

Lecture 01: Introduction

Sunday, April 1, 2018 10:29 PM

There are two angles in this course: algebraic number theory, basic properties of certain subrings of number fields (finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}), and algebraic geometry, basic properties of common zeros of a family multi-variable polynomials. We will try prove statements in general setting.

In this course all the rings will be assumed to unital and commutative unless we say otherwise. Let's recall for a ring A , $\text{Max}(A) = \{\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft A \mid \mathfrak{m} \text{ is a maximal ideal}\}$ and $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft A \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime ideal}\}$.

- $\text{Max}(A) \subseteq \text{Spec}(A)$
- $\forall a \in A \setminus A^\times, \exists \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(A) \text{ s.t. } a \in \mathfrak{m}$.
- $\forall S \subseteq A \text{ multip. closed, no zero-divisor, } \exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A), S \cap \mathfrak{p} = \emptyset$.
- $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p} = \text{Nil}(A)$

Def. $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(A)} \mathfrak{m} =: J(A)$ is called the Jacobson radical of A

Lecture 01: Outline of arguments

Monday, April 2, 2018 4:56 PM

- $\forall \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(A) \iff A/\mathfrak{m}$ is a field.
- $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \iff A/\mathfrak{p}$ is an integral domain.
- F is a field $\implies F$ is an integral domain

Suppose $S \subseteq A$ is multiplicatively closed, $\mathfrak{b} \triangleleft A$, s.t. $S \cap \mathfrak{b} = \emptyset$. Then

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{b}, S} := \{ \mathfrak{a} \triangleleft A \mid \mathfrak{a} \cap S = \emptyset, \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \} \text{ has a maximal element}$$

by Zorn's lemma. If \mathfrak{p} is a maximal element of $\sum_{\mathfrak{b}, S}$, then
 $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$.

- A maximal element of $\sum_{\mathfrak{b}, \{\mathfrak{p}\}}$ is a maximal ideal.
- $x^n = 0 \quad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \end{cases} \implies x^n \in \mathfrak{p} \implies x \in \mathfrak{p} \implies \text{Nil}(A) \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p}$.
- If $x \notin \text{Nil}(A)$, then $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\} \cap \{0\} = \emptyset \implies \exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A), x \notin \mathfrak{p}$.

Remark. If A is a non-commutative unital ring, then we define its

Jacobson radical to be $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m}$. It is a theorem that it is

$\begin{matrix} \mathfrak{m} \\ \text{maximal} \\ \text{left ideal} \end{matrix}$

equal to $\bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{m} \\ \text{max. right ideal}}} \mathfrak{m}$; in particular $\text{J}(A) \triangleleft A$. And for any simple A -mod. M , we have $\text{J}(A) \subseteq \text{Ann}(M)$.

Lecture 01: Jacobson radical; Chinese remainder

Monday, April 2, 2018 7:59 AM

Lemma. $x \in J(A) \iff \forall y \in A, 1 - xy \in A^\times$.

Pf. (\Rightarrow) For $x \in J(A), y \in A$, suppose $1 - xy \notin A^\times$. Then

$\exists \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(A)$ s.t. $1 - xy \in \mathfrak{m}$ $\Rightarrow 1 \in \mathfrak{m}$ which is a contradiction.
 $x \in J(A) \Rightarrow x \in \mathfrak{m}$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $1 - xy \in A^\times$ for any $y \in A$, and $x \notin J(A)$.

So $\exists \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(A)$ s.t. $x \notin \mathfrak{m}$. Since \mathfrak{m} is maximal, $\exists y \in A$

and $z \in \mathfrak{m}$ s.t. $1 = xy + z$. Hence $1 - xy = z \in \mathfrak{m}$

and so it cannot be a unit, which is a contradiction. ■

Def. We say two ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}' are coprime if $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{a}' = A$.

Def $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \triangleleft A$, $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i \mid a_i \in \mathfrak{a}, b_i \in \mathfrak{b} \right\}$.

Observation. $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$;

Theorem (Chinese Remainder) Suppose $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n \triangleleft A$. Then

(1) If \mathfrak{a}_i 's are pairwise coprime, then $\bigcap \mathfrak{a}_i = \prod \mathfrak{a}_i$.

(2) Let $\phi: A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n A/\mathfrak{a}_i$, $\phi(x) := (x + \mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, x + \mathfrak{a}_n)$. Then ϕ is

surjective $\iff \mathfrak{a}_i$'s are pairwise coprime

(3) ϕ is injective $\iff \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i = 0$.

Lecture 01: Chinese Remainder;

Monday, April 2, 2018 8:21 AM

Pf. (1) Claim. \mathcal{U}_1 and $\mathcal{U}_2 \dots \mathcal{U}_n$ are coprime.

Pf of claim. $\forall 2 \leq i \leq n, \exists x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1$ and $y_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ s.t.

$$1 = x_i + y_i \Rightarrow y_2 \dots y_n = (1 - x_2)(1 - x_3) \dots (1 - x_n) \in \mathcal{U}_2 \dots \mathcal{U}_n$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - x \in \mathcal{U}_2 \dots \mathcal{U}_n \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{U}_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1 + \mathcal{U}_2 \dots \mathcal{U}_n = A. \quad \square$$

Case of $n=2$. $\mathcal{U}_1 + \mathcal{U}_2 = A \Rightarrow \exists x_i \in \mathcal{U}_i, 1 = x_1 + x_2.$

$$\forall y \in \mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2, y = y \cdot 1 = y(x_1 + x_2) = \underbrace{yx_1}_{\text{in } \mathcal{U}_2} + \underbrace{yx_2}_{\text{in } \mathcal{U}_1} \in \mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2.$$

General case. We proceed by induction on n .

By the induction hypothesis, $\bigcap_{i=2}^n \mathcal{U}_i = \prod_{i=2}^n \mathcal{U}_i$. So by

claim and case of $n=2$ we get

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{U}_1 \cdot \left(\bigcap_{i=2}^n \mathcal{U}_i \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}_i.$$

(2) $\Leftrightarrow \phi$ is surjective $\Rightarrow \exists x \in A$ s.t. $(x + \mathcal{U}_i, x + \mathcal{U}_j) = (1 + \mathcal{U}_i, 0 + \mathcal{U}_j)$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - x \in \mathcal{U}_i \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{U}_j \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}_i + \mathcal{U}_j = A.$$

\Leftarrow By the above claim, $\exists x \in \mathcal{U}_2 \dots \mathcal{U}_n$ s.t. $1 - x \in \mathcal{U}_1$. And so

Lecture 01: Chinese remainder; union of primes

Monday, April 2, 2018 8:36 AM

$\phi(x) = (\bar{1}, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0})$. Similarly $(\bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0})$ is in $\phi(A)$. As ϕ is an A -module homomorphism and $\prod_{i=1}^n A/\mathfrak{P}_i$ is generated by $(\bar{0}, \dots, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{0})$ as an A -mod, we get surjectivity of ϕ .

(3) $\ker \phi$ is clearly $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{P}_i$. ■

Union of ideals is far from being an ideal. We will be needing the following proposition later in this course, and it is a very good indication of the mentioned claim about union of ideals.

Proposition. Suppose $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_n \in \text{Spec}(A)$. If $\mathfrak{D} \subset A$ and $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{P}_i$, then $\exists i, \mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_i$.

We will prove this next time.