

# Actions of algebraic groups

Monday, April 24, 2017 10:50 PM

Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  be an affine algebraic group and  $X$  be a variety.

We say  $G$  acts on  $X$ , denote it by  $G \curvearrowright X$ ,

if  $\exists$  a morphism  $G \times X \rightarrow X$  s.t.  $g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x) = (g_1 g_2) \cdot x$ .  
 $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$

What can we say about the orbits?

Lemma. (a) An orbit  $G \cdot x$  is open in its closure.

(b) There exist closed orbits.

Pf. (a)  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  }  $\Rightarrow$  by Chevalley's theorem,  
 $G \rightarrow X$  a morphism  $\exists \emptyset \neq U \subseteq \text{Im } \phi_{x_0}$   
 $\downarrow \phi_{x_0}$  open in  $\overline{\text{Im } \phi_{x_0}}$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \forall x \in G \cdot x_0, \exists U_x$  open  $x \in U_x \subseteq G \cdot x_0$   
(?)  $\Rightarrow \exists x_1, \dots, x_m: G \cdot x_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_{x_i} \Rightarrow G \cdot x_0$  is open.

(b)  $\overline{G \cdot x_0} \setminus G \cdot x_0$  is closed and  $G$ -invariant.

$\Sigma := \{ Y \subseteq X \mid Y \text{ closed and } G\text{-invariant} \}$  has a minimal set  $Y_0$ .

Let  $x_0 \in Y$ . If  $G \cdot x_0 \neq Y$ , then  $\underbrace{\overline{G \cdot x_0} \setminus G \cdot x_0}_{\text{in } \Sigma} \neq Y$

which is a contradiction. ■

Theorem. Let  $G$  be an affine algebraic group and  $X$  be an affine

variety. Suppose  $G \curvearrowright X$ . Then

$$\textcircled{1} \quad s: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(k[X]), \quad s(g)(f)(x) := f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$$

is a group homomorphism; i.e.  $G$  acts linearly on  $k[X]$ .

$\textcircled{2}$  Let  $m^*: k[X] \rightarrow k[G] \otimes k[X]$  be the co-action map.

Then  $W \subseteq k[X]$  is  $G$ -invariant  $\iff m^*(W) \subseteq k[G] \otimes W$ .

$\textcircled{3}$   $\forall$  finite-dimensional subspace  $V$  of  $k[X]$ , there is a finite-dimensional subspace  $W$  of  $k[X]$  s.t.

$\textcircled{a}$   $V \subseteq W$      $\textcircled{b}$   $W$  is  $G$ -invariant.

pp.  $\textcircled{1}$  Since  $G \times X \xrightarrow{m} X$  and  $G \xrightarrow{i} G$  are morphisms,  $s(g)(f)$  is in  $k[X]$ . It is easy to check that  $f \mapsto s(g)(f)$  is an action, and  $s(g)$  is linear.

$\textcircled{2}$  Let  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a  $k$ -basis of  $W$ , and let's extend it to  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I \cup J}$  to get a  $k$ -basis of  $k[X]$ .

Let  $m^*(f_i) = \sum_{j \in I \cup J} \xi_{ij} \otimes f_j$ . Then for any  $g \in G$

$$\text{we have } m^*(f_i)(g, x) = \sum \xi_{ij}(g) f_j(x)$$

$$\implies f_i(g \cdot x) = \sum \xi_{ij}(g) f_j(x)$$

$$\implies s(g^{-1})(f_i) = \sum \xi_{ij}(g) f_j.$$

$$\Rightarrow s(g^{-1})(f_i) = \sum_{j \in I \cup J} \xi_{ij}(g) f_j.$$

$$\Rightarrow s(g^{-1})(f_i) \in W \text{ if and only if } \xi_{ij}(g) = 0 \text{ for } j \in J.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } g \cdot f_i \in W \quad &\Leftrightarrow \xi_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall j \in J \\ \forall g \in G \quad &\Leftrightarrow m^*(f_i) \in k[G] \otimes W. \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \forall f \in k[X], \quad m^*(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \otimes f_i \Rightarrow$$

$$\forall g \in G, \quad s(g^{-1})(f) = \sum \xi_i(g) f_i \in \text{Span}(f_1, \dots, f_m).$$

So the  $G$ -mod. generated by  $f$  is finite-dimensional. ■

Theorem. Any affine algebraic group is a linear algebraic group.

Pf. Let  $G$  be an affine algebraic group. Then  $G \curvearrowright G$  by left multiplication. So by the above theorem, part (3), there are linearly independent functions  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[G]$  st.

①  $\text{Span}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  is  $G$ -invariant.

②  $k[G] = k[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ .

$$\text{So } \exists \xi_{ij} \in k[G] \text{ st. } s(g)(f_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{ij}(g) f_j.$$

• Let  $\theta: G \rightarrow M_m(k)$  be  $\theta(g) = [\xi_{ij}(g)]$ .

Since  $s(g_1 g_2) = s(g_1) \circ s(g_2)$  and  $s(g) = s(g)^{-1}$ , we get

that  $\theta: G \rightarrow GL_m(k)$  is a group homomorphism.

• Since  $\xi_{ij} \in k[G]$ ,  $\theta$  is a morphism of algebraic sets. So

$\theta$  is an affine algebraic group homomorphism. Hence  $\text{Im}(\theta) =: \overline{G}$  is an algebraic subgroup of  $GL_m(k)$ .

•  $\theta^*: k[\overline{G}] \rightarrow k[G]$  is an isomorphism (?)

• Since  $\overline{G} = \theta(G)$ ,  $\theta^*$  is injective.

•  $\theta^*(\underline{x}_{ij}) = \xi_{ij}$  and  $f_i(g) = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{ij}(g^{-1}) f_j(e)$

Let  $y_{ij} = i^*(\underline{x}_{ij})$  where  $i^*: k[GL_n] \rightarrow k[GL_n]$  is

the  $\theta$ -inverse map. Then  $\theta^*(y_{ij})(g) = \xi_{ij}(g^{-1})$ .

$\Rightarrow f_i \in \text{Span of } \xi_{ij} \text{'s} \Rightarrow \theta^*$  is surjective. ■

Remark. As we mentioned earlier, it is NOT enough to check

$\ker(\theta) = \{1\}$ :

$SL_p(k) \rightarrow PGL_p(k)$  has trivial kernel and it is

$g \mapsto \text{Ad}(g)$

surjective if  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $\text{char}(k) = p$ ,

but it is NOT an isomorphism.