

# Homework 3 Solutions

1. (a) We use subring criteria, for  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\cdot a + bw - (c + dw) = (a - c) + (b - d)w \in \mathbb{Z}[w].$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot (a + bw)(c + dw) &= ac + adw + bcw + bdw^2 \\ &= ac + (ad + bc)w + bdw^2 \\ &= ac + (ad + bc)w + bd(-w-1) \\ &= (ac - bd) + (ad + bc - bd)w \in \mathbb{Z}[w]. \end{aligned}$$

here uses  
 $w^2 + w^2 + 1 = 0$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[w]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

(b) First we show  $\mathbb{Q}[w]$  is a field.

By similar argument as above, we know  $\mathbb{Q}[w]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Now consider for  $\forall a + bw \neq 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{a+bw} \in \mathbb{Q}[w]$ .

According to hint, we compute

$$(a + bw)(a + b\bar{w}) = a^2 + ab(w + \bar{w}) + b^2 w\bar{w}.$$

$$= a^2 - ab + b^2 \quad (\text{Here we used } w = \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}}{2}, \bar{w} = \frac{-1-\sqrt{3}}{2} \text{ and get } w + \bar{w} = -1, w\bar{w} = 1)$$

$$a^2 - ab + b^2 = (a - \frac{b}{2})^2 + \frac{3}{4}b^2 \neq 0 \quad \text{since } a \neq 0, b \neq 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{a+bw} &= \frac{1}{a+bw} \cdot \frac{a+b\bar{w}}{a+b\bar{w}} = \frac{a+b\bar{w}}{a^2 - ab + b^2} = \frac{a+b(-1-w)}{a^2 - ab + b^2} \\ &= \frac{a - b}{a^2 - ab + b^2} + \frac{-b}{a^2 - ab + b^2} \quad w \in \mathbb{Q}[w]. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[w]$  is a field.

Let  $i : \mathbb{Z}[w] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[w]$  be the natural inclusion

$$m + nw \mapsto m + nw \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

$i$  is injective ring homomorphism.

For any  $a + bw \in \mathbb{Q}[w]$

$$a + bw = \frac{k_1}{k_2} + \frac{e_1}{e_2} w = \frac{(k_1 e_2 + k_2 e_1)w}{k_2 e_2}, \quad \text{with } (k_1 e_2 + k_2 e_1)w \in \mathbb{Z}[w]$$

$\downarrow k_i, e_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i=1,2.$   $k_2 e_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[w].$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[w]$  is the field of fraction of  $\mathbb{Z}[w]$

$$2. \text{ (a)} \quad \langle u \rangle = R \iff \langle u \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle \iff 1 \in \langle u \rangle \iff \exists v \in R \text{ s.t. } uv = 1$$

$$\iff u \in U(R)$$

$$\text{(b)} \quad \langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle \implies a = bu \text{ for } u, u' \in R$$

$$b = au' \quad \text{here we assume } a \neq 0.$$

$$\implies a = bu = au'u \implies 1 = u'u \text{ since } R \text{ is integral domain.}$$

$$\implies u \text{ is unit} \implies a = bu \text{ with } u \in U(R)$$

If  $a=0$ ,  $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle \implies b \in \langle 0 \rangle \implies b=0 \implies a=1b$ , with  $1 \in U(R)$ .

On the other hand.

$$a = bu \implies a \in \langle b \rangle. \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} u \text{ is unit} \implies au^{-1} = b \implies b \in \langle a \rangle \end{array} \right\} \implies \langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle.$$

$$3. \text{ Let } I_1 = \{x \in R_1, \text{ s.t. } (x, 0) \in I\}$$

$$\text{Let } I_2 = \{y \in R_2, \text{ s.t. } (0, y) \in I\}.$$

First we show  $I_1 \times I_2 = I$ .

$$\forall (x, y) \in I_1 \times I_2, \quad (x, y) = (x, 0) + (0, y) \in I \implies I_1 \times I_2 \subset I.$$

$\forall (x, y) \in I$ , want to show  $x \in I_1, y \in I_2$ .

$$(x, y)(1_{R_1}, 0) = (x, 0) \implies x \in I_1 \text{ by the definition of } I_1. \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} (x, y) \cdot (0, 1_{R_2}) = (0, y) \implies y \in I_2 \text{ by the definition of } I_2. \end{array} \right\} \implies I_1 \times I_2 \supset I.$$

$$\therefore I_1 \times I_2 = I.$$

Now we show  $I_1 \triangleleft R_1, I_2 \triangleleft R_2$ .

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in I_1, r \in R_1$ .

$$x_1 - x_2 \in I_1 \text{ since } (x_1 - x_2, 0) = (x_1, 0) - (x_2, 0) \in I \implies I_1 \triangleleft R_1.$$

$$rx_1 \in I_1 \text{ since } (rx_1, 0) = (r, 0)(x_1, 0) \in I$$

Similarly we can show that  $I_2 \triangleleft R_2$ .

4. Assume by contradiction that  $\langle 2, x \rangle$  is a principal ideal.

Then  $\exists f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  s.t.  $\langle 2, x \rangle = \langle f(x) \rangle$ .

- $2 \in \langle f(x) \rangle \Rightarrow 2 = f(x) \cdot g(x)$  with  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$

$$\deg f(x) + \deg g(x) = 0 \text{ while } \deg f(x) \geq 0, \deg g(x) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \deg f(x) = \deg g(x) = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) \equiv m, g(x) \equiv n, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 = m \cdot n \Rightarrow f(x) \equiv m = \pm 1 \text{ or } \pm 2.$$

- Also,  $x \in \langle f(x) \rangle$

$$\text{Suppose } f(x) = \pm 2, \quad x = \pm 2 \cdot g(x) \Rightarrow g(x) = \pm \frac{x}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

$\Rightarrow$  The only possibilities for  $f(x)$  are  $\pm 1$ .

- Suppose  $f(x) = \pm 1$ .

$$\Rightarrow \langle 2, x \rangle = \langle \pm 1 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \in \langle 2, x \rangle.$$

$$\text{i.e. } \exists h_1(x), h_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \text{ s.t. } 1 = 2h_1(x) + xh_2(x).$$

But the constant term of RHS is an even number

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = \pm 1 \text{ is also impossible.}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Our assumption is wrong.

$\Rightarrow \langle 2, x \rangle$  is not principal ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

5. (a).  $102459087 = 10^8 + 2 \times 10^6 + 4 \times 10^5 + 5 \times 10^4 + 9 \times 10^3 + 8 \times 10 + 7 \pmod{9}$  ✓

$$102459087 \pmod{9} = 1^8 + 2 \times 1^6 + 4 \times 1^5 + 5 \times 1^4 + 0 \times 1^3 + 8 \times 1 + 7 \\ = 0 \pmod{9}.$$

$\Rightarrow$  the remainder is 0.

(b). Similarly,  $102459087 \pmod{11} = (-1)^8 + 2 \times (-1)^6 + 4 \times (-1)^5 + 5 \times (-1)^4 + 9 \times (-1)^3 + 8 \times (-1) + 7 \pmod{11} = 5 \pmod{11}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  the remainder is 5.

(c).  $3 \times 4 = 12 = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ .  $\Rightarrow 3^{-1} = 4$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ .

$$\Rightarrow 2/3 = 2 \times 4 = 8 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{11}.$$

$11 \times 7 = 77 = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{19}$ .  $\Rightarrow 7^{-1} = 11$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{19}$ .

$$\Rightarrow 2/7 = 2 \times 11 = 22 = 3 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{19}.$$

$-5 \times 9 = -45 = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{23}$ .  $\Rightarrow 9^{-1} = -5 = 18$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{23}$ .

$$\Rightarrow 2/9 = 2 \times 18 = 36 = 13 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{19}.$$

Remark : A general way to find  $m^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  when  $(m,n)=1$  is to use Euclidean algorithm.

As an example, we compute  $7^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{19}$ .  $(7, 19) = 1$ .

$$19 = 2 \times 7 + 5. \quad 1 = 5 - 2 \times 2$$

$$7 = 1 \times 5 + 2 \Rightarrow = 5 - 2 \times (7 - 5)$$

$$5 = 2 \times 2 + 1 \quad = 3 \times 5 - 2 \times 7$$

$$= 3 \times (19 - 2 \times 7) - 2 \times 7$$

$$= 3 \times 19 - 8 \times 7. \quad (\star)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{in } \mathbb{Z}_{19}, (\star) \Rightarrow 1 = -8 \times 7$$

$$\Rightarrow 7^{-1} = -8 = 11.$$

b. @.  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} f((a+bi)+(ci+di)) &= f((a+c)+(b+d)i) = \overline{a+c} \oplus 2(\overline{b+d}) \\ &= \overline{a} \oplus \overline{c} \oplus 2\overline{b} \oplus 2\overline{d}. \end{aligned}$$

$$f(a+bi) \oplus f(ci+di) = \overline{a} \oplus 2\overline{b} \oplus \overline{c} \oplus 2\overline{d} \quad \rangle)$$

$$\Rightarrow f((a+bi)+(ci+di)) = f(a+bi) \oplus f(ci+di).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f((a+bi)(c+di)) &= f(ac - bd + (ad + bc)i) \\
&= \overline{ac - bd} + 2(\overline{ad + bc}) \\
&= \overline{ac} \oplus \overline{bd} \oplus 2(\overline{ad}) \oplus 2\overline{bc} \\
&= (\bar{a} \odot \bar{c}) \oplus (\bar{b} \odot \bar{d}) \oplus 2(\bar{a} \odot \bar{d}) \oplus 2(\bar{b} \odot \bar{c}) \\
&= (\bar{a} \odot \bar{c}) \oplus 2(\bar{a} \odot \bar{d}) \oplus 2(\bar{b} \odot \bar{c}) \oplus 4(\bar{b} \odot \bar{d}) \quad (\begin{smallmatrix} -1 = 4 \\ \text{in } \mathbb{Z}_5 \end{smallmatrix}) \\
f(a+bi) \odot f(c+di) &= (\bar{a} \oplus 2\bar{b}) \odot (\bar{c} \oplus 2\bar{d}) \\
&= (\bar{a} \odot \bar{c}) \oplus 2(\bar{a} \odot \bar{d}) \oplus 2(\bar{b} \odot \bar{c}) \oplus 4(\bar{b} \odot \bar{d}) \\
\Rightarrow f((a+bi)(c+di)) &= f(a+bi) \odot f(c+di)
\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow f$  is a ring homomorphism.

(b) To show  $\langle -2+i \rangle \subset \ker f$ .

Enough to show  $-2+i \in \ker f$ , i.e.  $f(-2+i) = \bar{0}$ .

$$f(-2+i) = \overline{-2} \oplus \overline{i} = \overline{2-2} = \overline{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_5$$

i.e.  $-2+i \in \ker f$ .