

Basic computations in a ring

Monday, August 7, 2017 3:29 PM

Lemma. Let R be a ring. For any $a, b \in R$, we have

$$\textcircled{1} \quad 0 \cdot a = a \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad (-a) \cdot b = -ab = a \cdot (-b)$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad (-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b$$

Pf. $\textcircled{1} \quad 0 \cdot a = (0+0) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot a$

In the abelian group $(R, +)$ we have cancellation. So

$$0 = 0 \cdot a$$

$a \cdot 0 = a \cdot (0+0) = a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0$. So again using the cancellation property we have $a \cdot 0 = 0$.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad a \cdot b + (-a) \cdot b = (a + (-a)) \cdot b = 0 \cdot b = 0$$

So $(-a) \cdot b = -a \cdot b$.

$$a \cdot b + a \cdot (-b) = a \cdot (b + (-b)) = a \cdot 0 = 0$$

So $a \cdot (-b) = -a \cdot b$.

$$\textcircled{3} \quad (-a) \cdot (-b) = -(-a) \cdot b = -(-a \cdot b) = a \cdot b$$

(we have used part $\textcircled{2}$ twice.) ■

In group theory, you have learned that in an additive group $(R, +)$ for any $a \in R$, the subgroup generated by a is $\{na \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

Characteristic of a unital ring.

Monday, August 7, 2017 3:47 PM

Lemma. Suppose R is a unital ring. Then $c: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$,

$c(n) = n1_R$ is a ring homomorphism.

Proof. In group theory, you have seen that c is an abelian group homomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}, +) \rightarrow (R, +)$.

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, c(m)c(n) = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{R} + \dots + \frac{1}{R}\right)}_m \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{R} + \dots + \frac{1}{R}\right)}_n$$

$$\stackrel{\text{distribution}}{=} \underbrace{\frac{1}{R} \times \frac{1}{R} + \dots + \frac{1}{R} \times \frac{1}{R}}_{mn} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{R} + \dots + \frac{1}{R}}_{mn}$$

$$= c(mn).$$

$$\begin{aligned} c(-m)c(n) &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{-1}{R} + \dots + \frac{-1}{R}\right)}_m \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{R} + \dots + \frac{1}{R}\right)}_n \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{-1}{R}\right) \times \frac{1}{R} + \dots + \left(\frac{-1}{R}\right) \times \frac{1}{R}}_{mn} \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{-1}{R}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{-1}{R}\right)}_{mn} = -mn \frac{1}{R} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can get $c(m)c(-n) = c(-mn)$ and $c(-m)c(-n) = c(mn)$.

$$c(0)c(\pm n) = 0 \cdot c(\pm n) = 0 = c(0)$$

$$c(\pm m)c(0) = c(\pm m) \cdot 0 = 0 = c(0). \quad \blacksquare$$

Def. The characteristic of a ring R is zero if

there is no positive integer n such that $nx=0$ for any $x \in R$.

Characteristic of a ring

Monday, August 28, 2017 7:41 PM

If for some positive integer n we have $nx=0$ for any $x \in R$, the characteristic of R is the smallest such number.

So $\text{char}(R)x=0$ for any $x \in R$.

Proposition. Let $l = \text{l.c.m.} \{ \text{ord}(x) \mid x \in R \}$ where $\text{ord}(x)$ is the order of x in $(R, +)$. If $l < \infty$, then $\text{char}(R) = l$. If $l = \infty$, then $\text{char}(R) = 0$.

Pf. From group theory we know $nx=0$ if and only if $\text{ord}(x) \mid n$.

So if $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\forall x \in R$, $nx=0$, then $\text{ord}(x)$'s have a common multiple as x ranges in R . And so

$$\text{char}(R) \neq 0 \iff l = \text{l.c.m.} \{ \text{ord}(x) \mid x \in R \} < \infty.$$

$\forall x \in R$, $\text{char}(R)x=0$ implies $\text{ord}(x) \mid \text{char}(R)$. Hence $l \mid \text{char}(R)$.

If $\text{char}(R) \neq 0$, $l \mid \text{char}(R)$ implies $l \leq \text{char}(R)$.

$\forall x \in R$, $\text{ord}(x) \mid l \Rightarrow lx=0$; this implies $\text{char}(R) \leq l$.

Therefore, if $\text{char}(R) \neq 0$, then $\text{char}(R) = l$. ■

Next we will find the characteristic of a unital ring.

Characteristic of a unital ring

Monday, August 7, 2017 4:46 PM

Lemma. For a unital ring R , $\text{l.c.m.}\{\text{ord}(x) \mid x \in R\} = \text{ord}(1_R)$.

And so $\text{char}(R) = \begin{cases} \text{ord}(1_R) & \text{if } \text{ord}(1_R) < \infty \\ 0 & \text{if } \text{ord}(1_R) = \infty. \end{cases}$

Pf. If $\text{ord}(1_R) = \infty$, then by the definition of the character.

of a ring, we have $\text{char}(R) = 0$. And the claim follows.

If $\text{ord}(1_R) = n < \infty$, then $n 1_R = 0$. So for any $a \in R$, we have

$(n 1_R) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a = 0$, which implies

$$0 = (\underbrace{1_R + \dots + 1_R}_n) \cdot a = \underbrace{a + \dots + a}_n = na.$$

And so $\text{ord}(a) \mid n$; and the claim follows. ■

Lemma. Let $c: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$, $c(a) = a 1_{\mathbb{Z}_n}$. Then

$c(a)$ is the remainder of a divided by n .

Pf. Suppose q is the quotient and r is the remainder of

a divided by n . Then $a = nq + r$. So

$$c(a) = (nq + r) 1_{\mathbb{Z}_n} = r 1_{\mathbb{Z}_n} = \underbrace{1_{\mathbb{Z}_n} + \dots + 1_{\mathbb{Z}_n}}_r = r.$$

Since
 $n 1_{\mathbb{Z}_n} = 0$

Homomorphisms between \mathbb{Z}_n 's

Monday, August 7, 2017 5:00 PM

Propositions. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$c_{m,n}: \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$, $c_{m,n}(a) = a \cdot 1_{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ is a homomorphism if and only if $n \mid m$.

Pf. (\Rightarrow) If $c_{m,n}$ is a group homomorphism from $(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$ to $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot 1_{\mathbb{Z}_n} &= m \cdot c_{m,n}(1_{\mathbb{Z}_m}) = c_{m,n}(m \cdot 1_{\mathbb{Z}_m}) \\ &= c_{m,n}(0_{\mathbb{Z}_m}) = 0_{\mathbb{Z}_n}. \end{aligned}$$

So the additive order of $1_{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ should divide m , which means $n \mid m$.

$$(\Leftarrow) \cdot c_{m,n}(a \oplus_m b) = (a \oplus_m b) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{Z}_n} \stackrel{n}{\equiv} a \oplus_m b$$

$$a \oplus_m b \stackrel{m}{\equiv} a + b, \text{ which means } m \mid a + b - a \oplus_m b.$$

Since $n \mid m$, we get that $n \mid a + b - a \oplus_m b$. So

$$a \oplus_m b \stackrel{n}{\equiv} a + b. \text{ Hence}$$

$$c_{m,n}(a \oplus_m b) \stackrel{n}{\equiv} a + b \stackrel{n}{\equiv} a \oplus_n b. \text{ Thus } c_{m,n}(a \oplus_m b) = a \oplus_n b.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad & \left. \begin{aligned} c_{m,n}(a \otimes_m b) &= (a \otimes_m b) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{Z}_n} \stackrel{n}{\equiv} a \otimes_m b \\ a \otimes_m b &\stackrel{m}{\equiv} ab \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow a \otimes_m b \stackrel{n}{\equiv} ab \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} c_{m,n}(a \otimes_m b) &= (a \otimes_m b) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{Z}_n} \stackrel{n}{\equiv} a \otimes_m b \\ a \otimes_m b &\stackrel{m}{\equiv} ab \end{aligned}} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{(next page)}$$

Modern Chinese remainder theorem

Monday, August 7, 2017 5:15 PM

$c_{m,n}(a \circ_m b) \stackrel{n}{\equiv} ab \stackrel{n}{\equiv} a \circ_n b$, which implies

$$c_{m,n}(a \circ_m b) = a \circ_n b.$$

And so $c_{m,n}$ is a homomorphism. ■

Remark. If $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $n \mid m$, then the following is a "commutative diagram"

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & & \\ \swarrow c_m & \searrow c_n & \\ \mathbb{Z}_m & \xrightarrow{c_{m,n}} & \mathbb{Z}_n \end{array}$$

where $c_m(a) = a \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$
and $c_n(a) = a \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_n}$;

this means $c_n = c_{m,n} \circ c_m$.

Theorem. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\gcd(r, s) = 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{Z}_{rs} \cong \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s.$$

Proof. Let $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_{rs} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$ be

$$\phi(a) = (c_{rs,r}(a), c_{rs,s}(a)). \text{ Then}$$

$$\phi(ab) = (c_{rs,r}(ab), c_{rs,s}(ab))$$

$$= (c_{rs,r}(a) c_{rs,r}(b), c_{rs,s}(a) c_{rs,s}(b))$$

$$= (c_{rs,r}(a), c_{rs,s}(a)) (c_{rs,r}(b), c_{rs,s}(b)) = \phi(a) \phi(b).$$

And similarly one can show $\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$

Notice that, since $r \mid rs$ and $s \mid rs$, by Proposition $c_{rs,r}$ and

Modern Chinese remainder theorem

Monday, August 7, 2017 10:17 PM

$c_{rs,s}$ are homomorphisms.

Since ϕ is a group homomorphism, it is injective if and only if its kernel is $\{0\}$.

$$\phi(a) = 0 \iff c_{rs,r}(a) = 0 \text{ and } c_{rs,s}(a) = 0$$

$$\iff a \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_r} = 0 \text{ and } a \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_s} = 0$$

$$\iff r \mid a \text{ and } s \mid a$$

Since the additive order of $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_r}$ is r

$$\iff rs \mid a$$

$$\iff a = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{rs}.$$

since $\gcd(r,s) = 1$

[Recall. If $\gcd(r,s) = 1$, then $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$rx + sy = 1. \text{ So } a = arx + asy.$$

$$\begin{aligned} r \mid a &\Rightarrow a = rk \\ s \mid a &\Rightarrow a = sl \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= slrx + rk sy \\ &= rs (\underbrace{lx + ky}_{\text{an integer}}) \end{aligned}$$

So $rs \mid a.$]

Hence ϕ is injective. Since $|\mathbb{Z}_{rs}| = rs = |\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s|$, we get that ϕ is also surjective. ■

Euler's phi function

Monday, August 7, 2017 10:33 PM

Def. Let R be a unital ring. An element $x \in R$ is called a unit if $\exists x' \in R$ such that $xx' = x'x = 1$.

The set of all the units of R is denoted by $U(R)$.

Ex. $U(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$

Ex. $U(\mathbb{Z}) = \{1, -1\}$

Pf. $a \in U(\mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow \exists a' \in \mathbb{Z}, aa' = 1$
 $\Rightarrow |a||a'| = 1 \Rightarrow 0 < |a| \leq 1$
 $\Rightarrow |a| = 1 \Rightarrow a = 1 \text{ or } -1.$

$1 \times 1 = 1$ and $(-1) \times (-1) = 1.$

So $1, -1 \in U(\mathbb{Z}).$ ■

Lemma. $U(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \gcd(x, n) = 1\}.$

Pf. $x \in U(\mathbb{Z}_n) \Rightarrow \exists x' \in \mathbb{Z}_n, x \circ_n x' = 1$
 $\Rightarrow \exists x' \in \mathbb{Z}, xx' \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$
 $\Rightarrow \exists x', k \in \mathbb{Z}, xx' - 1 = nk$
 $\Rightarrow \exists x', k \in \mathbb{Z}, xx' - nk = 1 \Rightarrow \gcd(x, n) = 1.$

$\gcd(x, n) = 1 \Rightarrow \exists r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, xr + ns = 1$

$\Rightarrow xr \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

$\Rightarrow x \circ_n r = 1 \Rightarrow x \in U(\mathbb{Z}_n). \quad \blacksquare$

Def. (The Euler ϕ -function) For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $\phi(n) = |U(\mathbb{Z}_n)|.$

Proposition. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and suppose $\gcd(r, s) = 1.$

Then $\phi(rs) = \phi(r)\phi(s)$, where ϕ is the Euler func.

Pf. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem $\exists f: \mathbb{Z}_{rs} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s.$

Division ring and field

Monday, August 7, 2017 10:52 PM

$$\begin{aligned}x \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{rs}) &\iff f(x) \in U(\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s) \quad (\text{why?}) \\ &\iff f(x) \in U(\mathbb{Z}_r) \times U(\mathbb{Z}_s) \quad (\text{why?})\end{aligned}$$

So $|U(\mathbb{Z}_{rs})| = |U(\mathbb{Z}_r)| |U(\mathbb{Z}_s)|$. Hence $\phi(rs) = \phi(r)\phi(s)$. ■

Def. A unital ring D is called a division ring if

$U(D) = D \setminus \{0\}$; that means any non-zero element is a unit (has an inverse).

• A commutative division ring is called a field.

Exercise. Show that $H = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z & \omega \\ -\bar{\omega} & \bar{z} \end{bmatrix} \mid \omega, z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$ is a non-commutative division ring.

[Hint. Assuming H is a ring, let's show $U(H) = H \setminus \{0\}$.

Recall that $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{bmatrix} z & \omega \\ -\bar{\omega} & \bar{z} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{z \cdot \bar{z} + \omega \cdot \bar{\omega}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{z} & -\omega \\ \bar{\omega} & z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{|z|^2 + |\omega|^2} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{z} & -\omega \\ \bar{\omega} & z \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } a = \frac{\bar{z}}{|z|^2 + |\omega|^2} \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$b = -\omega / |z|^2 + |\omega|^2.$$

Notice that, if $\begin{bmatrix} z & \omega \\ -\bar{\omega} & \bar{z} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$, then $|z|^2 + |\omega|^2 \neq 0$.]