Lecture 12: Order of elements in a symmetric group  
Tweaday, October 24, 2017 8:39 PM  
In the previous lecture are proved that any ore S<sub>n</sub> can be arritten  
as a product of disjoint cycles, and this decomposition is unique  
up to reordering its factors.  
Recall. Suppose G is a group, g, g\_eG are torsion; that  
means 
$$o(g_1), o(g_2) < o$$
. If  $g_1g_2 = g_2g_1$ , then  
 $G(n) \quad o(g_1g_2) = 1.c.m.(o(g_1), o(g_2))$ .  
Corollary. Suppose the cycle decomposition of or is given  
by  $T_1 \dots T_m$ ; and length of  $T_1$  is  $f_1$ . Then  
 $o(o^n) = 1.c.m.(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m)$ .  
PF. One can easily prove this using  $G(n)$ , induction on  $m$ ,  
and the fact that the order of a k-cycle is k-1. **S**  
Def. The cycle type of a permutation ore S<sub>n</sub> is  
the partition of n given by the size of orbits  $<\sigma > \cdot i$ .  
Ex.  $2 + 6 + \frac{1}{3} = 0$  or  $\cdots$ 

Lecture 12: Cycle type and conjugation Tuesday, October 24, 2017 9:50 PM  $\underline{Ex} \xrightarrow{1} \qquad ()$ 1+1+2+2 is the cycle type of or. Next we will see that two permutations are conjugate in Sn if and only if they have the same cycle type.  $\underline{\operatorname{Lemma}} \cdot \mathcal{O}(\underbrace{i_1 \cdots i_k}_{\mathsf{T}}) \mathcal{O}^{-1} = (\mathcal{O}(i_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}(i_k))$  $\underline{PF} \cdot i \notin \{ \sigma(i_1), \dots, \sigma(i_k) \} \Leftrightarrow \sigma^{-1}(i) \notin \{ i_1, \dots, i_k \}$  $\Leftrightarrow \tau(\mathbf{o}^{-1}(\mathbf{i})) = \mathbf{o}^{-1}(\mathbf{i})$  $\iff (\circ \tau \circ^{-1})(i) = i$  $\cdot \left( \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \right) \left( \sigma \left( \mathbf{i}_{j} \right) \right) = \sigma \tau \left( \mathbf{i}_{j} \right) = \$ \sigma \left( \mathbf{i}_{j+1} \right) \quad \text{if } j \neq k$  $o(i_1)$  if j=k. Proposition  $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in S_n$  are conjugate  $\leftarrow$  they have the same cycle type. Pf. (=+) Suppose  $T_1 \cdots T_n$  is the cycle decomp. of  $\mathcal{O}_1$ . And  $O_2 = OO_1 O^{-1}$ . Then  $O_2 = (O T_1 O^{-1}) \cdots (O T_m O^{-1})$ , and by the previous lemma,  $\sigma T_i \sigma^{-1}$  is a cycle, it has

Lecture 12: Cycle type and conjugation Tuesday, October 24, 2017 10:03 PM the same length as T;, and supp (or Tio-1) = or (supp Ti). Since O is a bijection and supp  $T_i \cap \text{Supp } T_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $\sigma(\operatorname{supp} T_i) \land \sigma(\operatorname{supp} T_j) = \emptyset \cdot So(\sigma T_1 \sigma^{-1}) \cdot (\sigma T_m \sigma^{-1})$ is the cycle decomposition of  $o_2 = o o_1 o^{-1}$ . Hence of and  $\sigma_2$  are of the same cycle type.  $(\leftarrow)$  Suppose  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  have the same cycle type; say  $P_1 + \dots + P_m = n$ ,  $P_1 \ge P_2 \ge \dots \ge P_m$  is their common cycle type · So  $\sigma_1 = (-, ..., -)(-, ..., -) \cdots (-, ..., -)$ /  $P_1$  /  $P_2$  /  $\cdots$  /  $P_m$  / is a partition of  $\xi_1, 2, ..., n\xi_1$  .... and  $\sigma_2 = (-, ..., -)(-, ..., -)$   $P_1$   $P_2$   $P_m$ So the above or is a bijection; that means ore Sn. And by the previous lemma  $OO_1O^{-1} = O_2$ ; and the claim follows.