

# Lecture 27: Finding primes

Monday, December 4, 2017 10:46 AM

Theorem.  $\forall \mathcal{A} \triangleleft A$ ,  $S \subseteq A$  multiplicatively closed

Suppose  $\mathcal{A} \cap S = \emptyset$ , and let

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}, S} := \{ \mathfrak{b} \triangleleft A \mid \textcircled{1} \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \quad \textcircled{2} \mathfrak{b} \cap S = \emptyset \}.$$

Then (1)  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}, S}$  has a maximal element w.r.t.  $\subseteq$ .

(2) A maximal element  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}, S}$  is a prime ideal

Pf. of theorem. (1) By Zorn's lemma, it is enough to show that

any chain  $C$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}, S}$  has an upper-bound in  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}, S}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}} := \bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in C} \mathfrak{b}$ .

Since  $C \subseteq \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}, S}$ ,  $\forall \mathfrak{b} \in C$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \cap S = \emptyset$ .

And so  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in C} \mathfrak{b}$  and  $(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in C} \mathfrak{b}) \cap S = \emptyset$ . So if

we show  $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in C} \mathfrak{b}$  is an ideal, we deduce that  $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in C} \mathfrak{b} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}, S}$ ;

and so  $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in C} \mathfrak{b}$  is an upper-bound of  $C$  in  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}, S}$ .

Claim. Since  $C$  is a chain,  $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in C} \mathfrak{b}$  is an ideal.

•  $\forall a_1, a_2 \in \bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in C} \mathfrak{b}$ ,  $\exists \mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2 \in C$  s.t.  $a_i \in \mathfrak{b}_i$ .

Either  $\mathfrak{b}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_2$  or  $\mathfrak{b}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_1$  ( $C$  is a chain); and so



## Lecture 27: Finding primes

Monday, December 4, 2017 8:49 AM

either  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{b}_2$  or  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{b}_1$ . Hence either

$a_1 + a_2 \in \mathfrak{b}_2$  or  $a_1 + a_2 \in \mathfrak{b}_1$ . In either case

$$a_1 + a_2 \in \bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{b}. \quad \textcircled{\text{I}}$$

$\forall a \in \bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{b}$  and  $r \in A$ ,  $\exists \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}$  s.t.  $a \in \mathfrak{b}$

$$\Rightarrow ra \in \mathfrak{b} \Rightarrow ra \in \bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{b}. \quad \textcircled{\text{II}}$$

$\textcircled{\text{I}}, \textcircled{\text{II}}$  imply that  $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{b}$  is an ideal; and the claim follows.

(2) Suppose  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a maximal element of  $\sum_{\mathcal{U}, S}$ . Suppose  $\exists a, b \in A$

s.t.  $a, b \notin \mathfrak{p}$  and  $ab \in \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $\langle a \rangle + \mathfrak{p}, \langle b \rangle + \mathfrak{p} \notin \sum_{\mathcal{U}, S}$ . As

$\mathcal{U} \subseteq \langle a \rangle + \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \langle b \rangle + \mathfrak{p}$ , we deduce  $\exists s_1 \in \langle a \rangle + \mathfrak{p} \cap S$  and

$s_2 \in \langle b \rangle + \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $\exists r_1, r_2 \in A$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$  s.t.

$$s_1 = r_1 a + x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad s_2 = r_2 b + x_2.$$

$$\Rightarrow s_1 s_2 = \underbrace{r_1 r_2}_{\text{in } \mathfrak{p}} \underbrace{ab}_{\text{in } \mathfrak{p}} + \underbrace{r_1 a}_{\text{in } \mathfrak{p}} \underbrace{x_2}_{\text{in } \mathfrak{p}} + \underbrace{r_2 b}_{\text{in } \mathfrak{p}} \underbrace{x_1}_{\text{in } \mathfrak{p}} + \underbrace{x_1 x_2}_{\text{in } \mathfrak{p}} \in S$$

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p} \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , which contradicts  $\mathfrak{p} \in \sum_{\mathcal{U}, S}$ . ■

# Lecture 27: Nilradical and primes

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 4:39 PM

Def. . An element  $a$  of  $A$  is called nilpotent if  $a^k = 0$   
for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

.  $\text{Nil}(A) := \{a \in A \mid a : \text{nilpotent}\}$ .

Theorem. (1)  $\text{Nil}(A) \triangleleft A$ .

(2)  $\text{Nil}(A) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p}$  where  $\text{Spec}(A)$  is  
the set of prime ideals of  $A$ .

Pf. (1).  $a_1, a_2 \in \text{Nil}(A) \Rightarrow \exists n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a_1^{n_1} = a_2^{n_2} = 0$ .

$$(a_1 + a_2)^{n_1 + n_2} = \sum_{\substack{i_1 + i_2 = n_1 + n_2 \\ 0 \leq i_1, i_2}} \binom{n_1 + n_2}{i_1} a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2}.$$

As  $i_1 + i_2 = n_1 + n_2$ , either  $i_1 \geq n_1$ , or  $i_2 \geq n_2$ . Hence either  
 $a_1^{i_1} = 0$  or  $a_2^{i_2} = 0$ . Therefore in either case  $a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} = 0$ .

Thus  $(a_1 + a_2)^{n_1 + n_2} = 0$ , which implies  $a_1 + a_2 \in \text{Nil}(A)$ .

.  $a \in \text{Nil}(A), r \in A \Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a^n = 0$

$$\Rightarrow (ra)^n = r^n a^n = 0 \Rightarrow ra \in \text{Nil}(A).$$

(2)  $a \in \text{Nil}(A) \Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a^n = 0 \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow a \cdot a^{n-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$   
 $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$

Hence either  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $a^{n-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$ . So inductively

## Lecture 27: Nilradical

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 4:49 PM

we can show  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $\text{Nil}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , which implies

$$\text{Nil}(A) \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p}. \quad (\text{I})$$

Suppose  $f \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p} \setminus \text{Nil}(A)$ . Then  $S_f := \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$

does not contain zero; that means  $S_f \cap \{0\} = \emptyset$ . By theorem

there is  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \text{Spec}(A)$  s.t.  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap S_f = \emptyset$ ; this implies  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}_0$ ,

which contradicts  $f \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore

$$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \text{Nil}(A). \quad (\text{II})$$

(I), (II) imply  $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p} = \text{Nil}(A)$ . ■

Exercise. Show that  $\text{Nil}(\mathbb{R}[x]) = \text{Nil}(\mathbb{R})[x]$ .

We will come back to this type of result in math 200 C. For

now we'd like to find a technique to prove certain rings

are unique factorization domains (UFD). You have seen the

fundamental theorem of arithmetic which asserts that  $\mathbb{Z}$

is a UFD. And its proof is based on division algorithm.

Next we will make that argument as general as possible.

## Lecture 27: Euclidean domains

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 5:07 PM

Def. An integral domain  $D$  is called a Euclidean domain if

$$\exists N: D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \text{ s.t. (1) } N(d) \geq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$N(d) = 0 \iff d = 0.$$

$$(2) \forall a, b \in D \setminus \{0\}, \exists q, r \in D \text{ s.t.}$$

$$a = bq + r \text{ and}$$

$$N(r) < N(b).$$

( $q$  is called a quotient of  $a$  divided by  $b$ , and

$r$  is called a remainder of  $a$  divided by  $b$ .)

• Because of the division algorithm  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a Euclidean Domain.

(Here  $N: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ ,  $N(a) := |a|$ .)

• Because of the long division in  $F[x]$  where  $F$  is a field,  $F[x]$  is a Euclidean Domain.

(Here  $N: F[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ ,  $N(f(x)) := 2^{\deg f}$ ; with the convention  $2^{-\infty} = 0$ .)

In the next lecture we will prove that the ring of Gaussian integers  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a+bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a Euclidean domain.

## Lecture 27: A Euclidean domain is a PID

Wednesday, December 6, 2017 10:02 AM

Def. An integral domain  $D$  is called a Principal Ideal Domain (PID) if any ideal is principal.

Theorem. Any Euclidean Domain is a PID.

Pf. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a non-zero ideal of  $D$ ; and for  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$

$$N(a_0) = \min \{ N(a) \mid a \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

Claim.  $\mathcal{A} = \langle a_0 \rangle$ .

Pf of claim. Clearly  $\langle a_0 \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$\exists q, r \in A \text{ s.t. } a = a_0 q + r \text{ and } N(r) < N(a_0).$$

$$\Rightarrow r = a - a_0 q \in \mathcal{A} \quad (*)$$

Since  $N(r) < N(a_0)$  and  $N(a_0) = \min \{ N(b) \mid b \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\} \}$ ,

by (\*) we deduce  $r=0$ ; this implies  $a = a_0 q \in \langle a_0 \rangle$ .

Hence  $\mathcal{A} = \langle a_0 \rangle$ . ■

Corollary.  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $F[x]$  where  $F$  is a field,  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are PID.

You will show that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is NOT a PID. We will prove that a PID is a UFD.