

Lecture 19: 4th version of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

Sunday, May 13, 2018 10:43 PM

In the previous lecture we were proving:

Theorem (Our 4th version of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz)

Suppose $\alpha \notin k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then $I(X(\alpha)) = \sqrt{\alpha}$.

Pf. We have already proved that $\sqrt{\alpha} \subseteq I(X(\alpha))$. Suppose to the contrary that $\exists f \in I(X(\alpha)) \setminus \sqrt{\alpha}$. Then $S_f \cap \alpha = \emptyset$ where

$$S_f = \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}.$$

Lemma. Suppose D is an integral domain, and $d_0 \in D \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$S_{d_0}^{-1}D \cong D[x]/\langle d_0x - 1 \rangle.$$

Pf. Let $\tilde{\phi}: D[x] \rightarrow S_{d_0}^{-1}D$, $\tilde{\phi}(p(x)) := p(1/d_0)$.

Then $d_0x - 1 \in \ker \tilde{\phi}$. So $\exists \phi: D[x]/\langle d_0x - 1 \rangle \rightarrow S_{d_0}^{-1}D$,

$\phi(p(x) + \langle d_0x - 1 \rangle) := p(d_0)$; and clearly ϕ is onto.

Since $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{d}_0 = \bar{1}$ in $D[x]/\langle d_0x - 1 \rangle$, $\overline{S_{d_0}}$ consists of

units in $D[x]/\langle d_0x - 1 \rangle$. Hence by the universal property of

localization, $\exists \theta: S_{d_0}^{-1}D \rightarrow D[x]/\langle d_0x - 1 \rangle$, $\theta(\frac{d}{d_0^n}) = d x^n + \langle d_0x - 1 \rangle$,
a ring hom.

Clearly θ and ϕ are inverse of each other. \blacksquare

Lecture 19: 4th version of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

Monday, May 14, 2018 12:33 AM

By the above lemma, $S_f^{-1} k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \simeq k[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}] / \langle x_{n+1}^f - 1 \rangle$.

Hence $0 \neq S_f^{-1} k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / S_f^{-1} \alpha \simeq k[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}] / \langle x_{n+1}^f - 1 \rangle + D[x_{n+1}]$

Therefore

$D[x_{n+1}] + \langle x_{n+1}^f - 1 \rangle$ is a proper ideal.

Hence by the 3rd version of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz,

$\exists \underbrace{(P_1, \dots, P_n, P_{n+1})}_{\vec{P}} \in X(D[x_{n+1}] + \langle x_{n+1}^f - 1 \rangle)$. And so

$\vec{P} \in X(D)$ and $P_{n+1} \cdot f(\vec{P}) - 1 = 0$.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \vec{P} \in X(D) \\ f \in I(X(D)) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow f(\vec{P}) = 0 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ P_{n+1} \cdot f(\vec{P}) - 1 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow 1 = 0 \text{ which is a contradiction. } \blacksquare$$

Def. A ring A is called a Jacobson ring if

$$\forall p \in \text{Spec } A, \quad p = \bigcap_{\substack{p \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \\ \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max } A}} \mathfrak{m}.$$

Theorem. Any f.g. k -algebra is a Jacobson ring if k is a algebraically closed.

Lecture 19: Jacobson ring

Monday, May 14, 2018 1:31 AM

Pf. Since $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$, $\text{Spec } A$ can be identified with $V(I)$, and $\text{Max } A$ can be identified with $\text{Max } k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cap V(I)$, it is enough to prove $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a Jacobson ring.

$$\forall \mathfrak{p} \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n], \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{p}} = I(X(\mathfrak{p})) = \bigcap_{P \in X(\mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{m}_P$$

\uparrow

4th version
of Hilbert's
Nullstellensatz

$= \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}_t} \mathfrak{m}_t$

$\mathfrak{m}_t \in \text{Max } A$

$\therefore \blacksquare$

\downarrow

1st version
of Hilbert's
Nullstellensatz

$\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}_t$

$\mathfrak{m}_t \in \text{Max } A$

$\therefore \blacksquare$

Corollary. Suppose k is algebraically closed and A is a f.g. k -alg.

Then $J(A)^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Pf. By the above theorem A is a Jacobson ring. So $J(A) = \text{Nil}(A)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Nil}(A) &= \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}_t \in \text{Max } A} \mathfrak{m}_t = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{m}_t \in \text{Max } A \\ \mathfrak{m}_t \mid \mathfrak{p}}} \mathfrak{m}_t \\ &= J(A). \end{aligned}$$

Since A is a f.g. k -algebra, by Hilbert's basis theorem A is

Noetherian. Hence $\text{Nil}(A)$ is a f.g. ideal. And so $\text{Nil}(A)^n = 0$.

Lecture 19: Jacobson radical of a f.g. k -algebra

Monday, May 14, 2018 1:51 AM

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; and claim follows. \blacksquare

Remark. The above argument implies:

if A is a Noetherian Jacobson ring, then $J(A)^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Remark. In the previous theorem, the algebraically closed assumption is not necessary.

Another important result in the theory of f.g. k -algebras is

Noether's normalization lemma:

Theorem. Suppose k is a field and A is a finitely generated k -algebra. Then $\exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ s.t.

(1) x_1, \dots, x_n are algebraically independent over k .

(2) A is integral over $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Lemma. For any positive integer M , let

$$\phi_M^\pm : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_n], \quad \phi_M^\pm(x_n) = x_n$$

$$\phi_M^\pm(x_i) = x_i \pm x_n^{M^i}.$$

Lecture 19: Noether's normalization lemma

Monday, May 14, 2018 11:02 AM

Then $\phi_M^+ \circ \phi_M^- = \phi_M^- \circ \phi_M^+ = \text{id}_{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}$; and so ϕ_M^\pm are automorphisms of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Clear. \square

Corollary. For any $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\exists \phi \in \text{Aut}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ s.t.

the leading coeff. of $\phi(f)$ viewed as an element of

$(k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}])[x_n]$ is in k^\times .

Pf. Suppose $M > \deg f$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_M(f) &= \sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (x_1 + x_n)^{i_1} \cdots (x_{n-1} + x_n)^{i_{n-1}} \cdot x_n^{i_n} \\ &= \sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_n^{i_n + i_1 \cdot M + i_2 \cdot M^2 + \cdots + i_{n-1} \cdot M^{n-1}} + \text{terms of lower degree}\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq i_j < M$, $i_n + i_1 \cdot M + \cdots + i_{n-1} \cdot M^{n-1}$ uniquely determines i_1, \dots, i_n .

And so all these terms are distinct and claim follows. \blacksquare

Remark. When k is infinite, one can choose ϕ among functions

of the form $\begin{cases} \phi(x_i) = x_i + \lambda x_n & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ \phi(x_n) = x_n \end{cases}$

(Why?)

Lecture 19: Noether's normalization lemma

Monday, May 14, 2018 12:43 AM

Pf of Noether normalization.

We proceed by induction on the number of generators of A .

Base case. $A = k[\alpha]$.

If α is algebraic over k , then A is integral over k ✓

If α is not algebraic over k ✓

(We will continue in the next lecture.)