Lecture 25: Uniqueness of algebraic closure Friday, March 2, 2018 12:39 PM We were proving the following: Theorem. Let F be a field, and E, E'be two algebraic closures of F. Suppose  $\sigma: F \rightarrow E'$  is an embedding. Then  $\exists \sigma: E \rightarrow E'$ , s.t.  $\left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right. \right) \right| \right| = \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right. \right) \right| \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right| = \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right. \right) \right| \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right| = \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right. \right) \right| \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right| = \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right. \right) \right| \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right| = \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right| \\ \left. \end{array}\right| \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right| = \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right| \\ \left. \end{array}\right| \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right| = \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right| \\ \left. \end{array}\right| \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \end{array}\right| = \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \\ \left. \end{array}\right| \\ \left. \end{array}\right| \\ \left. \left. \right| \\ \left. \right| \right| \\ \left. \right$ FCKSE, We considered the set  $\sum := \xi(K, \sigma) | \sigma: K \subset E'$  s.t.  $\xi$ Using Zorn's lemma, we proved I has a maximal element. Say  $(K, \sigma)$  is a maximal element of  $\Sigma$ . Then we claimed: .K=E. Pf. If not, I a E K. Let m (x) = FIXI be the minimal polynomial of a over F. Since E is algebraically closed,  $\exists \alpha = \alpha_{\sigma}, \alpha_{1}, ..., \alpha_{m-1} \in E$ st.  $m_{\alpha}(x) = (x - \alpha)(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_{m-1})$ . And so KIa,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}$  is the splitting field of m. (x) over K. Thus, by part (1),  $\exists \widetilde{O}: K[\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}] \subset \mathbf{E}', \widetilde{O}|_{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{O}'. \text{ Hence}$  $(K, \sigma) \prec (K I \alpha, ..., \alpha_{m-1} J, \mathcal{E})$ , which is a contradiction.

Lecture 25: Uniqueness of algebraic closure  
Thursday, March 1, 2018 10:33 PM  
Claim. 
$$\sigma: E \hookrightarrow E'$$
 is anto.  
PF Let K':=  $\sigma(E)$ ; and  $\theta: K' \longrightarrow E$ ,  $\theta(a) = \sigma^{-1}(a')$ .  
Suppose  $E'_{\neq} K'$ ; and let  $a' \in E \land K'$ . As before, there is a  
subfield L' of E' which is the splitting field of the minimal  
poly.  $m_{a'}(x)$  of  $a' over K'$ . Then, by part (3),  $\exists \vartheta: L' \hookrightarrow E$   
st.  $\vartheta|_{K'} = \theta$ . This implies  $\vartheta(a') \in E = \theta(K') = \vartheta(K')$   
which implies  $\vartheta$  is not injective; and this is a contradiction. **a**  
A lot of mathematics is about understanding symmetries of an  
algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$  of  $\overline{F}$ .  
Def. Let  $E/F$  be a field extension. Then  
Aut $(E/F) := \frac{2}{5} \circ : E \cong E | \circ|_{\overline{F}} = id \cdot \frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{5}$   
Let's start with  $\sigma \in Aut(\overline{F}/F)$ , and assume  $F \subseteq E \subseteq \overline{F}$  is  
a subfield. Then  $\circ|_{\overline{E}}$  gives us an embedding of E into  $\overline{F}$ .  
 $\overline{Q}$  Cohet can are say about  $\sigma(E)$ ? Under cohat conditions  
 $\sigma(E) = E$ ?

Lecture 25: Algebraic elements under an embedding Friday, March 2, 2018 8:35 AM The following is the key observation: Lemma. Let  $\overline{F}$  be an algebraic closure of  $\overline{F}$ ,  $\sigma \in Aut(\overline{F}_{F})$ , and  $x \in \overline{F}$ . If f(x) = 0 for some  $f(x) \in \overline{F}(x)$ , then  $f(\sigma(x)) = 0$ . (And so or permutes zeros of any polynomial fix) = FIXINF.) Pf is clear. Lemma. Suppose  $\overline{F}$  is an algebraic closure of  $\overline{F}$ , and  $\overline{E} \subseteq \overline{F}$ is a splitting field of foxie FIXINF. Then for any ore Aut  $(\overline{F}_{/F})$ ,  $\sigma(E) = E$ . <u>PF.</u> By definition,  $E = F(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$  and  $f(x) = c(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)$ for some a, ..., an EF. By the previous lemma or induces a permutation on  $3_{\alpha_1}, ..., \alpha_n 3$ . Hence  $\sigma(E) = F(\sigma(\alpha_1), ..., \sigma(\alpha_n))$  $= F(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$ In order to get a kind of converse statement, we need the following definition: <u>Def</u>. Let  $F \subseteq F[x] \setminus F$  be a set consisting of menic polynomials.

Lecture 25: Normal extensions  
Thursday, March 1, 2018 10.1 PM  
We say E is a splitting field of F over F if  
(1) 
$$\forall f \in \mathcal{F}$$
,  $\exists \alpha_{i,f} \in E$  st.  $fr \gg = \prod (x - \alpha_{i,f})$   
(2) E is generated by F and  $\alpha_{i,f}$ 's as a field.  
Theorem. Let F be a field, F be an algebraic closure of F, and F  $\subseteq E \subseteq F$   
be a subfield. Then the following statements are equivalent:  
(a)  $\forall \sigma \in Aut(F_{f})$ ,  $\sigma(E) = E$ .  
(b)  $\forall \alpha \in E$ ,  $\exists \alpha_{1}, ..., \alpha_{n} \in E$  st.  $m_{\alpha_{i,F}}(m) = (x - \alpha)(x - \alpha_{i}) ... (x - \alpha_{n-1})$ .  
(c) E is a splitting field of a set  $\Im \subseteq FIXIIF$  of monic polynomials.  
(d) There are  $F \subseteq E_{i} \subseteq F$  st.  
(i) E; is a splitting field of fight  $FIXIIF$ .  
(i)  $rarticular$ ,  $IE_{i}:FII < \infty$ ).  
(a)  $\forall i,j, \exists k$  st.  $E_{i} \cup E_{j} \subseteq E_{k}$ .  
(b)  $\exists e = \bigcup E_{i}$   
(c)  $E = UE_{i}$   
(c)  $E = UE_{i}$   
(d)  $F = \bigcup E_{i}$   
(e)  $F = i \le T$   
(f)  $i \in I$   $i \le T$  for  $i \in F$ ,  $\Theta(x) = \alpha'$ . Then by the previous  
theorem  $\exists \vartheta \in Aut(F_{i}F_{i}), \vartheta|_{FEI} = \theta$ . Since  $\vartheta(E) = E$ , we deduce  
that  $\vartheta(\alpha) = \Theta(\alpha) = \alpha' \in E$ ; and the claim follows.

Lecture 25: Normal extensions  
Sturdy, March 3, 2018 10:43 AM  
(b) 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (c). Let  $F:= g_{m_{x_i}}(\infty) | x \in Eg$ . Then  $E$  is a splitting  
field of  $F$  over  $F$ , by definition.  
(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Let I be the set of all the finite subsets of  $F$ .  
And, for any ieI, let  $f_i(\infty) = \prod_{P \in i} p(\infty)$ . Let  $E_i$  be the  
splitting field of  $f_i(\infty)$  over  $F$ . Then,  $E_i \subseteq E$ ; and for  
any  $i, j$ , if  $k = i \cup j$ , then  $E_k \supseteq E_i \cup E_j$ . Using this  
one can check that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$  is a field. Since  $E$  is generated  
by zeros of foxe  $F$ , we get  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$ . And so  
 $E = \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$ .  
(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) For any  $\sigma \in Aut(F/F)$ , we have seen that  $\sigma(E_i) = E_i$ .  
Therefore  $\sigma(E) = \sigma(\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \sigma(E_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i = E \cdot j$   
and  $\bigcup_{i \in I}$  is a field.  
Def. We say an extension  $E/F$  is a normal extension if  
 $E \subseteq F$  (algebraic) and the statements of the previous  
theorem hold.