

Lecture 26: Automorphisms of normal extensions

Tuesday, March 6, 2018 1:56 PM

In the previous lectures we proved:

- ① Any embedding of $E \rightarrow \bar{F}$ can be extended to an automorphism of \bar{F} where $F \subseteq E \subseteq \bar{F}$.
- ② The following are equivalent:
 - (a) $\forall \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F)$, $\sigma(E) = E$.
 - (b) $\forall \alpha \in E$, $\exists \alpha_i \in E$, $m_{\alpha, F}(x) = \prod (x - \alpha_i)$
 - (c) E is a splitting field of a non-empty subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq F[x] \setminus F$.
 - (d) $\exists \{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ s.t.
 - (d-1) E_i is a splitting field of $f_i(x)$ over F ($E_i \subseteq \bar{F}$).
 - (d-2) $\forall i, j, \exists k, E_k \supseteq E_i \cup E_j$.
 - (d-3) $E = \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$.

(E/F is called a normal extension if the above statements hold.)

Remark. (d-3) implies a finite extension E/F is normal \Leftrightarrow

E is a splitting field of $f(x)$ over F .

Lecture 26: Automorphisms of normal extensions

Saturday, March 3, 2018 11:00 AM

Cor. Suppose $F \subseteq E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \bar{F}$ is a tower of fields.

Suppose E_1/F and E_2/F are normal extensions. Then

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F) \xrightarrow{r_{E_2}} \text{Aut}(E_2/F) \xrightarrow{r_{E_2/E_1}} \text{Aut}(E_1/F)$$

$$\xrightarrow{r_{E_1}}$$

given by restrictions are well-defined group homomorphisms.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F) \longrightarrow \left\{ (\phi_E) \in \prod_{\substack{E/F \\ E: \text{finite} \\ \text{normal} \\ E \subseteq \bar{F}}} \text{Aut}(E/F) \mid \phi_{E_2}|_{E_1} = \phi_{E_1} \right\}$$

$$\phi \longmapsto (\phi|_E)$$

$$E_i/F: \text{finite, normal, } E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \bar{F}$$

is an isomorphism.

Pf. $\textcircled{1}$ Since E_i/F are normal, $\forall \phi \in \text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F)$, $\phi(E_i) = E_i$;

And so $\phi|_{E_i} \in \text{Aut}(E_i/F)$.

For any $\theta \in \text{Aut}(E_i/F)$, $\exists \tilde{\theta}: \bar{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{F}$ s.t. $\tilde{\theta}|_{E_i} = \theta$. And

so the restriction map is onto.

Since clearly $r_{E_1} = r_{E_2/E_1} \circ r_{E_2}$ and r_{E_1} is onto, we get that

r_{E_2/E_1} is onto.

$\textcircled{2}$ By part $\textcircled{1}$ we get that $\phi \mapsto (\phi|_E)$ is a well-defined group homomorphism. Since $\bar{F} = \bigcup_{\substack{E/F \\ \text{finite, normal}}} E$, we get that $\phi \mapsto (\phi|_E)$

Lecture 26: Inverse limit

Saturday, March 3, 2018 11:16 PM

is injective.

Suppose $(\phi_E) \in \prod \text{Aut}(E/F)$ and $\forall E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \bar{F}$, $\phi_{E_2}|_{E_1} = \phi_{E_1}$;

We know that $\bar{F} = \bigcup_{E/F \text{ finite normal}} E$; we "glue" ϕ_E 's:

$$\phi: \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{F}, \quad \phi(\alpha) = \phi_E(\alpha) \text{ if } \alpha \in E.$$

Since ϕ_E 's are compatible, ϕ is well-defined. One can easily check that $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F)$; And the claim follows. ■

Def. The group given in RHS of part 2 is called the inverse limit of $\text{Aut}(E/F)$'s; and it is denoted by $\varprojlim_{E/F \text{ finite normal}} \text{Aut}(E/F)$.

So we proved $\text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F) \cong \varprojlim_{E/F \text{ finite normal}} \text{Aut}(E/F)$.

Moreover the above proof implies:

Theorem. Suppose $F \subseteq E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \bar{F}$, and E_i/F are normal.

Then $\text{Aut}(E_2/E_1) \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(E_2/F)$ and

$$\text{Aut}(E_2/F) / \text{Aut}(E_2/E_1) \cong \text{Aut}(E_1/F).$$

Lecture 26: Topology on group of Automorphisms of normal extensions

Tuesday, March 6, 2018 2:05 PM

Pf. We have seen $\sigma \mapsto \sigma|_{E_1}$ is an onto group hom

$\text{Aut}(E_2/F) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E_1/F)$. Its kernel is precisely $\text{Aut}(E_2/E_1)$.

And so by the 1st isomorphism theorem, we are done. \blacksquare

We will see that, if E/F is a finite normal extension, then $|\text{Aut}(E/F)|$

is finite. So, by Tychonoff theorem, $\prod_{E/F: \text{finite normal}} \text{Aut}(E/F)$ is compact

with respect to product topology. And for any $F_0 \subseteq F_1$, $F_0/F_0, F_1/F_0$:

finite normal, $\{(\phi_E) \mid \phi_{F_0}|_{E_0} = \phi_{E_0}\}$ is a closed subset.

Hence $\varprojlim \text{Aut}(E/F)$ is a closed subset of $\prod_{E/F: \text{finite normal}} \text{Aut}(E/F)$.

Therefore $\varprojlim \text{Aut}(E/F)$ is a compact group.

(Called Krull topology)

If $F \subseteq K \subseteq L \subseteq \bar{F}$ and L/F and K/F are normal, then

$$\text{Aut}(L/F) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Aut}(K/F)$$

$$\wr \quad \circ \quad \wr$$

$$\varprojlim_{\substack{E \subseteq L \\ E/F: \text{finite normal}}} \text{Aut}(E/F) \twoheadrightarrow \varprojlim_{\substack{E \subseteq K \\ E/F: \text{finite normal}}} \text{Aut}(E/F)$$

$\text{Aut}(L/K)$ is a closed normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(L/F)$

projection to those components \Rightarrow and so it is continuous.

Now we focus on finite normal extensions.

Lecture 26: Automorphisms of finite normal extensions

Saturday, March 3, 2018 11:52 PM

Theorem. Let $\sigma: F \xrightarrow{\sim} F'$, and $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x)$, where $f_i(x)$ are distinct irreducible elements of $F[x]$. Let E be a splitting field of $f(x)$ over F , and E' be a splitting field of $\sigma(f)(x)$ over F' . Then

$|\{ \tilde{\sigma}: E \xrightarrow{\sim} E' \mid \tilde{\sigma}|_F = \sigma \}| \leq [E:F]$. Moreover equality holds, if f do not have multiple zeros.

Pf. We proceed by induction on $[E:F]$. If all the irred. factors of f are of degree 1, then $E=F$ and $E'=F'$; and so clearly equality holds.

Now suppose $f_{\perp}(x)$ is an irred. factor of $f(x)$ that has degree ≥ 2 ; and suppose α is a zero of $f_{\perp}(x)$. Then for any $\tilde{\sigma}: E \xrightarrow{\sim} E'$, $\tilde{\sigma}(\alpha)$ is a zero of $\sigma(f_{\perp})(x)$.

Hence $\tilde{\sigma}|_{F[\alpha]}$ has at most # of distinct zeros of $\sigma(f_{\perp})$ possibilities. For any given such possibilities, by the strong induction hypoth. there are at most $[E:F[\alpha]]$ -many possibil. of extension to an isomorphism from E to E' . So

Lecture 26: Automorphisms of finite normal extensions

Sunday, March 4, 2018 12:13 AM

$$\begin{aligned} |\{\sigma: E \xrightarrow{\sim} E' \mid \sigma|_F = \sigma\}| &\leq (\# \text{ of distinct zeros of } f_1) \\ & [E: F[\alpha]] \\ &\leq \deg f_1 [E: F[\alpha]] \\ &= [F[\alpha]: F] [E: F[\alpha]] = [E: F[\alpha]]. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose f has no multiple zeros. Then f_1 does not have multiple zeros, and f remains square-free over $F[\alpha][X]$ as it is square-free over $\overline{F}[X]$. And so by the strong induction hypothesis equality in the above inequality hold.

On the other hand, if equality holds, then all zeros of f_1 are distinct. By a similar argument, all zeros of f_i are distinct. Since $\gcd(f_i, f_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$, we deduce that all zeros of f are distinct; and the claim follows. ■

Def. A polynomial $f(x) \in F[X] \setminus F$ is called separable if its irreducible factors do not have multiple roots.

Corollary. Suppose $f(x) \in F[X] \setminus F$, and E is a splitting field of $f(x)$ over F . Then $|\text{Aut}(E/F)| \leq [E:F]$. And equality holds exactly when $f(x)$ is separable.

Lecture 26: Separable extensions

Saturday, March 3, 2018 11:27 PM

Def. An algebraic extension E/F is called separable if $\forall \alpha \in E$
 $m_{\alpha, F}(x)$ is separable.

Ex. $\mathbb{F}_p(t^{1/p})/\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ is NOT a separable extension. By Eisenstein's

criterion $x^p - t$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$, and so $m_{t^{1/p}, F}(x) = x^p - t$.

But $x^p - t = (x - t^{1/p})^p$ has multiple zeros.

Another corollary of the previous argument is the following:

Theorem. Suppose E/F is a finite extension. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) E is a splitting field of a separable polynomial $f(x)$ over F .

(2) $|\text{Aut}(E/F)| = [E:F]$.

(3) E/F is a normal separable extension.

Pf. We have already proved $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$;

next we show $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. $\forall \alpha \in E$, as in the proof of previous theorem

$|\text{Aut}(E/F)| \leq (\# \text{ of distinct zeros of } m_{\alpha, F} \text{ in } E) [E:F[\alpha]]$

Lecture 26: Galois extensions

Sunday, March 4, 2018 1:21 PM

$$\begin{aligned} \text{And so } |\text{Aut}(E/F)| &\leq (\# \text{ of dist. zeros of } m_\alpha \text{ in } E) [E:F[\alpha]] \\ &\leq \deg m_\alpha \cdot [E:F[\alpha]] = [F[\alpha]:F][E:F[\alpha]] \\ &= [E:F[\alpha]]. \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

Since by (2) equality holds, $\#$ of dist. zeros of m_α in $E = \deg m_\alpha$

And so all zeros are in E and are distinct $\Rightarrow E/F$ is normal & separable.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Since E/F is finite, $\exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in E$ st. $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$.

Since E/F is (algebraic) normal, all zeros of $m_{\alpha_i}(x)$ are in E .

And so E is a splitting field of $\prod_{i=1}^n m_{\alpha_i}(x)$ over F . Since

E/F is separable, $\prod_{i=1}^n m_{\alpha_i}(x)$ is a separable polynomial. ■

Def. An algebraic extension E/F is called a Galois extension if E/F is a normal and separable extension. If E/F is Galois, we write $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ instead of $\text{Aut}(E/F)$.

So far we have seen that $\text{Gal}(F/E)$ gives us $[F:E]$ if F/E is a Galois extension. Next we will show having $\text{Gal}(F/E)$ as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F)$ uniquely determines E . The following is the

key technical lemma:

Lecture 26: Non-zero set of fixed points

Sunday, March 4, 2018 9:49 PM

Lemma. Let G be a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(E)$.

Suppose $0 \neq V \subseteq E^n$ is an E -subspace, and for any $\sigma \in G$

and $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in V$, we have $(\sigma(e_1), \dots, \sigma(e_n)) \in V$.

Then $V^G := \{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \mid \forall \sigma \in G, \sigma(f_i) = f_i\} \neq 0$.

Pf. Among all elements of V , take a non-zero vector with smallest

possible non-zero components; say $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, 0, \dots, 0) \in V$ is such

an element and α_i 's are not zero. Hence $(1, \alpha_2', \dots, \alpha_r', 0, \dots, 0) \in V$.

$\Rightarrow \forall \sigma \in G, (1, \sigma(\alpha_2'), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_r'), 0, \dots, 0) \in V$

$\Rightarrow (0, \alpha_2' - \sigma(\alpha_2'), \dots, \alpha_r' - \sigma(\alpha_r'), 0, \dots, 0) \in V$

Since these vectors have only at most $r-1$ non-zero components,

they should be zero. Hence $(1, \alpha_2', \dots, \alpha_r', 0, \dots, 0) \in V^G$. ■