2^{1/2 + \epsilon_0}.$$

As ϕ is k -Lipschitz, $\text{vol}(\phi(F_1) \cap B(x_2, \Theta(d_2))) \ll \text{vol}(F_1 \cap B(x, \Theta(d_2)))$
and QI

$$\ll d_2^{\epsilon_0}.$$

$$\Rightarrow d_2^{1/2} \ll 1 \Rightarrow d_2 \ll 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lecture 20: Γ -equivariant map between the space of flats

Tuesday, March 14, 2017 9:57 AM

Now we want to continuously extend $\bar{\Phi}: \mathcal{F}_{1,\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2,\Gamma}$ to

$$\bar{\Phi}: \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ s.t. } \text{hd}(\bar{\Phi}(F_1), \Phi(F_1)) \ll 1_{(\lambda, c)}$$

To do so it is enough to show

(*) If $F_i^{(1)} \in \mathcal{F}_{1,\Gamma}$ and $F_i^{(1)} \rightarrow F^{(1)}$, then $\bar{\Phi}(F_i^{(1)})$ converges to a flat $F^{(2)}$.

(As $\mathcal{F}_{1,\Gamma}$ is dense in \mathcal{F}_1 , for any $F^{(1)} \in \mathcal{F}_1$, $\exists F_i^{(1)} \rightarrow F^{(1)}$ and $F_i^{(1)} \in \mathcal{F}_{1,\Gamma}$. Then let $\bar{\Phi}(F^{(1)}) := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\Phi}(F_i^{(1)})$)

[(*) implies the existence of this limit and its independence on the choice of $\{F_i^{(1)}\}$.]

$$\forall x \in F^{(1)}, \exists x_i \in F_i^{(1)} \text{ s.t. } d(x, x_i) \ll 1$$

$$\Rightarrow d(\Phi(x), \Phi(x_i)) \ll 1. \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \exists y_i \in \bar{\Phi}(F_i^{(1)}) \text{ s.t. } d(\Phi(x_i), y_i) \ll 1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\text{passing to a subseq. } y_i \rightarrow y \in \bar{\Phi}(F^{(1)})$$

$$\Rightarrow d(\Phi(x), y) \ll 1 \Rightarrow \Phi(x) \in N_{\Theta(1)}(\bar{\Phi}(F^{(1)})).$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet y \in \bar{\Phi}(F^{(1)}) \Rightarrow \exists y_i \in \bar{\Phi}(F_i^{(1)}) \text{ s.t. } y_i \rightarrow y \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \Rightarrow \{x_i\} \text{ bounded} \end{array} \right\} \\ \Rightarrow \exists x_i \in F_i^{(1)} \text{ s.t. } d(\Phi(x_i), y_i) \ll 1. \end{array}$$

Lecture 20: Γ -equivariant map between the space of flats

Tuesday, March 14, 2017 10:13 AM

Passing to a subseq. $x_i \rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \in F^{(1)}$ and $\phi(x_i) \rightarrow \phi(x)$.

$$\Rightarrow d(y, \phi(x)) \ll 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{hd}(\overline{\phi(F^{(1)})}, \phi(F^{(1)})) \ll 1.$$

• For $s > b$, let $\mathcal{F}_s^{(2)} := \{ F \in \mathcal{F}_2 \mid \text{hd}(\phi(F^{(1)}) \cap B_s^{(2)},$

$$F \cap B_s^{(2)}) \leq 2c \}$$

↓
the above implied constant

• $\mathcal{F}_s^{(2)}$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}$.

• Since $F_i^{(1)} \rightarrow F^{(1)}$, we have $\text{hd}(F_i^{(1)} \cap B_{ks}^{(1)}, F^{(1)} \cap B_{ks}^{(1)}) \rightarrow 0$

$$\Rightarrow \text{hd}(\phi(F_i^{(1)}) \cap B_s^{(2)}, \phi(F^{(1)}) \cap B_s^{(2)}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{hd}(\overline{\phi(F_i^{(1)})} \cap B_s^{(2)}, \phi(F^{(1)}) \cap B_s^{(2)}) \leq 2c \quad \text{if } i \gg 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_s^{(2)} \neq \emptyset \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bigcap_{s > b} \mathcal{F}_s^{(2)} \neq \emptyset.$$

$\forall F^{(2)} \in \bigcap_{s > b} \mathcal{F}_s^{(2)}$ we have $\text{hd}(F^{(2)}, \phi(F^{(1)})) \ll 1$.

\Rightarrow there is only one element in this intersection

$$\text{as } \text{hd}(F^{(2)}, F^{(2)'}) \ll 1 \Rightarrow F^{(2)} = F^{(2)'}$$

Lecture 20: The space of flats are homeomorphic

Tuesday, March 14, 2017 10:50 AM

• $\bar{\Phi}$ is injective If $\bar{\Phi}(F_1) = \bar{\Phi}(F_1')$, then

$$\text{hd}(\Phi(F_1), \Phi(F_1')) \ll 1 \Rightarrow \text{hd}(F_1, F_1') \ll 1$$

$$\Rightarrow F_1 = F_1'.$$

• $\bar{\Phi}$ is a homeomorphism.

Let $\Phi' : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ be a Γ -equivariant with similar properties as Φ . And define $\bar{\Phi}'$. Notice that

$\forall F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{1, \Gamma}$, $\bar{\Phi}(F_1)$ was the unique flat

which was stabilized by $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma \cap G_{F_1}$.

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\Phi}'(\bar{\Phi}(F_1)) = F_1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\Phi}' \circ \bar{\Phi} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_{1, \Gamma}} = \text{identity} \Rightarrow \bar{\Phi}' \circ \bar{\Phi} \text{ is identity}$$

So $\bar{\Phi}$ is a homeomorphism. ■

Lecture 20: Why are flats important: Tits spherical building

Thursday, March 16, 2017 11:56 AM

To any semisimple algebraic group G defined over a field k , Tits attached a spherical building:

Let $T(G, k)$ be the set of all the parabolic k -subgroups, for any maximal k -split k -torus $S \subseteq G$, let Σ_S be the (finite) subset of $T(G, k)$ which consists of those parabolics that contain S . Such Σ_S is called an apartment. Let $\mathcal{A} := \{\Sigma_S \mid S: \text{max. } k\text{-split } k\text{-torus}\}$.

• Theorem (Tits) Suppose G has no simple factor of k -rank ≤ 1 , and $Z(G) = 1$. Then $(T(G, k), \mathcal{A})$ uniquely determines $G(k)^\dagger := \langle R_u(P)(k) \mid P \in T(G, k) \rangle$.

• Furthermore Tits proved that $(T(G, k), \subseteq)$ determines \mathcal{A} if G has no simple factor of k -rank ≤ 1 .

• With our control of on flats, we will control the structure of the spherical building, which enables us to prove strong rigidity.