

Lecture 02: Union of prime ideals

Monday, April 2, 2018 8:53 AM

In the previous lecture we mentioned that union of ideals is often far from being an ideal and the following is a good indication of this fact.

Proposition. Suppose $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n \in \text{Spec}(A)$. If $\mathcal{A} \triangleleft A$ and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i$, then $\exists i, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$.

Pf. We proceed by induction on n . Suppose $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ ($\forall i$). Then

by the induction hypothesis, for any i , $\exists x_i \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n+1} \mathfrak{p}_j$.

And so $\forall i, x_i \in (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathfrak{p}_i) \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n+1} \mathfrak{p}_j$. Let

$y = x_1 + x_2 \dots x_n$. Then $y \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $y \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i .

Case 1. $i=1$. $x_1 + x_2 \dots x_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \Rightarrow x_2 \dots x_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{p}_1$

$\Rightarrow \exists 2 \leq j \leq n+1, x_j \in \mathfrak{p}_1$ which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $2 \leq i \leq n+1$. $x_1 + \underbrace{x_2 \dots x_{n+1}}_{\text{in } \mathfrak{p}_i} \in \mathfrak{p}_i \Rightarrow x_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ which is a contradiction. ■

In your homework, you will see a generalization of this proposition, where the primeness assumption is removed. (This is due to McCoy.)

Lecture 02: Prime divisors of an ideal

Tuesday, April 3, 2018 11:47 PM

Def. We say $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ is a prime divisor of \mathfrak{a} if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, and let $V(\mathfrak{a}) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

- For $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \triangleleft A$, we say $\mathfrak{b} \mid \mathfrak{a}$ if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$.

Lemma. • $\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \mid \mathfrak{c} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{c}$

$$\bullet \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{b} \Rightarrow V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{b}).$$

(Clear).

Proposition. (a) $V((1)) = \emptyset$, $V(0) = \text{Spec}(A)$.

$$(1) V\left(\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i\right) = \bigcap_{i \in I} V(\mathfrak{a}_i).$$

$$(2) V(\mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{a}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{a}_n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(\mathfrak{a}_i).$$

Prf. (a) Since any prime ideal is proper and contains 0, we get (a).

$$(1) \sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i \mid \mathfrak{a}_j \Rightarrow V\left(\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i\right) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a}_j) \\ \Rightarrow V\left(\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in I} V(\mathfrak{a}_j).$$

$$\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcap_{j \in I} V(\mathfrak{a}_j) \Rightarrow \forall j, \mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \\ \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p} \in V\left(\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i\right).$$

Lecture 02: Zariski topology

Wednesday, April 4, 2018 10:12 AM

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i \mid \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n &\Rightarrow V(\alpha_i) \subseteq V(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n) \\ &\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(\alpha_i) \subseteq V(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\wp \in V(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(\alpha_i)$. So $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n \in \wp$

and $\alpha_i \notin \wp$. So $\exists x_i \in \alpha_i \setminus \wp$. Hence $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \in \wp$.

As \wp is prime, $\exists i$, $x_i \in \wp$ which is a contradiction. ■

Def. Consider $\{V(\alpha) \mid \alpha \triangleleft A\}$ as the set of closed subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$.

Previous Proposition shows that this defines a topology on $\text{Spec}(A)$.

This is called the Zariski-topology on $\text{Spec}(A)$.

Suppose $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism. Then for any ideal \mathfrak{b} of B , $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$ is an ideal of A and it is called the contraction of \mathfrak{b} , it is denoted by \mathfrak{b}^c .

Lemma. $\mathfrak{b}^c \triangleleft A$ and $A/\mathfrak{b}^c \hookrightarrow B/\mathfrak{b}$.

Pf. $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{\pi} B/\mathfrak{b}$; then $\ker(\pi \circ f) = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b}^c$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \xrightarrow{\pi} B/\mathfrak{b} \\ & \searrow \pi \circ f & \end{array}$$

And so $\mathfrak{b}^c \triangleleft A$ and by the 1st isomorphism theorem, $A/\mathfrak{b}^c \hookrightarrow B/\mathfrak{b}$. ■

Lecture 02: Contraction

Wednesday, April 4, 2018 12:06 AM

Lemma. Suppose $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism. Then

$f^*: \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$, $f^*(\mathfrak{p}) := \mathfrak{p}^c$ is a well-defined continuous map (w.r.t. the Zariski-topology).

Pf. By the previous lemma, $A/f^*(\mathfrak{p}) \hookrightarrow B/\mathfrak{p}$.

As B/\mathfrak{p} is an integral domain, $A/f^*(\mathfrak{p})$ is an integral domain. (Since $f(1)=1$, $f^*(\mathfrak{p}) \neq A$). And so

$$f^*(\mathfrak{p}) \in \text{Spec}(A).$$

$$\bullet (f^*)^{-1}(V(\mathcal{O})) \ni \mathfrak{p} \iff f^*(\mathfrak{p}) \in V(\mathcal{O})$$

$$\iff \mathcal{O} \subseteq f^*(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}^c$$

$$\iff f(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$$

$$\iff \langle f(\mathcal{O}) \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$$

this is called the extension of \mathcal{O} w.r.t. f and it is denoted by \mathcal{O}^e .

$$\iff \mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathcal{O}^e)$$

$$\text{And } (f^*)^{-1}(V(\mathcal{O})) = V(\mathcal{O}^e). \quad \blacksquare$$

preimage of a closed set is a closed set.

Lecture 02: Closed immersion

Wednesday, April 4, 2018 10:13 AM

Lemma. Suppose $\mathcal{O} \triangleleft A$ and $\pi: A \rightarrow A/\mathcal{O}$ is the natural quotient map. Then π^* induces a bijection from $\text{Spec}(A/\mathcal{O})$ to $V(\mathcal{O})$.

Pf. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A/\mathcal{O})$. Then $\mathfrak{p}^c = \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \supseteq \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{o}) = \mathcal{O}$ and so $\pi^*(\text{Spec}(A/\mathcal{O})) \subseteq V(\mathcal{O})$.

. Suppose $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \in V(\mathcal{O})$; then let $\mathfrak{p} := \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathcal{O}$. By isomor. theorems, $A/\mathfrak{p} \simeq \frac{A/\mathcal{O}}{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathcal{O}}$; and so $\frac{A/\mathcal{O}}{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an integral domain. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A/\mathcal{O})$; and clearly $\pi^*(\mathfrak{p}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$.

This implies $\text{Im}(\pi^*) = V(\mathcal{O})$.

. $\pi^*(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \pi^*(\mathfrak{p}_2) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_1 = \frac{\pi^*(\mathfrak{p}_1)}{\mathcal{O}} = \frac{\pi^*(\mathfrak{p}_2)}{\mathcal{O}} = \mathfrak{p}_2$;
and so π^* is injective. ■

Remark. In fact π^* induces a homeomorphism from $\text{Spec}(A/\mathcal{O})$ to $V(\mathcal{O})$ w.r.t. the induced Zariski topology on $V(\mathcal{O})$. So far we have showed π^* gives us a continuous bijection. Notice $\pi^*(V(\mathfrak{b}/\mathcal{O})) = V(\mathfrak{b})$, and so π^* is a closed map.

Lecture 02: Contraction map and localization

Wednesday, April 4, 2018 12:18 AM

Proposition. Suppose S is a multiplicatively closed subset of A , and

$0 \notin S$. Let $f: A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$, $f(a) := \frac{a}{1}$. Then f^* induces a

bijection from $\text{Spec}(S^{-1}A)$ to $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid S \cap \mathfrak{p} = \emptyset\}$.

(We will prove this in the next lecture.)