

MATH200C, LECTURE 7

GOLSEFIDY

LOCALIZATION

We were proving the following:

Lemma 1. *Let $f : A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$, $f(a) := a/1$. Then f^* induces a bijection between $\text{Spec}(S^{-1}A)$ and $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset\}$. Moreover if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$, then $\mathfrak{p}^{ec} = \mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. (Continue) We have already proved that f^* is injective and $f^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap S = \emptyset$. We were in the middle of the third step.

Step 3. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$. Then A/\mathfrak{p} is an integral domain and $\bar{S} := \pi(S)$ does not contain 0, where $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{p}$, $\pi(a) := a + \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $\bar{S}^{-1}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ can be embedded into the field $Q(A/\mathfrak{p})$ of fractions of A/\mathfrak{p} . Let θ be the composite $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow Q(A/\mathfrak{p})$ homomorphism. Then $\theta(S) \subseteq Q(A/\mathfrak{p})^\times$; hence by the universal property of localization, there is a ring homomorphism $\tilde{\theta} : S^{-1}A \rightarrow Q(A/\mathfrak{p})$, $\tilde{\theta}(a/s) := \pi(a)/\pi(s)$. Notice that

$$a/s \in \ker \tilde{\theta} \Leftrightarrow \pi(a)/\pi(s) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi(a) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Therefore $\ker \tilde{\theta} = S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$, which implies that $S^{-1}A/S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ can be embedded into $Q(A/\mathfrak{p})$; and so it is either an integral domain or it is zero. Thus either $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S^{-1}A)$ or $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = S^{-1}A$.

Step 4. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$. We know that $\mathfrak{p}^{ec} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$. If $x \in \mathfrak{p}^{ec}$, then $x/1 \in S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$. Hence there is $s \in S$ such that $sx \in \mathfrak{p}$. As \mathfrak{p} is prime, either $s \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$, we deduce that $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}^{ec} = \mathfrak{p}$. \square

FIBER OVER A PRIME IDEAL

Theorem 2. *Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Let $S_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, and θ be the composite the following homomorphisms*

$$B \xrightarrow{i} f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}B \xrightarrow{\pi} f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}B/f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^e.$$

Then

- (1) θ^* gives us a bijection between $\text{Spec}(f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}B/f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^e)$ and $(f^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$.
- (2) $B \otimes_A Q(A/\mathfrak{p}) \simeq f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}B/f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^e$; and so there is a bijection between $\text{Spec}(B \otimes_A Q(A/\mathfrak{p}))$ and $(f^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$.
- (3) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Im}(f^*)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p}^{ec} = \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. (1) Notice that $\theta^* = i^* \circ \pi^*$. We have proved that i^* induces a bijection between $\text{Spec}(f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}B)$ and

$$\{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap f(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \emptyset\} = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B \mid f^*(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\};$$

and π^* induces a bijection between $\text{Spec}(f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}B/f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^e)$ and

$$V(f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^e) = \{\tilde{\mathfrak{q}} \in \text{Spec}(f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}B) \mid f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^e \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} \in V(f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^e) &\Leftrightarrow \exists! \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B, f^*(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} = f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{q}, f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^e \subseteq f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{q} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists! \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B, \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} = f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{q}, f^*(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}^e \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists! \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B, \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} = f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{q}, f^*(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p} \subseteq f^*(\mathfrak{q}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists! \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B, \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} = f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{q}, f^*(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}. \end{aligned}$$

(Notice that $\mathfrak{p}^e \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ implies $\mathfrak{p}^{ec} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^c$; and so $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^c$. And $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^c$ implies $\mathfrak{p}^e \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^{ce} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}^e \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^c$.) Overall we get the claim.

Part (2) is part of your HW assignment.

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Im}(f^*) &\Leftrightarrow (f^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \neq \emptyset \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^e \neq f(S_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}B \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^e \cap f(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^{ec} \cap S_{\mathfrak{p}} = \emptyset \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^{ec} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^{ec} = \mathfrak{p}. \end{aligned}$$

□

NAKAYAMA'S LEMMA

In math200B you have learned about Nakayama's lemma. Now we give an alternative approach which shows a more general result.

Proposition 3. *Suppose M is a finitely generated A -module, $\phi \in \text{End}_A(M)$, $\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq A$, and $\phi(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}M$. Then*

$$(1) \quad \phi^n + a_{n-1}\phi^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1\phi + a_0 = 0$$

for some $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{R} := \text{End}_A(M)$. We know that \tilde{R} is a ring (which is not necessarily commutative). We have also seen that $A \rightarrow \tilde{R}, a \mapsto \bar{a}$, where $\bar{a}(m) := a \cdot m$ is a ring homomorphism. Let $\bar{A} := \{\bar{a} \mid a \in A\}$. Then $R := \bar{A}[\phi]$ is a commutative subring of \tilde{R} . If carefully written, (1) is an equation in R :

$$\phi^n + \bar{a}_{n-1}\phi^{n-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_1\phi + \bar{a}_0 = 0.$$

Suppose $M = Am_1 + \cdots + Am_k$. Then an element $\psi \in \tilde{R}$ is zero if and only if $\psi(m_i) = 0$ for any i ; and so it is enough to show for some a_j 's,

$$(\phi^n + \bar{a}_{n-1}\phi^{n-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_1\phi + \bar{a}_0)(m_i) = 0$$

for any i .

Since $\phi(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}M$, for any i , there are $a_{ij} \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$\phi(m_i) = a_{i1}m_1 + \cdots + a_{ik}m_k.$$

Symbolically these equations can be written as

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_{11} & \cdots & \bar{a}_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{a}_{k1} & \cdots & \bar{a}_{kk} \end{pmatrix}}_T \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_k \end{pmatrix}}_{\vec{m}} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(m_1) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(m_k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $(\phi I - T)\vec{m} = 0$; multiplying both sides by the adjoint of $\phi I - T$ in $M_k(R)$, we get

$$\det(\phi I - T)\vec{m} = 0.$$

This means $\det(\phi I - T) = 0$. Notice that $xI - T = xI \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$; and so $\det(xI - T) = x^k \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$. That means there are $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$\det(xI - T) = x^k + \bar{a}_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_0.$$

Therefore

$$\phi^k + \bar{a}_{k-1}\phi^{k-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_0 = 0.$$

□

Corollary 4. *Suppose M is a finitely generated A -module, $\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq A$, and $M = \mathfrak{a}M$. Then there is $a \in A$ such that $aM = 0$ and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$.*

Proof. Suppose $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ are the ones given by Proposition 3 for $\phi := \text{Id}_M$. Let $a := 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i$. Then $\text{Id}_M + \bar{a}_{n-1}\text{Id}_M + \cdots + \bar{a}_0 = 0$ implies that $aM = 0$; and clearly $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$. \square

Lemma 5 (Nakayama's lemma). *Suppose M is a finitely generated A -module. If $J(A)M = 0$, then $M = 0$.*

Proof. By the previous corollary there is $a \in A$ such that $aM = 0$ and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{J(A)}$. So $a \in 1 + J(A) \subseteq A^\times$. Therefore $aM = 0$ implies that $M = 0$. \square

PRIMARY IDEALS

We would like to have a general version of prime factorization at least for ideals. It turns out that instead of using powers of primes ideals, we have to work with *primary* ideals.

Definition 6. *We say $\mathfrak{q} \trianglelefteq A$ is a primary ideal if \mathfrak{q} is a proper ideal and $xy \in \mathfrak{q}$ implies that either $x \in \mathfrak{q}$ or $y^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some positive integer n .*

Lemma 7. *Suppose \mathfrak{q} is a proper ideal of A ; $\mathfrak{q} \trianglelefteq A$ is a primary ideal if and only if any zero-divisor of A/\mathfrak{q} is nilpotent.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $\bar{x} \in D(A/\mathfrak{q})$ where $D(A/\mathfrak{q})$ is the set of zero-divisors of A/\mathfrak{q} . Then there is $\bar{y} \in A/\mathfrak{q} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\bar{x}\bar{y} = 0$; that means $y \notin \mathfrak{q}$ and $xy \in \mathfrak{q}$. Since \mathfrak{q} is primary, we deduce that $x^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some positive integer n . Hence $\bar{x}^n = 0$ in A/\mathfrak{q} , which means \bar{x} is nilpotent in A/\mathfrak{q} .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $xy \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $x \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Then $\bar{x}\bar{y} = 0$ in A/\mathfrak{q} and $\bar{x} \neq 0$. Hence $\bar{y} \in D(A/\mathfrak{q})$, which implies that there is a positive integer n such that $\bar{y}^n = 0$. Therefore $y^n \in \mathfrak{q}$.

(Here $\bar{z} := z + \mathfrak{q}$ for any $z \in A$.) \square

Lemma 8. *If \mathfrak{q} is primary, then $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal; and so $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the smallest prime divisor of \mathfrak{q} .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there are $x, y \in A$ such that $x, y \notin \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $xy \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$. Then for some positive integer n , $(xy)^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $x^n \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Since \mathfrak{q} is

primary, there is a positive integer m such that $(y^n)^m \in \mathfrak{q}$. This implies that $y \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$, which is a contradiction.

Since $\mathfrak{p} := \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}' \in V(\mathfrak{q})} \mathfrak{p}'$, we have that $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}'$ for any $\mathfrak{p}' \in V(\mathfrak{q})$. As $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{q})$, we have that \mathfrak{p} is the smallest prime divisor of \mathfrak{q} . \square

Definition 9. A primary ideal \mathfrak{q} is called \mathfrak{p} -primary if $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{p}$.

The converse of the above lemma does not hold in general; but if $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a maximal ideal, then we can deduce that \mathfrak{q} is primary.

Lemma 10. If $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(A)$ and $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{m}$, then \mathfrak{q} is \mathfrak{m} -primary.

Proof. Since $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{q})} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$, we have that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Since \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal, we have $V(\mathfrak{q}) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.

We will continue in the next lecture. \square