Lecture 12: Kernel of an evaluation map

Saturday, February 23, 2019

Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is an algebraic number; that means α is

a zero of a polynomial p(x) = Q[X] \ {03. Let

Φ. Q[x] → C be the evaluation at α. Then

ker of = 2 f(x) ∈ Q[x] | f(a) = 0 g. Here are two

basic properties of ker &:

(a) $f_1, f_2 \in \ker \varphi_{\alpha} \Rightarrow f_1 + f_2 \in \ker \varphi_{\alpha}$ $f_1(\alpha) = f_2(\alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow f_1(\alpha) + f_2(\alpha) = 0$

(b) feker &, g∈Q[x] → g(x).f(x) ∈ ker &.

$$f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow g(x) \cdot f(x) = (g(x))(0) = 0$$

Next we consider a subset of a unital commutative ring A with the above properties:

Def. Let A be a unital commutative ring; I = A is called

an ideal if $\mathbb{O} \forall x, y \in \mathbb{I}, x-y \in \mathbb{I}$ (additive subap)

2) YaeA, xeI, axeI.

We write IAA. (or I AA.)

Lecture 12: A historical note on ideals

Wednesday, August 23, 2017

A historical note . In order to solve Fermat's last conjecture, which says the only integer solutions of $x^n+y^n=z^n$ are the trivial ones if $n\geq 3$, Kummer studied rings of the form $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ where ζ_n is an n^{t-1} root of unity. In such rings an element does not necessarily of unique factorization into "prime" factors; but Kummer showed in appropriate sense ideals do have such a unique factorization; and he called them ideal numbers Later Dedekind, Hilbert, and Noether developed the theory of ideals for general rings.

(In one of the exercises you are working with $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, where ω is a 3rd root of unity.)

Ex. 203 and R are ideals of R for any ring R.

 $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_{x}$. Suppose R is a unital ring, $I \triangleleft R$, and $I \in I$. Then I = R.

Pf. Since $1 \in I$ and I is an ideal, for any reR we have $r \cdot 1 = r \in I$. So I = R.

Lecture 12: Proper ideals do not have units

Friday, August 25, 2017

12:42 PM

Ex. Suppose R is a unital ring, and IdR.

If In $R^* \neq \emptyset$, then I=R. (Alternatively we can

say: if I is a proper ideal of R, then $I_n R^x = \emptyset$.)

<u>Pf.</u> Suppose $a \in I \cap R^X$. Then, since I is an ideal and $a \in I$,

 $(a^{-1})(a) = 1 \in I$. So by the previous example I = R.

Ex. Suppose F is a field. Then IdF if and only if either

I= {o} or I=F.

Pt. If $I \neq \{0\}$, then $I \cap (F \setminus \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$. Since $F = F \setminus \{0\}$

are get that $I \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Hence by the previous example I = F.

Lemma. Id Z if and only if In \(\mathbb{Z} \), I = n \(\mathbb{Z} = \frac{3}{2} n \ k \ k \in \mathbb{Z} \frac{3}{2}.

 $\frac{\mathbb{P} \cdot (+) \cdot x = n k, y = n l \Rightarrow x - y = n k - n l = n (k - l)}{+ x - y \in n \mathbb{Z}}$

 $\chi = nk, re \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow r\chi = n(kr)$ $\stackrel{\sim}{e}\mathbb{Z}$ $\Rightarrow r\chi \in n\mathbb{Z}.$

Lecture 12: Ideals of the ring of integers

Thursday, August 24, 2017

 \Leftrightarrow In fact any subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z},+)$ is of the form $n\mathbb{Z}$, for some $n\in\mathbb{Z}$:

If I=0, then there is nothing to prove.

If $\exists x \in I \setminus \{0\}$, then either $x \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\dagger}$ or $-x \in I \cap \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{\dagger}$. So $I \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\dagger}$ is a non-empty subset of \mathbb{Z}^{\dagger} . Hence by the well-ordering principle $I \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\dagger}$ has a minimum; let $n = \min I \cap \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{\dagger}$. Then, as I is subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, we get that $n \mathbb{Z} \subseteq I$.

Claim. nZ = I.

If of claim. Suppose me I. By the division algorithm

 $\exists (q,r) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \bigcirc m = nq + r,$

2 0≤r< n.

So $r=m-nq \in I$ as $m, nq \in I$. Since n is the smallest element of $I \cap \mathbb{Z}^+$ and r < n, we deduce that $r \not \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}^+$. As $r \in I$ and $r \not \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}^+$, we get that $r \not \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Because $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and o < r < n, we have r = o; this

Lecture 12: Ideals and principal ideals

Thursday, August 24, 2017

implies m=nq∈nZ. ■

Def. Lemma. Suppose A is a unital commutative ring.

Then for any a e A the set a A of all multiples of a

is an ideal of A. This type of ideal is called a principal

ideal.

 $\underbrace{Pf} \cdot b_1, b_2 \in A \Rightarrow \exists a_1, a_2 \in A, b_1 = aa_1, b_2 = aa_2$

 $\Rightarrow b_1 + b_2 = \alpha a_1 + \alpha a_2 = \alpha (a_1 + a_2) \in A$

beaA = ∃a'eA, b=aa'

 $\Rightarrow \forall \alpha' \in A, \alpha' b = \alpha''(\alpha\alpha')$

 $= a(\tilde{a}a') \in aA.$

We have seen that any ideal of Z is principal.

Def. An integral domain D is called a

Principal Ideal Domain (PID) if any ideal is principal.

Ex. Z is a PID.

Lecture 12: F[x] is a PID

Thursday, August 24, 2017

11:14 PM

Theorem. Let F be a field. Then F[x] is a PID.

(Its proof is fairly similar to the previous proof, and it is

based on the division algorithm in FIXI. This method can

be applied for other rings as well.)

Proof. Let Id F[x]. If I= {0}, there is nothing to prove.

If not, let f(x) ∈ I be such that

deg f = min { deg g | geI, g + o}.

(By the well-ordering principle there is such a polynomial fo).

Claim. $I = f_o(x) F[x]$.

Pf of claim. Suppose $gcx \in I$. Then by the division algorithm there are q, re F[x] such that

- 2) degr<degf.

Since to(x) = I and I is an ideal, we have to any on = I.

Lecture 12: F[x] is a PID.

Thursday, August 24, 2017 11:32 PM

As gaxeI and faxqaxeI, we get that

$$r(x) = g(x) - f_{\bullet}(x) q(x) \in I$$
.

Since deg fo = min & deg f | feI, f = o &, deg r < deg fo, and re I, we deduce that r=0; this implies

$$g(x) = f_0(x) g(x) \in f_0(x) F[x]$$

Going back to ker of where a E C is an algebraic number, we have that ker of & [X]. Using the previous theorem we deduce:

Lemma. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is an algebraic number. Then there is a unique monic polynomial $m_{\alpha}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that ker $\phi_{\alpha} = m_{\alpha}(x) Q[x];$ this means α is a zero of α polynomial fix = QIXI if and only if max | fix). In particular m (x) has smallest degree among non-zero polynomials in QIXI that have a as a zero. mack) is called the minimal polynomial of a over Q.

Lecture 12: Minimal polynomial

Saturday, February 23, 2019 8:33 PM

pp. ker $\phi_{\alpha} \triangleleft Q[X]$ $\Rightarrow \exists m_{\alpha}(x) \in Q[x] \text{ s.t.}$ $Q[X] \text{ is a PID} \qquad \text{ker } \phi_{\alpha} = m_{\alpha}(x) Q[X].$

Since α is algebraic, $\exists f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \setminus \frac{3}{2}0^{\frac{3}{2}}$, $f(\alpha) = 0$; and so ker $\phi_{\alpha} \neq 0$. Hence $m_{\alpha}(x) \neq 0$. So after multiplying by the inverse of the leading coefficient of $m_{\alpha}(x)$, we can and will assume $m_{\alpha}(x)$ is a monic polynomial.

Uniqueness. Suppose $m_1(x) Q[x] = m_2(x) Q[x]$ for two monic polynomials. Then $m_1(x) = m_2(x) q(x)$ and $m_2(x) = m_1(x) q(x)$; and so $m_1(x) = m_1(x) q(x) q(x)$.

Hence $q_1, q_1' = 1$, which implies $q_1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]^* = \mathbb{Q}^*$.

Thus $m_1(x) = q_1 m_2(x)$ implies the leading coeff

of m₁ is q times the leading coreff. of m₂. As

m and m₂ are monic, we deduce $q_1=1$ and $m_1(x)=m_2(x)$.

The rest of claims are clear.