

Lecture 20: The content of a polynomial

Monday, May 21, 2018 10:27 AM

The goal of today's lecture is to prove the following:

Theorem. Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a primitive polynomial. If for some prime p , $c_p(f) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is irreducible, and $\deg f = \deg c_p(f)$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Recall .. For $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \setminus \{0\}$, the content $\alpha(f)$ of f is $\gcd(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

- $\forall f \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \setminus \{\text{const}\}, \exists \bar{f} : \text{primitive}, f(x) = c(\bar{f}) \bar{f}(x)$.
 - $c_p(f) = 0 \iff p | \alpha(f)$
 - $\alpha(c\bar{f}) = c \alpha(\bar{f}) \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Lemma. Suppose $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ are primitive. Then fg is primitive.

Pf. If not, $\exists p$: prime s.t. $p \mid \alpha(fg)$. Hence $c_p(fg) = 0$.

and so $c_p(f) c_p(g) = 0$. Since p is prime, \mathbb{Z}_p is an integral

implies either $c_p(f) = 0$ or $c_p(g)$. Therefore either

$p \mid \alpha(f)$ or $p \mid \alpha(g)$ which contradicts the primality of f

Lecture 20: Gauss's lemma

Monday, May 21, 2018 10:40 AM

and g . ■

Gauss's lemma For $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha(fg) = \alpha(f)\alpha(g)$.

Pf. $f(x) = \alpha(f) \bar{f}(x)$ where \bar{f} is primitive. } \Rightarrow

$g(x) = \alpha(g) \bar{g}(x)$ where \bar{g} is primitive. }

$$fg(x) = \alpha(f) \alpha(g) \bar{f}(x) \bar{g}(x). \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha(fg) = \alpha(\alpha(f)\alpha(g) \bar{f} \bar{g}) = \alpha(f)\alpha(g)\alpha(\bar{f}\bar{g}). \Rightarrow$$

• \bar{f}, \bar{g} primitive $\Rightarrow \bar{f}\bar{g}$ primitive $\Rightarrow \alpha(\bar{f}\bar{g})=1$

$$\alpha(fg) = \alpha(f)\alpha(g).$$
 ■

Going from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Z} .

Theorem. Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is primitive and $f(x) = g_1(x)g_2(x)$

for some $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then $\exists a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ st.

$$(1) a_1, a_2 = 1$$

$$(2) \bar{g}_1(x) := a_1 g_1(x) \text{ and } \bar{g}_2(x) := a_2 g_2(x)$$

are in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and primitive.

In particular, $f(x) = \bar{g}_1(x)\bar{g}_2(x)$ and $\deg \bar{g}_1 = \deg g_1$,

$$\deg \bar{g}_2 = \deg g_2.$$

Lecture 20: Irreducibility over \mathbb{Z} and irreducibility over \mathbb{Q}

Monday, May 21, 2018 10:52 AM

Pf. Since $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\exists n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ s.t.

$\tilde{g}_1(x) = n_1 g_1(x)$ and $\tilde{g}_2(x) = n_2 g_2(x)$ are in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

(Take the common denominator of all the coefficients.)

So $n_1 n_2 f(x) = \tilde{g}_1(x) \tilde{g}_2(x)$. Therefore by Gauss's lemma,

$$n_1 n_2 \alpha(f) = \alpha(\tilde{g}_1) \alpha(\tilde{g}_2).$$

Since f is primitive, $n_1 n_2 = \alpha(\tilde{g}_1) \alpha(\tilde{g}_2)$. (I)

On the other hand, there are primitive polynomials \bar{g}_1 and

\bar{g}_2 such that $\tilde{g}_1(x) = \alpha(\tilde{g}_1) \bar{g}_1(x)$ and $\tilde{g}_2(x) = \alpha(\tilde{g}_2) \bar{g}_2(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } n_1 n_2 f(x) &= \tilde{g}_1(x) \tilde{g}_2(x) = \alpha(\tilde{g}_1) \bar{g}_1(x) \alpha(\tilde{g}_2) \bar{g}_2(x) \\ &= \alpha(\tilde{g}_1) \alpha(\tilde{g}_2) \bar{g}_1(x) \bar{g}_2(x) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II})$$

By (I) and (II), $n_1 n_2 f(x) = n_1 n_2 \bar{g}_1(x) \bar{g}_2(x)$; and so

$$f(x) = \bar{g}_1(x) \bar{g}_2(x).$$

We also notice that $\bar{g}_i(x) = \frac{n_i}{\alpha(\tilde{g}_i)} g_i(x)$; and so

$a_i := \frac{n_i}{\alpha(\tilde{g}_i)}$ satisfies our claim. ■

Lecture 20: Decompositions over Q and Z

Monday, May 21, 2018 11:06 AM

By induction on n , we can extend Gauss's lemma to product of m factors and consequently extend the previous result.

Gauss's lemma. For $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\alpha(f_1 \cdots f_m) = \alpha(f_1) \cdots \alpha(f_m)$.

Pf. We proceed by induction on m ; we have already prove the case of $m=2$;

Induction step. $\alpha(f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdots f_{m+1})$

$$= \alpha(f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdots f_{m-1} \cdot (f_m f_{m+1}))$$

By the induction hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} &= \alpha(f_1) \cdot \alpha(f_2) \cdots \alpha(f_{m-1}) \cdot \alpha(f_m f_{m+1}) \\ &= \alpha(f_1) \cdot \alpha(f_2) \cdots \alpha(f_{m-1}) \cdot \alpha(f_m) \cdot \alpha(f_{m+1}). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The 2 factors case

Theorem. Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is primitive, and $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m g_i(x)$

for some $g_i(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then $\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ s.t.

(1) $a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_m = 1$, (2) $\bar{g}_i(x) := a_i g_i(x)$ is in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and primitive for any i .

In particular, $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{g}_i(x)$ and $\deg g_i = \deg \bar{g}_i$.

Pf. Argument is identical to the case of $m=2$. $\exists n_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ s.t.

$\tilde{g}_i(x) = n_i g_i(x)$. Then $\left(\prod_{i=1}^m n_i\right) f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{g}_i(x)$. Hence by

Lecture 20: Decompositions over Q and Z

Monday, May 21, 2018 11:24 AM

Gauss's lemma, $\left(\prod_{i=1}^m n_i\right) \alpha(f) = \prod_{i=1}^m \alpha(\tilde{g}_i)$. Hence

$$\prod_{i=1}^m n_i = \prod_{i=1}^m \alpha(\tilde{g}_i). \quad (\text{I})$$

On the other hand, $\exists \bar{g}_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ primitive s.t.

$$\tilde{g}_i(x) = \alpha(\tilde{g}_i) \bar{g}_i(x); \text{ and so}$$

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^m n_i\right) f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{g}_i(x) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \alpha(\tilde{g}_i)\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{g}_i(x). \quad (\text{II})$$

Therefore by (I) and (II)

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{g}_i(x);$$

and we notice that

$$\bar{g}_i(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha(\tilde{g}_i)} \tilde{g}_i(x) = \frac{n_i}{\alpha(\tilde{g}_i)} g_i(x).$$

Hence $a_i := \frac{n_i}{\alpha(\tilde{g}_i)}$ satisfies our claims. \blacksquare

Cor. Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is primitive and $\deg f \geq 1$. Then

$f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x] \iff f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Pf. (\Rightarrow) If not, $f(x) = g_1(x) g_2(x)$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ and

$\deg g_i \geq 1$. Then $\exists \bar{g}_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ s.t. $\deg \bar{g}_i = \deg g_i \geq 1$

Lecture 20: Mod p irreducibility criterion

Monday, May 21, 2018 11:33 AM

and $f(x) = \bar{g}_1(x) \bar{g}_2(x)$, which implies f is not irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose f is not irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Since $\deg f \geq 1$,

$f \neq 0$ and a unit. So $f(x) = g_1(x) g_2(x)$ for some

$g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \setminus \{0\}$. As f is irred. in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, either

$\deg g_1 = 0$ or $\deg g_2 = 0$. W.L.O.G. let's assume $g_1(x) = c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

Then $c | \alpha(f) = 1$; and so $g_1(x) \in \{\pm 1\}$ which is a contradiction. ■

Pf of the mentioned irreducibility criterion.

Suppose to the contrary that $f(x)$ is not irreducible in

$\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Since $c_p(f)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, $\deg c_p(f) \geq 1$.

Since $\deg f \geq \deg c_p(f)$, we have $\deg f \geq 1$. So

not being irreducible implies $\exists g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ s.t. $\deg g_i \geq 1$

and $f(x) = g_1(x) g_2(x)$. Hence $\exists \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ primitive s.t.

$\deg \bar{g}_i = \deg g_i \geq 1$ and $f(x) = \bar{g}_1(x) \bar{g}_2(x)$. (To be continued!)