### Lecture 04: Characteristic

Friday, January 18, 2019

8:52 AM

In the previous lecture are proved that char A = 1.c.m. o(a).

Next are get a much better result for a unital ring:

Proposition. Suppose A is a unital ring. If o(1) xoo, then

char  $A = o(1_A)$ ; if  $o(1_A) = \infty$ , then char A = 0.

 $\underline{PP} \cdot P \circ (\underline{I}_{\lambda}) = \infty$ , then  $\underline{A} \cap \underline{Z}^{\dagger}$ ,  $\underline{I}_{\lambda} = 0$ ; and so  $\underline{C}_{\lambda} = \emptyset$ .

Therefore char A=0.

Let  $n := o(1_A)$ . We will show that n = 1.c.m o(a).  $a \in A$ 

 $n_{A}^{1} = 0 \Rightarrow \forall \alpha \in A, (n_{A}) \alpha = 0 \Rightarrow n_{A} = 0$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  oan |n|.

Hence n is a common multiple of o(a)'s; thus

1.c.m. o(a) ≤ n. (I) a∈A

On the other hand I.c.m. o(a) is a (positive) multiple a A

of  $O(1_A)$ ; and so 1.c.m.  $o(a) \ge O(1_A) = n$  (II)  $a \in A$ 

(T) and (II) imply  $O(1_A) = 1 \cdot c \cdot m \cdot O(a)$ . And by the

result proved in the previous lecture claim follows.

#### Lecture 04: Characteristic

Friday, January 18, 2019

 $\underline{\mathsf{E}} \mathsf{x}$ . Find char  $(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \mathsf{x} \cdots \mathsf{x} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k})$ .

Solution. Since Zn;'s are unital rings, so is their direct

product (as you showed it in your HW assignment). Hence

char  $(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}) = 0$  (the identity)

 $= o(1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n_l}}, ..., 1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n_k}})$  (the same HW)

Let  $m := o(1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}}, ..., 1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n_k}})$ . So m is the smallest positive

integer s.t.  $m(1_{Z_{n_1}},...,1_{Z_{n_n}}) = (0,...,a)$ . Notice

 $m(1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}},...,1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n_k}}) = (0,...,0) \iff (m1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}},...,m1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n_k}}) = (0,...,0)$ 

← m 1<sub>Zn</sub> = 0, ..., m 1<sub>Zn</sub> = 0

 $\Leftrightarrow o(1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}}) \mid m, ..., o(1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n_k}}) \mid m$ 

m is a common multiple of n, ..., nk.

Hence smallest positive integer with this property is

lc.m.  $(n_1,...,n_k)$ . So overall char  $(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}) = |c.m.(n_1,...,n_k)$ .

Friday, January 18, 2019

9:18 AM

Def. A unital commutative ring D is called an integral domain if  $0 \neq 1$  and D has no zero-divisor.

Ex. Z is not an integral domain as 2 = 0 and 2x2=0.

. Z, Q, R, and C are integral domains.

more special;

these are fields.

Proposition In a unital ring A, D(A)  $A^{\times} = \emptyset$  where D(A) is the set of all zero-divisors of A. In particular a field F is an integral domain.

Pf. Suppose to the contrary that  $a \in D(A) \cap A^{\times}$ . So  $a \neq 0$  and  $\exists a' \in A \setminus \frac{2}{2}0\frac{3}{2}$ , a a' = 0 and  $\exists a' \in A \setminus \frac{2}{2}0\frac{3}{2}$ , a a' = 0 and  $\exists a' \in A \setminus \frac{2}{2}0\frac{3}{2}$ .  $a \in D(A)$ 

 $\Rightarrow \alpha'(\alpha\alpha') = \alpha' \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha' = 0 \text{ which is a}$   $(\alpha'\alpha)\alpha' = 1 \cdot \alpha' = \alpha' \text{ contradiction}.$ 

. Since F is a field, it is a unital commutative ring and  $o \neq 1$ . Therefore to show F is an integral domain, it is

Friday, January 18, 2019 9:27 AM

enough to show DCF) = Ø. By the first part of this proposition

 $D(F) \cap F^{\times} = \emptyset$ ; and so  $D(F) \subseteq complement of <math>F^{\times}$ .

As Fx=F, 208, we deduce that D(F) \( \{ \} \) 8 ince

o is not a zero-divisor, DCF) = ø; and claim follows.

Notice that Z is an integral domain which is not a field; and

so the converse of the above statement is not true in

general. Next we see that when a ring is finite then

the converse holds as well.

Theorem. A finite integral domain D is a field.

Pf. Since D is an integral domain, it is a unital commutative

ring and 0 = 1. So to show it is a field, it is enough to

prove any non-zero element is a unit; that means we have

to show YaeD1803, Ja'eD, aa'=1. (Similar to the

proof of Cayley's theorem in group theory are make use

of  $l_a:D \rightarrow D$ ,  $l_a(x):=ax$ .) Let  $l_a:D \rightarrow D$ ,  $l_a(x)=ax$ .

Friday, January 18, 2019

we have to show that  $\exists a' \in D$  s.t. aa' = 1 which is equivalent to saying El Image of La. So it is enough to show la is surgective. We will prove that isla injective, and then using pigeonhole and the assumption that D is finite, we deduce that ha is surjective and claim would

follow.

Injectivity of la.  $l_{\alpha}(x_1) = l_{\alpha}(x_2) \Rightarrow \alpha x_1 = \alpha x_2$ now we have to show that we can cancel out a.

 $\alpha x_1 = \alpha x_2 \Rightarrow \alpha x_1 - \alpha x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha (x_1 - x_2) = 0$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  either a=0 or  $\chi_1-\chi_2=0$  as D has no zero-divisor  $\Rightarrow \chi_1-\chi_2=0 \Rightarrow \chi_1=\chi_2$  (as  $\neq 0$ )

(we showed the cancellation law.)
Since IDI<00 and -la:DD is injective, la is surjective.

Hence I & Image of la, which means  $\exists a' \in D$ ,  $l_a(a') = 1$ ,

and so aa'=1; and claim follows.

Recall. Suppose X and Y are two Pinite sets and IXI=IYI.

Suppose f: X Y is a function. Then the following are

equivalent: (a) f is injective; (b) f is surjective;

(c) f is bijective;

(a) => (b) Suppose of is not surjective. Think about elements

of X as "pigeons", elements of Y as "pigeonholes", and

t as a way of assigning pigeonholes to pigeons. Since

f is not surjective, we have at least one less pigeonhole

to assign to pigeons. So by the pigeonhole principle at least

two pigeons should be assigned to the same pigeonholes;

but this means f is not injective which is a contradiction

(b) ⇒(c) We have to show f is injective. If not, let's say

the 1st and the 2nd pigeons are sharing a pigeonhole. So

only n-2 pigeons remain; and they cannot cover the

n-1 remaining pigeonholes. This contradicts surjectivity of f.

Friday, January 18, 2019

10:07 AM

Theorem. Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(a)  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a field, (b)  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is an integral domain, (c) n is prime

 $\frac{PF}{A}$  (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) A field is an integral domain

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) If not, n is either 1 or ab for some 0 < a, b < n

In  $\mathbb{Z}_1$ , o=1; and so it is not an integral domain which is a contradiction.

. If n=ab for some o<a,b<n, then

a, b \in  $\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0 \mathbb{S}$  and ab=0 in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ; and so a and b are zero-divisors in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , which implies  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is not an integral domain. This is a contradiction.

 $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$  We proved this in the previous lecture.  $\blacksquare$ 

As we have seen, an integral domain is not necessarily a field, e.g.

 $\mathbb{Z}$ . Any integral domain, however, can be embedded in a field and there is the smallest such field, e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}\subseteq\mathbb{Q}$ .

### Lecture 04: Field of fractions

Friday, January 18, 2019

For instance in the case of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , if a field F contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a subring, then  $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\frac{1}{m}$  exists in F, and so for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\frac{n}{m}$  exists in F, which means there is a copy of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in F.

Our goal is to show for any integral domain D there is the smallest field Q(D) that contains a copy of D; Q(D) is called the field of fractions of D.

We will use Q as a guide for the construction of Q(D). That means we will make sense of fractions  $\frac{1}{b}$  for  $a \in D$  and  $b \in D \setminus \frac{3}{2} \circ \frac{5}{5}$ . One might be tempted to consider  $D \times (D \setminus \frac{5}{2} \circ \frac{5}{5})$  to be the set for Q(D); viewing first component as the numerator and the  $2^{nd}$  component as the denominator. The problem with this native approach is that  $\frac{ar}{br} = \frac{a}{b}$  for any  $r \in D \setminus \frac{3}{2} \circ \frac{3}{5}$ . So we need to treat (a,b) and (ar,br) as equal. And in general we need to treat  $(a_1,b_1)$  and  $(a_2,b_3)$ 

### Lecture 04: Field of fractions

Friday, January 18, 2019 12:

as equal if 
$$a_1b_2 = a_2b_1$$
  $\left(\frac{a_1}{b_1} = \frac{a_2}{b_2} \neq a_1b_2 = a_2b_1\right)$ .

That is only we collect all such pairs in a subset and consider the collection of these subsets. Intuitively each subset

consists of pairs that represent the same fraction; let

$$[(a,b)] := \{(a',b') \in \mathcal{D} \times (\mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}) \mid ab' = a'b\}.$$

Theorem.  $\{[(a,b)] \mid (a,b) \in D \times (D \setminus \{0\})\}$  is a partition of  $D \times (D \setminus \{0\})$ .

We will prove this in a several steps:

Step 1.  $(c,d) \in [(a,b)] \Rightarrow (a,b) \in [(c,d)]$ 

 $\underline{\mathcal{H}} \cdot (c,d) \in [(a,b)] \Rightarrow cb = ad \Rightarrow (a,b) \in [cc,d]$ 

Step 2.  $(c,d) \in [(a,b)] \} \Rightarrow (e,f) \in [(a,b)].$  $(e,f) \in [(c,d)] \}$ 

Pf.  $(c,d) \in [(a,b)] \Rightarrow cb = ad \Rightarrow ecb = ead \Rightarrow ecb = ea$ 

### Lecture 04: Field of fractions

Friday, January 18, 2019

$$0 = cb = ad \Rightarrow a = 0 \text{ or } d = 0$$
  $\Rightarrow a = 0$   $\Rightarrow af = be$ 

$$o = cf = ed \implies e = o \text{ or } d = o \implies e = o$$

$$d \neq o$$

And so again (e,f) = [(a,b].

Step 3. 
$$(c,d) \in [(a,b)] \Rightarrow [(a,b)] = [(c,d)]$$
.

$$\underline{P}$$
:  $(c,d) \in [a,b] \Rightarrow [cc,d) \subseteq [a,b]$  by step 2.

$$(c,d) \in [(a,b)] \Rightarrow (a,b) \in [(c,d)]$$

$$\Rightarrow [(a,b)] \subset [(c,q)]$$

(1) and (11) imply 
$$I(a,b)J = I(c,d)J$$
.

Step 4. 
$$[(a,b)] \cap [(a',b')] \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [(a,b)] = [(a',b')].$$

Pf. 
$$(c,b) \in \Gamma(a,b) ] \cap \Gamma(a',b') ] \Rightarrow$$

Step 5. () 
$$[(a,b)] = D \times (D \setminus \{a,b\})$$

$$\mathbb{R}$$
.  $ab-ab=0 \Rightarrow (a,b) \in [(a,b)]$ .

Steps 1-5 imply the mentioned theorem. We will treat [a,b] as 9/6.