

Background on AG: Tangent space

Thursday, May 4, 2017 9:46 AM

Suppose $f_i \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and X is the set of common zeros of f_i 's over \mathbb{R} . To find the tangent plane of X at x_0 , from calculus

we know $T_{x_0} X = \{ \vec{r}'(0) \mid \begin{array}{l} \cdot r \text{ is diff. curve in } X \\ \cdot r(0) = x_0 \end{array} \}$

Since $\text{Im}(r) \subseteq X$, for any i , we have

$$(f_i \circ r)(t) = 0.$$

And so by chain rule $\nabla f_i(x_0) \cdot \vec{r}'(0) = df_i|_{x_0}(\vec{r}'(0))$.

In calculus, assuming $T_{x_0} X$ exists, we use the above technique

and write $T_{x_0} X = \bigcap \ker df_i|_{x_0}$.

• Let's make an observation:

$$\vec{v} \in \ker df|_{x_0} \iff f(x_0 + \epsilon v) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}[\epsilon] = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}\epsilon \text{ where } \epsilon^2 = 0.$$

(why: f is a polynomial. So it has a (formal)

Taylor expansion at x_0 :

$$f(x_0 + y) = f(x_0) + df|_{x_0}(y) + \text{higher degree in terms of } y_i \text{'s.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f(x_0 + \epsilon v) &= f(x_0) + \epsilon df|_{x_0}(v) \\ &= \epsilon df|_{x_0}(v). \end{aligned}$$

So $\bigcap \ker df_i|_{x_0} = T_{x_0} X$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \bigcap \ker df_i|_{x_0} &= V(df_i|_{x_0}) \\ &= \text{fiber over } x_0 \text{ of} \\ &V(\{f_i\})(\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{E}]) \rightarrow V(\{f_i\})(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

• 1st definition of tangent space

Let \mathcal{O} be an ideal of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then the tangent bundle of $V(\mathcal{O})(k)$ defined to be $V(\mathcal{O})(k[\mathcal{E}])$, and the tangent space of $V(\mathcal{O})(k)$ at x is the fiber over x of $V(\mathcal{O})(k[\mathcal{E}]) \rightarrow V(\mathcal{O})(k)$.

(This definition can be easily extended to schemes over an arbitrary ring k .)

Let's go back to calculus. For a given $v \in T_x X$ and a (nice) function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we can say what the rate of change of f is in the direction of v :

Suppose r is a curve in X st. $r(0) = x_0$ and $r'(0) = v$. Then the rate of change of f in the direction of v is

$$(f \circ r)'(0) = df|_{x_0}(v) \quad (\text{as you can see it is indep. of } r)$$

choice of r .)

So we get $\delta_{v, x_0}: \mathbb{R}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\delta_{v, x_0}(f) := df|_{x_0}(v).$$

Fixing v and varying x_0 , we get $D_v: \mathbb{R}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[X]$,

$$D_v(f)(x) := \delta_{v, x}(f).$$

Basic properties of $\delta_{v, x}$ and D_v .

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \delta_{v, x} \text{ is linear} \end{array} \right.$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \delta_{v, x_0}(f_1 f_2) = f_1(x_0) df_2|_{x_0}(v) + f_2(x_0) df_1|_{x_0}(v) \\ \quad = f_1(x_0) \delta_{v, x_0}(f_2) + f_2(x_0) \delta_{v, x_0}(f_1). \end{array} \right.$$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet D_v \text{ is linear} \end{array} \right.$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet D_v(f_1 f_2) = f_1 D_v(f_2) + f_2 D_v(f_1). \end{array} \right.$$

Definition. Let A be a k -algebra, and M be a (left) A -mod.

$D: A \rightarrow M$ is called a k -derivation if

① D is k -linear.

② $D(a_1 a_2) = a_1 \cdot D(a_2) + a_2 \cdot D(a_1)$.

Viewing \mathbb{R} as an $\mathbb{R}[X]$ -mod via $f \cdot \alpha := f(x_0) \alpha$, i.e.

$\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}[X] / \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$, we see that

$$\delta_{v, x}: \mathbb{R}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad D_v: \mathbb{R}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[X]$$

are \mathbb{R} -derivations.

2nd definition of tangent space

For an algebraic set X , the tangent space $T_x X$ of X at x is

$$\text{Der}_k(k[X], k_x) := \{ \delta: k[X] \rightarrow k_x \mid \delta: k\text{-derivation} \}$$

where $k_x = k[X]/\mathfrak{m}_x$, i.e. $f \cdot \alpha := f(x) \alpha \quad \forall f \in k[X] \text{ and } \alpha \in k_x$.

Lemma. (3rd def.) $\lambda: \text{Der}_k(k[X], k_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2 \right)^* := \text{Hom}_{k_x} \left(\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2, k \right)$

$$\lambda(\delta)([f]) := \delta(f)$$

Pf. well-defined. $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{m}_x \Rightarrow \delta(f_1 f_2) = f_1(x) \delta(f_2) + f_2(x) \delta(f_1)$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(\mathfrak{m}_x^2) = 0. \text{ So } [f_1] = [f_2] \stackrel{=0}{=} \text{ implies } \delta(f_1) = \delta(f_2).$$

linear map is clear.

Injective. $\lambda(\delta) = 0 \Rightarrow \forall f \in k[X], \delta(f) = 0 \Rightarrow \delta = 0$.

Surjective. $\ell: \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2 \rightarrow k$ linear.

Let $\delta(f) := \ell([f - f(x)])$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(f_1 f_2) &= \ell([f_1 f_2 - f_1(x) f_2(x)]) \\ &= \ell \left(\underbrace{[(f_1 - f_1(x))(f_2 - f_2(x))]}_{\text{in } \mathfrak{m}_x^2} + (f_1 - f_1(x)) f_2(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + f_1(x) (f_2 - f_2(x))]) \\
& = f_2(x) l([f_1 - f_1(x)]) + f_1(x) l([f_2 - f_2(x)]) \\
& = f_2(x) \delta(f_1) + f_1(x) \delta(f_2). \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma. (1st \equiv 2nd) $V(k[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X} \text{Der}(k[X], k_x)$
 $x + \varepsilon v \mapsto \delta_{x,v}$

where $X = V(k)$ and $\delta_{x,v}(f) := df|_x(v)$.

and, it induces isomorphism of linear spaces

$$(\text{fiber over } x_0 \text{ of } V(k[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow V(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Der}(k[X], k_{x_0}).$$

Pr. It is enough to prove the second assertion.

Well-defined $f \in I(X) \Rightarrow f(x + \varepsilon v) = f(x) + \varepsilon df|_x(v) = 0 \Rightarrow df|_x(v) = 0$.
 $\Rightarrow df|_{x_0}$ is a well-defined function on $(*)$.

It is easy to check that $\delta_{x_0,v} \in \text{Der}(k[X], k_{x_0})$ and $v \mapsto \delta_{x_0,v}$ is k -linear.

Injective. $\delta_{x_0,v} = 0 \Rightarrow \forall f \in k[X], df|_{x_0}(v) = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{Coord. functions}} v = 0$.

Surjective. $\delta \in \text{Der}(k[X], k_{x_0})$, let $v := (\delta(x_i))$ where x_i 's are the coordinate functions. Then $\delta_{x_0,v}(x_i) = \delta(x_i)$. So $\delta_{x_0,v} = \delta$. \blacksquare

In order to extend this to all the varieties, we need to

get a local treatment of the same ideal: working with \mathcal{O}_x instead of $k[X]$.

Lemma. $A \xrightarrow{\phi} B$ a k -alg. homomorphism

M : B -module (and so A -mod via ϕ)

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_A(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(A, M)$$

$$\delta \mapsto \delta$$

$$\delta \mapsto \delta \circ \phi$$

is an exact sequence.

Pf. $\forall a_1, a_2 \in A, \delta(\phi(a_1 a_2)) = \delta(\phi(a_1) \phi(a_2))$

$$= \phi(a_1) \cdot \delta(\phi(a_2)) + \phi(a_2) \cdot \delta(\phi(a_1))$$

so $\delta \circ \phi \in \text{Der}_k(A, M)$.

$\delta(\phi(a)) = 0 \quad \forall a \in A \Rightarrow \delta(\phi(a) b) = \phi(a) \delta(b)$

$\Rightarrow \delta$ is A -linear $\Rightarrow \delta \in \text{Der}_A(B, M)$. ■

Corollary. $\text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_x, k_x) \simeq \text{Der}_k(k[X], k_x)$.

Pf. $k[X] \rightarrow k[X]_{\mathfrak{m}_x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_x$ induces

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{k[X]}(\mathcal{O}_x, k_x) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_x, k_x) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(k[X], k_x)$$

$\delta \in \text{Der}_{k[X]}(\mathcal{O}_x, k_x) \Rightarrow \text{gcd } \delta(f/g) = (g \cdot \delta)(f/g)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \delta(g \cdot f/g) = \delta(f) \\
&= (f \cdot \delta)(1) = f(x) \delta(1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(f/g) = 0. \quad (\text{injective})$$

• $\bar{\delta} \in \text{Der}_k(k[X], k_x)$, let $\delta(f/g) := \frac{g(x) \bar{\delta}(f) - f(x) \bar{\delta}(g)}{g(x)^2}$.

Then $\delta(f/g) = \bar{\delta}(f/g)$. And δ is a derivation (why?).

(Surjective). \blacksquare

Lemma. If U and V are open affine nbhds of x in a variety X and $V \subseteq U$, then

$$T_x V \simeq T_x U$$

canonically.

Pf. The injection maps induce isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U,x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V,x}$

And so we get isomorphisms of

$$\text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, k_x) \simeq \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_{U,x}, k_x) \simeq \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_{V,x}, k_x).$$

\square

Def. $T_x X := \varprojlim \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_{U,x}, k_x)$ (formal definition)

U : open
affine
nbhd of x

$$= \text{Der}_k \left(\varinjlim \mathcal{O}_{U,x}, k_x \right).$$

$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$
Def. (differential of a morphism)

$\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism of algebraic sets.

Then $\phi^*: k[Y] \rightarrow k[X]$ induces a map

$$d\phi_x: \text{Der}_k(k[X], k_x) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_k(k[Y], k_{\phi(x)})$$

$$\parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel$$

$$T_x X \qquad \qquad \qquad T_{\phi(x)} Y$$

which is called the differential of ϕ at x .

We can extend this definition to morphisms of varieties.

Definition. We say x is a simple point of X or

X is smooth in x or

X is non-singular in x if

$$\dim T_x X = \dim X.$$

To understand simple points we study module of differentials $\Omega_{A/R}$







