Greatest common divisor Tuesday, June 29, 2021 2:27 PM To understand what elements of \mathbb{Z}_n have multiplicative inverse, we need to recall basic properties of greatest common divisor of integers. In particular, we recall Euclid's <u>algorithm</u>. The greatest common divisor of two non-zero integers a and b is, as its name suggests, max z de Z | dla, dlbz, and it is denoted by gcd (a, b). Notice that if a is a non-zero integer and d la, then $d \leq |a|$. Hence $gcd(a,b) \leq min \xi |a|, |b|\xi if a, b$ are two non-zero integers. Pictorially gcd(a,b) is the size of the largest square tile which can cover an axb rectangle. To find this tile, each time 12 we cut the largest possible from 21 one of the edges. We stop when we get a square!

Basic of divisibility Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM 12 12 12 12 9 21 9 3 q າ 3 3 9 The above process is essentially Euclid's algorithm. We will make the process more formal and prove why it works. Lemma Suppose a, b, d = Z. Then ·dla, dlb => dl ra + sb for every r, se Z $d|b, d|a-b \rightarrow d|a$ PP. d/a => a=kd for some ke Z 2=+ dlb => b=ld for some leZ $ra+sb = rkd+sld = (rk+sl)d \implies d|ra+sb.$ $d|b, d|a-b \Rightarrow d|(1)(b)+(1)(a-b) \Rightarrow d|a.$ The following is a corollary of the above lemma.

Steps of Euclid's algorithm Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM Corollary. Suppose a, b are two positive integers. Then gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,a-b)PF. We show that d is a common divisor of a and b if and only if d is a common divisor of b and a-b. $d | a, d | b \Rightarrow d | (1)(a) + (-1)(b) \Rightarrow d | a - b.$ Hence dlb and dla-b. If dlb and dla-b, then dla by the previous lemma Hence $gcd(a,b) = max \ 2de\mathbb{Z} | d|a, d|b$ $= \max\{d \in \mathbb{Z} \mid d \mid b, d \mid a - b\} = gcd(b, a - b).$ The above corollary justifies the steps in the pictorial argument: →b b By cutting, we do not a-b change the gcd of the Ь 0 sides. So repeating this process we end up getting a square d [], and god (d,d) = d, which means sides of this square is the god of the sides of the initial rectangle. Next we point out the connection with Euclid's algorithm.

Euclid's algorithm Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM Lemma Suppose n is a non-zero integer. If $a \equiv a'$, then gcd(a, n) = gcd(a', n)<u>Pf. Since $a \stackrel{n}{=} a'$, a - a' = nk for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.</u> $d \mid n \text{ and } d \mid a' \Rightarrow d \mid (k) n + (1) a' \Rightarrow d \mid a. (T)$ $d \ln and d \ln \Rightarrow d | (1) a + (-k) n \Rightarrow d | a'. (1)$ By (I), (II), $\xi de \mathbb{Z} | dln, dla \xi = \xi de \mathbb{Z} | dln, dla' \xi$. Hence gcd(n,a) = gcd(n,a').Euclid's algorithm is a fast way of finding the god of two positive integers. Similar to the pictorial method, Euclid's algorithm gives us a process through which the gcd stays the same, but we get smaller and smaller pairs. Suppose a≥b are two positive integers. Let a := a, $a_i = b$. We divide a_i by a_1 : $a_{o} = a_{1} \cdot q_{1} + a_{2}$. Then $a_{o} \equiv a_{2}$, and so by the above lemma, $gcd(a_0, a_1) = gcd(a_1, a_2)$. Next we divide a_1 by a_2 if $a_2 \neq 0$, and repeat this process till the remainder is 0.

Euclid's algorithm Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM $\alpha_{o} = \alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}, \quad gcd(\alpha_{o}, \alpha_{1}) = gcd(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}), \quad \alpha_{1} > \alpha_{2}$ $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 q_1 + \alpha_3, \quad \text{gcd} \quad (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \text{gcd} \quad (\alpha_2, \alpha_3), \quad \alpha_2 > \alpha_3$ $a_{n-1} = a_n q_+ o, gcd(a_{n-1}, a_n) = a_n, a_n > o$ Hence $a \ge a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_n > o$ and $a_n = gcd(a_1, a_1) = gcd(a_1, b)$. Notice that, for every osi<n, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{i-1} \\ a_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (0)(a_{i-1}) + (1)(a_i) \\ (1)(a_{i-1}) + (-q_i)(a_i) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ a_{i-1} - a_iq_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ a_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}$ Hence $\begin{bmatrix} a_n \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_n \\$ Therefore $a_n = ra_+ s a_1$ for some integers r, s. (*) The following theorem follows. Theorem. For every non-zero integers a and b, there are integers r and s such that gcd(a,b) = ra + sb. <u>Pf.</u> We notice that gcd(a, b) = gcd(a, b). Now claim follows from (*). Remark. (*) gives us an algorithm to find an integer solution for gcd(a,b) = a x + by

Euclid's algorithm: an example Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM Ex. Find gcd(197,79) and conite it as an integer linear combination of 197 and 79. Solution. We follow Euclid's algorithm. Let a=179, a=79. $197 = 79 \times 2 + 39$, $q_1 = 2$, $q_2 = 39$ $79 = 39 \times 2 + 1$, $q_{2} = 2$, $a_{3} = 1$ $39 = (1 \times 39 + 0, q = 39, a_4 = 0)$ So gcd(197, 79) = 1 and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 197 \\ 1 & -q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 197 \\ 79 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -39 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -39 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ = [-2 5] This means $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 197 \\ 79 \end{bmatrix}$. Companing the 1st components, we obtain that $1 = 197 \times (-2) + 79 \times (5)$

Basic properties of gcd Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM Here we review basic properties of gcd of two integers. Theorem. Suppose a, b are two non-zero integers. Then if gcd(a,b) = d, then $gcd(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}) = 1$. Pf. Since gcd (a, b) = d, d | a and d | b and d = ra + bs for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence \underline{a} , $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 = r\left(\frac{a}{d}\right) + s\left(\frac{b}{d}\right)$. (I) Let d':= ged (a, b). Then d' | a, d' | b, and so $d' | r(\frac{a}{d}) + s(\frac{b}{d}) \oplus \overline{D}$. Therefore by (I) and (II), d'(1). Thus d'=1, which means $gcd(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d})=1$. e Theorem. Suppose a, b are two non-zero integers. If d = gcd(a, b) and d'is a common divisor of a and b, then d'ld. <u>Pf</u>. Since d = gcd(a,b), d = ra + sb for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ Because d'la and d'lb, d'Ira+sb. Hence d'ld. E <u>Theorem</u>. Suppose a, b, c are three non-zero integers. Then gcd(ac,bc) = |c| gcd(a,b). <u>Pf</u>. Suppose d:=gcd(a,b). Then dla and dlb, and so

Basic properties of gcd Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM dici divides ac and dici divides bc. Hence $d(c) \leq qcd(ac, bc)$. (I) On the other hand, d=gcd (a, b) implies that d=ra+sb for some r, se Z. Hence $d|c| = ra_{|c|+sb_{|c|}} = \pm (rac) + s(ac) \cdot (m)$ Notice that gcd (ac, bc) divides every integer linear combination of ac and bc. Hence by (II), ged (ac, bc) dici. (\square) By () and (), gcd (ac, bc) = d lcl, which means qcd(ac, bc) = |c| qcd(a, b).Euclid's lemma For a, b, c e Z \ 205, $gcd(a,b) = 1 \neq \Rightarrow a|c$ albe J (If a and b do not have any non-trivial common factors and a divides bc, then alc. This lemma plays an important role in proving the uniqueness of factorization of integers into prime numbers.)

Euclid's lemma Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM Pf. Since gcd(a,b)=1, 1=ra+bs for some $r,s\in\mathbb{Z}$ Multiplying both sides of (I) by c, we obtain that C = rc(a) + s(bc).(II) Since ala and albe, a divides every integer linear combination of a and bc. Therefore by (II) alc. An important corollary of Euclid's lemma is about prime numbers. Let's recall that an integer p is called prime if p>1 and p has exactly two positive divisors 1 and p. This means if p is prime, $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $d \mid p$, then d = 1 or p. Here is an important corollary of Euclid's lemma. Euclid's lemma: prime number case Suppose p is prime and a, b are two non-zero integers. Then plab implies that either pla or plb. <u>PP. If $p \neq a$, then $gcd(p,a) \neq p$. Hence gcd(p,a) = 1 as</u> p has exactly two positive divisors 1 and p. Since gcd (p,a)=1 and plab, by Euclid's lemma, plb.