

COMPUTATION OF FOURIER COEFFICIENTS OF AUTOMORPHIC FORMS OF TYPE G_2

AARON POLLACK

ABSTRACT. In a recent work, we found formulas for the Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms of type G_2 : holomorphic Siegel modular forms on Sp_6 that are theta lifts from G_2^c , and cuspidal quaternionic modular forms on split G_2 . We have implemented these formulas in the mathematical software SAGE. In this paper, we explain the formulas of our recent paper and the SAGE implementation. We also deduce some theoretical consequences of our SAGE computations.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Exceptional algebra	4
3. Siegel modular forms of genus three	7
4. Quaternionic modular forms on G_2	9
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to give computations of Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms of type G_2 . The automorphic forms we compute come in two flavors. First, there are vector-valued holomorphic Siegel modular forms on Sp_6 , that are exceptional theta lifts from algebraic modular forms for the group G_2^c . Here G_2^c is a group of type G_2 that is split at every finite place and for which $G_2^c(\mathbf{R})$ is compact. The second sort of automorphic forms we work with are quaternionic modular forms on *split* G_2 . These arise as exceptional theta lifts from algebraic modular forms for the group F_4^c , which is a group of type F_4 that is split at every finite place and for which $F_4^c(\mathbf{R})$ is compact.

That it is possible to compute exactly the Fourier coefficients of these exceptional theta lifts is a consequence of the results of [Pol23]. In an accompanying SAGE [Sag22] file [Pol24], we have implemented the formulas of [Pol23] in the case of level one, so that these Fourier coefficients are exactly determinable on a computer. The resulting computer calculations are not only satisfying—for example, they give a partial simultaneous check on the formulas of [Dal21] and [Pol23]—but they also have theoretical consequences.

We now say a bit more about some theoretical consequences of these calculations, deferring a discussion of the results of [Pol23] and the SAGE computations to later sections.

1.1. Siegel modular forms of genus three. Let Sp_{2n} denote the symplectic group, consisting of matrices g with $g \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix} g^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}$. Recall that holomorphic Siegel modular forms are a certain type of automorphic forms for this group. Explicitly, set S_n the $n \times n$ symmetric matrices, and denote by $\mathcal{H}_n = \{Z = X + iY : X, Y \in S_n(\mathbf{R}), Y > 0\}$ the so-called Siegel upper half-space of degree n . Here $Y > 0$ means that Y is positive-definite. The group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$ acts on \mathcal{H}_n via the formulas $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} Z = (aZ + b)(cZ + d)^{-1}$. If $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$, and $Z \in \mathcal{H}_n$, set $J(g, Z) = cZ + d$,

Funding information: AP has been supported by the NSF via grant numbers 2101888 and 2144021.

which lives in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$. Let (ρ, V) be a finite-dimensional algebraic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$. If $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$ is a congruence subgroup, a holomorphic Siegel modular form of weight ρ and level Γ is a holomorphic function $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow V$ satisfying $f(\gamma Z) = \rho(J(\gamma, Z))f(Z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$; one also imposes a moderate growth condition.

If $\Gamma = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$, we say that f has level one. Holomorphic Siegel modular forms have a Fourier expansion, which we explicate in the level one case. Denote $S_n(\mathbf{Z})^\vee$ the half-integral symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. I.e., $T \in S_n(\mathbf{Q})$ is in $S_n(\mathbf{Z})^\vee$ if the diagonal entries of T are integers, and the off-diagonal entries are integers divided by 2. If f is a level one Siegel modular form of weight (ρ, V) , then one can write $f(Z) = \sum_{T \in S_n(\mathbf{Z})^\vee : T \geq 0} a_f(T) e^{2\pi i(T, Z)}$. Here $T \geq 0$ means that T is positive semi-definite, $(T, Z) = \mathrm{tr}(TZ) \in \mathbf{C}$, and $a_f(T) \in V$. The vectors $a_f(T)$ are called the Fourier coefficients of f . It is known [Ibu02] that, given ρ , there exists an explicitly determinable finite set C_ρ of half-integral symmetric matrices, so that if f is a level one Siegel modular form of weight ρ and $a_f(T) = 0$ for all $T \in C_\rho$, then $f = 0$. Consequently, computing finitely many Fourier coefficients of a level one Siegel modular form completely determines it.

Recall that the dual group of Sp_6 is $\mathrm{SO}_7(\mathbf{C})$, which receives a map from $G_2(\mathbf{C})$, the dual group of G_2 . Langlands functoriality thus predicts a lifting of automorphic representations on groups of type G_2 to automorphic representations of Sp_6 . One of the results of [Pol23], combined with work of Gross-Savin [GS98], Magaard-Savin [MS97], and Gan-Savin [GS22], allows one a way to computationally and provably produce instances of this lift. We have implemented this computation in SAGE, and one consequence of the SAGE computations is the following theorem.

To setup the theorem, recall that Chenevier-Taibi [CT20] have computed the dimension of the space of level one holomorphic Siegel modular forms of various weights. The following table is built from their computations.

(k_1, k_2)	$\lambda = (k_1 + 2k_2 + 4, k_1 + k_2 + 4, k_2 + 4)$	$m(\lambda)$
(0, 4)	(12, 8, 8)	1
(2, 4)	(14, 10, 8)	1
(3, 3)	(13, 10, 7)	1
(0, 6)	(16, 10, 10)	2
(3, 4)	(15, 11, 8)	1
(6, 2)	(14, 12, 6)	1
(5, 3)	(15, 12, 7)	1
(7, 2)	(15, 13, 6)	1
(9, 1)	(15, 14, 5)	1
(6, 3)	(16, 13, 7)	2
(8, 2)	(16, 14, 6)	2

In the table, $m(\lambda)$ denotes the dimension of the space of level one cuspidal Siegel modular forms for Sp_6 of weight λ . The parameter (k_1, k_2) has to do with algebraic modular forms on G_2^c , and will be explained in section 3

Theorem 1.1. *If λ is in the above table, then every level one cuspidal eigenform of weight λ has all Satake parameters in $G_2(\mathbf{C})$.*

1.2. Quaternionic modular forms on split G_2 . While the paper [Pol23] discusses the holomorphic Siegel modular forms of genus three, its main results concern the (quaternionic) modular forms on split G_2 . Recall that the split group G_2 of this Dynkin type does not have a symmetric space $G_2(\mathbf{R})/K$ with a $G_2(\mathbf{R})$ -invariant complex structure. Thus, there is no notion of holomorphic modular forms on this group.

A great replacement for the holomorphic modular forms was found by Gross-Wallach [GW96] and Gan-Gross-Savin [GGS02]. To briefly describe these objects, let us begin by recalling that the maximal compact subgroup K of $G_2(\mathbf{R})$ is $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2))/\mu_2$, where the first $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is the so-called

long root $SU(2)$, and the second is the short root $SU(2)$. Forgetting the second $SU(2)$ factor, one has a surjection $K \rightarrow SU(2)/\mu_2 \simeq SO(3)$. For a positive integer ℓ , let \mathbf{V}_ℓ be the irreducible complex representation of K that is the pull-back of the $2\ell + 1$ irreducible dimensional representation of $SO(3)$.

If $\Gamma \subseteq G_2(\mathbf{R})$ is a congruence subgroup, a level Γ quaternionic modular form on G_2 of weight ℓ is a smooth function $\varphi : \Gamma \backslash G_2(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_\ell$ of moderate growth satisfying

- (1) $\varphi(gk) = k^{-1}\varphi(g)$ for all $k \in K$;
- (2) $\mathcal{D}_\ell\varphi \equiv 0$, for a certain linear, first-order differential operator \mathcal{D}_ℓ .

The modular form φ is cuspidal if and only if φ is bounded. We say φ is of level one if $\Gamma = G_2(\mathbf{Z})$.

The quaternionic modular forms of weight ℓ have a Fourier expansion, similar in spirit to the Fourier expansion of holomorphic Siegel modular forms. We explicate this Fourier expansion in the level one case: For every real binary cubic $f(u, v) = au^3 + bu^2v + cuv^2 + dv^3$, there is a completely explicit moderate growth function (defined in terms of K -Bessel functions) $W_{f,\ell} : G_2(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_\ell$ satisfying properties (1), (2) of the definition of quaternionic modular forms of weight ℓ . The function $W_{f,\ell}(g)$ is 0 if the discriminant of the cubic f is negative. And, if φ is a level one cuspidal quaternionic modular form of weight ℓ , then $\varphi_Z(g) = \sum_f \int_{\text{integral}} a_\varphi(f) W_f(g)$. Here $\varphi_Z(g)$ is a certain compact integral transform of φ , which uniquely determines it, and the $a_\varphi(f)$ are complex numbers, called the Fourier coefficients of φ .

While *a priori* the Fourier coefficients of cuspidal quaternionic modular could be transcendental numbers, the main result of [Pol23] is that if $\ell \geq 6$ is even, then there is a basis of the space of level Γ , weight ℓ cuspidal quaternionic modular forms whose Fourier coefficients all lie in the cyclotomic extension of \mathbf{Q} . Moreover, the proof is constructive, giving the exact Fourier expansion of such G_2 -cusp forms in an explicitly computable way. We have implemented these explicit formulas of [Pol23] in the case of level one forms on G_2 . This allows one to compute finitely many Fourier coefficients of many level one cusp forms on G_2 .

Recall that, associated to every integral binary cubic form f is a cubic ring S_f . If f_1, f_2 are in the same $GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ orbit, then S_{f_1} is isomorphic to S_{f_2} . It follows immediately from the proof of this correspondence that, associated to f is in fact also an orientation of S_f/\mathbf{Z} , by which we mean a generator of $\wedge^2(S_f/\mathbf{Z})$. If f_1 and f_2 are in the same $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -orbit, then S_{f_1} is isomorphic to S_{f_2} as oriented cubic rings. Now, it is an easy consequence of the existence of the Fourier expansion of quaternionic modular forms, that if $f_1 = g \cdot f_2 = \det(g)^{-1} f_2((u, v)g)$ for $g \in GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, then $a_\varphi(f_1) = \det(g)^\ell a_\varphi(f_2)$. Here the integer ℓ is the weight of φ . Thus, if S is an oriented cubic ring, we can write $a_\varphi(S)$ for the associated Fourier coefficient.

Here is one theoretical consequence of our ability to compute finitely many Fourier coefficients of some cuspidal level one quaternionic modular forms on G_2 . Recall that Dalal [Dal21] has recently given an explicit formula for the dimension of the space $S_\ell(G_2(\mathbf{Z}))$ of level one cuspidal quaternionic modular forms of weight $\ell \geq 3$. It follows from his formulas that $S_9(G_2(\mathbf{Z}))$ and $S_{11}(G_2(\mathbf{Z}))$ are each one-dimensional. Let F_9, F_{11} denote the eigenforms spanning these spaces.

Theorem 1.2. *The eigenforms F_9 and F_{11} can be normalized to have all Fourier coefficients in \mathbf{Z} , and moreover, all Fourier coefficients $a_f(S)$ for (oriented) cubic rings S of the form $\mathbf{Z} \times B$ are 0.*

The latter part of Theorem 1.2 gives some evidence for a conjecture of Gross [Li], as we now explain. For every level one cuspidal holomorphic modular form f on PGL_2 of weight $2k$, the Arthur multiplicity conjecture predicts the existence of a cuspidal lift F_f to G_2 , which is a level one cuspidal quaternionic modular form of weight k . Suppose now that E is a totally real etale cubic algebra, with maximal order \mathcal{O}_E . Gross has suggested that square Fourier coefficient $a_{F_f}(\mathcal{O}_E)^2$ should be related to the central L -value $L(f \otimes V_E, 1/2)$, where V_E is the two-dimensional motive attached to E , i.e., where $\zeta_E(s) = \zeta(s)L(V_E, s)$. Suppose now that $E = \mathbf{Q} \times F$ with F real quadratic. Then $L(f \otimes V_E, s)$ factors as $L(f, s)L(f \otimes \epsilon_F, s)$ for a quadratic character ϵ_F . Hence if $L(f, 1/2) = 0$, then $L(f \otimes V_E, 1/2) = 0$. But when k is odd, the central value $L(f, 1/2)$ is indeed 0, so Gross'

conjecture would predict $a_{F_f}(\mathbf{Z} \times B) = 0$ for such f . Thus Theorem 1.2 gives some evidence for this conjecture.

Remark 1.3. Because the application of our computation of Fourier coefficients is to (conjectural) lifts from PGL_2 , the reader might be concerned that we are only able to compute Fourier coefficients of “small” automorphic representations on G_2 . However, this is not the case. The quaternionic modular forms on G_2 contain those automorphic forms that sit as the minimal K -type in automorphic representations $\pi = \pi_f \otimes \pi_\infty$, with π_∞ a quaternionic discrete series. Thus, most of these eigenforms should sit in generic L -packets.

1.3. Acknowledgements. We thank Gaetan Chenevier for fruitful exchanges related to our computations and Chao Li for explaining to us Gross’s conjecture [Li]. It is also a pleasure to thank Wee Teck Gan, Dick Gross, and Gordan Savin for inspirational mathematics upon which these computations are built.

2. EXCEPTIONAL ALGEBRA

We explain in this section a little bit of exceptional algebra, both “theoretically” and “computationally”. The results in later sections depend upon this algebra.

2.1. Octonions. We begin by recalling the octonions \mathbb{O} with positive-definite norm form. These are an 8-dimensional \mathbf{Q} -vector space, with a law of composition $\mathbb{O} \times \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$ that is bilinear, but neither commutative nor associative. There is an element 1 with $1 \cdot x = x \cdot 1 = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{O}$. Moreover, there is a positive definite quadratic form $n_{\mathbb{O}} : \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ that satisfies $n_{\mathbb{O}}(xy) = n_{\mathbb{O}}(x)n_{\mathbb{O}}(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$. Let $(,)$ denote the symmetric bilinear form induced by $n_{\mathbb{O}}$, so that $(x, y) = n_{\mathbb{O}}(x+y) - n_{\mathbb{O}}(x) - n_{\mathbb{O}}(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$. Let $V_7 \subseteq \mathbb{O}$ denote the orthogonal complement of $\mathbf{Q} \cdot 1$. Define an involution, $*$, on \mathbb{O} so that $1^* = 1$ and $x^* = -x$ if $x \in V_7$. Then $xx^* = x^*x = n_{\mathbb{O}}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{O}$; $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$; and $x + x^* = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{O}}(x)1$ for an element $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{O}}(x) \in \mathbf{Q}$. For $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{O}$, define $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{O}}(z_1(z_2z_3))$. It turns out that this quantity is equal to $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{O}}((z_1z_2)z_3)$, and that one has $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_2, z_3, z_1) = (z_3, z_1, z_2)$.

One way to create \mathbb{O} is using quaternion algebras, as follows. Let H be an arbitrary quaternion \mathbf{Q} -algebra, which is ramified at infinity. Let $\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$ be negative. Define $\mathbb{O} = H \oplus H$, with multiplication $(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) = (x_1x_2 + \gamma y_2^*y_1, y_2x_1 + y_1x_2^*)$. The norm is $n_{\mathbb{O}}((x, y)) = n_H(x) - \gamma n_H(y)$, the trace is $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{O}}((x, y)) = \mathrm{tr}_H(x)$, and the involution is $(x, y)^* = (x^*, -y)$. Varying H and γ , it turns out, gives isomorphic data $(\mathbb{O}, n_{\mathbb{O}}, 1)$, which is why we have dropped H and γ from the notation of \mathbb{O} . From now on, we take H to be Hamilton’s quaternions, i.e., the unique quaternion \mathbf{Q} -algebra ramified at 2 and ∞ , with basis $\{1, i, j, k\}$ satisfying $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ and $ij = k$. We take $\gamma = -1$.

There is a maximal \mathbf{Z} -order in \mathbb{O} , called Coxter’s ring of integral octonions [Cox46]. We denote this ring by R . To construct it, set $e = (0, 1)$ and $h = \frac{1}{2}(i + j + k + e)$. Then, the following are a \mathbf{Z} basis of R : $jh, e, -h, j, ih, 1, eh, ke$. These are the simple roots of the E_8 root lattice, with jh the extended node, 1 the branch vertex, and $e, -h, j, ih, 1, eh, ke$ going along longways.

The group G_2^c is defined as the algebraic \mathbf{Q} -group of linear automorphisms of \mathbb{O} that fixes 1 and respects the multiplication. Its Lie algebra can be identified with the kernel of the map $\wedge^2 V_7 \rightarrow V_7$ given by $x \wedge y \mapsto xy - yx$; see [Pol19] for an explicit basis. An element of $\wedge^2 V_7$ acts on V_7 via the formula $x \wedge y(z) = (y, z)x - (x, z)y$; this gives the Lie algebra action of $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathrm{Lie}(G_2^c)$ on V_7 .

Base-changing the group G_2^c to K , it becomes split. It is helpful to have a different basis of $\mathbb{O} \otimes K$, in which it is easy to write down nilpotent elements of $\mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes K$. We define

- $e_2 = \frac{1}{2}((0, 1) - \sqrt{-1}(0, i))$
- $e_3^* = \frac{1}{2}((0, j) - \sqrt{-1}(0, k))$
- $e_3 = \frac{1}{2}((0, -j) - \sqrt{-1}(0, k))$.
- $e_2^* = \frac{1}{2}((0, -1) - \sqrt{-1}(0, i))$

- $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}((1, 0) - \sqrt{-1}(i, 0))$
- $\epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2}((1, 0) + \sqrt{-1}(i, 0))$
- $e_1 = \frac{1}{2}((j, 0) - \sqrt{-1}(k, 0))$
- $e_1^* = \frac{1}{2}((-j, 0) - \sqrt{-1}(k, 0))$

This basis realizes $\mathbb{O} \otimes K$ as a split quadratic space: All the above basis elements are isotropic, and one has $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = 1$, $(e_i, e_j^*) = -\delta_{ij}$, and $(\epsilon_i, e_j) = (\epsilon_i, e_j^*) = 0$ for all i, j .

One can define elements of $G_2^c(K)$ by exponentiating nilpotent Lie algebra elements. For SAGE computation below, we will use the following nilpotent elements.

Lemma 2.1. *The elements $u = e_3^*$ and $v = e_1$ form a null pair. Let B be the Borel of $G_{2,K}^c$ stabilizing the flag $Ke_3^* \subseteq Ke_3^* + Ke_1$. Then the nilpotent $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes K$ opposite to B is spanned by the following six elements:*

- (1) $\ell_1 = e_1^* \wedge e_2$,
- (2) $\ell_2 = (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \wedge e_2^* + e_3 \wedge e_1$
- (3) $\ell_3 = [\ell_1, \ell_2]$
- (4) $\ell_4 = [\ell_3, \ell_2]/2$
- (5) $\ell_5 = [\ell_4, \ell_2]/3$
- (6) $\ell_6 = [\ell_5, \ell_1]$.

Proof. This follows directly from [Pol19, Section 2.2]. □

2.2. The exceptional cubic norm structure. We now recall a bigger exceptional algebraic structure. Namely, let $J = H_3(\mathbb{O})$ be the 27-dimensional \mathbf{Q} -vector space consisting of elements

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & x_3 & x_2^* \\ x_3^* & c_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & x_1^* & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbf{Q} \text{ and } x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{O}. \text{ This } J \text{ is called the exceptional}$$

cubic norm structure. The space J comes equipped with a cubic norm $N_J : J \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ defined as $N_J(X) = c_1 c_2 c_3 - c_1 n_{\mathbb{O}}(x_1) - c_2 n_{\mathbb{O}}(x_2) - c_3 n_{\mathbb{O}}(x_3) + (x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

Let $(, ,)_J$ be the unique symmetric trilinear form on J satisfying $(X, X, X)_J = 6N_J(X)$ for all $X \in J$. For $X \in J$, let $X^\# \in J^\vee$ be the linear map given by $(Z, X^\#) = \frac{1}{2}(Z, X, X)$ for all $Z \in J$. One says that X has rank one if $X \neq 0$ but $X^\# = 0$. For $X, Y \in J$, one sets $X \times Y = (X + Y)^\# - X^\# - Y^\#$, then one has $(Z, X \times Y) = (Z, X, Y)$ for all $Z \in J$. If $U \in J$ satisfies $N_J(U) = 1$, one defines a symmetric bilinear form on J as $(X, Y)_U = (X, U^\#)(Y, U^\#) - (U, X, Y)$.

We will write elements X of J as $X = [c_1, c_2, c_3; x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Set $I = [1, 1, 1; 0, 0, 0]$, which has $N_J(I) = 1$. Then if $X = [c_1, c_2, c_3; x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and $X' = [c'_1, c'_2, c'_3; x'_1, x'_2, x'_3]$, then $(X, X')_I = c_1 c'_1 + c_2 c'_2 + c_3 c'_3 + (x_1, x'_1)_{\mathbb{O}} + (x_2, x'_2)_{\mathbb{O}} + (x_3, x'_3)_{\mathbb{O}}$. Observe that this bilinear form is positive-definite. It induces an identification $J \simeq J^\vee$, which we denote by ι . If X, X' are as above, then

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(X^\#) &= [c_2 c_3 - n(x_1), c_3 c_1 - n(x_2), c_1 c_2 - n(x_3); (x_2 x_3)^* - c_1 x_1, (x_3 x_1)^* - c_2 x_2, (x_1 x_2)^* - c_3 x_3] \\ \iota(X \times X') &= [c_2 c'_3 + c'_2 c_3 - (x_1, x'_1), c_3 c'_1 + c'_3 c_1 - (x_2, x'_2), c'_1 c_2 + c_1 c'_2 - (x_3, x'_3); \\ &\quad (x'_2 x_3 + x_2 x'_3)^* - c'_1 x_1 - c_1 x'_1, (x'_3 x_1 + x_3 x'_1)^* - c'_2 x_2 - c_2 x'_2, (x'_1 x_2 + x_1 x'_2)^* - c'_3 x_3 - c_3 x'_3]. \end{aligned}$$

An integral lattice in J is the set J_R , consisting of those $X = [c_1, c_2, c_3; x_1, x_2, x_3]$ with $c_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $x_i \in R$. Following [EG96], we distinguish two different quadratic forms on this lattice. The first is $(,)_I$. For the second, define $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + i + j + k, 1 + i + j + k)$. Then $\beta^2 + \beta + 2 = 0$, so that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{O}}(\beta) = -1$ and $n_{\mathbb{O}}(\beta) = 2$. One sets $E = [2, 2, 2; \beta, \beta, \beta]$, so that $N_J(E) = 1$. The second quadratic form on J_R is $(,)_E$. This form is again positive-definite [EG96].

Set M_J^1 to be the algebraic \mathbf{Q} -group of linear automorphisms of J preserving the cubic norm. It is a simply-connected group of type E_6 . There exists $\delta \in M_J^1(\mathbf{Q})$ for which $\delta E = I$. For SAGE computations, we will use an explicit choice of δ . To set up the result, if $\gamma \in J^\vee$ and $X \in J$, let

$\Phi'_{\gamma,x} : J \rightarrow J$ be defined as $\Phi_{\gamma,x}(z) = -\gamma \times (x \times z) + (\gamma, z)x + \frac{1}{3}(\gamma, x)z$. Then $\Phi_{\gamma,x} \in \text{Lie}(M_J^1)$ [PWZ19, Proposition 1.1], [Pol20, Section 3.3].

For $x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}$, set $V(x, y, z) = [0, 0, 0; x, y, z]$ and $V_1(x) = V(x, 0, 0)$, $V_2(y) = V(0, y, 0)$ and $V_3(z) = V(0, 0, z)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For $\delta \in M_J^1(\mathbf{Q})$, one can take*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \exp(\Phi'_{e_{22}, V_1(-1)}) \exp(\Phi'_{V_1(3/2), e_{22}}) \exp(\Phi'_{e_{22}, V_1(-1)}) \exp(\Phi'_{V_1(1), e_{22}}) \\ &\quad \times \exp(\Phi'_{e_{22}, V_1(-\beta/2)}) \exp(\Phi'_{e_{11}V_2(-(\beta+1)/2)}) \exp(\Phi'_{V_3(-\beta/2), e_{11}}). \end{aligned}$$

The $\Phi'_{\gamma,x}$ appearing in this product satisfy $(\Phi'_{\gamma,x})^3 = 0$.

Proof. The fact that the $(\Phi'_{\gamma,x})^3 = 0$ above follows from [PWZ19, Proposition 1.1]. That the above δ satisfies $\delta E = I$ can be verified by explicit computation in SAGE. \square

Let F_4^c be the algebraic subgroup of M_J^1 of elements that also fix I . We define J^0 to be the subspace of elements $X \in J$ with $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 0$; equivalently, J^0 is the orthogonal complement to I under the bilinear pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_I$. It is preserved by F_4^c . There is a surjective F_4^c -equivariant map $\wedge^2 J^0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_4$ from $\wedge^2 J^0$ to the adjoint representation of F_4^c . It is given by

$$X \wedge Y \mapsto \Phi_{X \wedge Y} := \Phi'_{\iota(X), Y} - \Phi'_{\iota(Y), X}.$$

Let V_1 be the kernel of this map. It is an irreducible representation of F_4^c of dimension 273.

We will require special vectors X_i, Y_i in $J \otimes K$. To define them, let t be the square-root of -1 in K , to distinguish it from $i \in H$ and $i_K \in H_K$. Then, in \mathbb{O}_K , we define the elements

- $r_1 = \frac{1}{2}(0, 1 - t \cdot i_K)$
- $r_2 = r_1$
- $r_3 = -t(i_K, 0)$
- $s_1 = -t(0, i_K)$
- $s_2 = \frac{1}{2}(0, 1 + t \cdot i_K)$
- $s_3 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + t \cdot i_K, 0)$.

Now, we set $X_i = [1, -1, 0, r_1, r_2, r_3]$ and $Y_i = [0, -1, 1, s_1, s_2, s_3]$ as elements of $J \otimes K$.

Lemma 2.3. *The wedge $X_i \wedge Y_i \in \wedge^2 J_K^0$ sits in V_1 , and is a highest weight vector in V_1 for a Borel subgroup of $F_{4,K}^c$.*

Proof. This is proved in [Pol23, Lemma 3.1.3 and Example 3.1.4]. \square

We will also need other vectors in J_K , obtained from X_i and Y_i by $F_4^c(K)$ -automorphisms. To produce many such automorphisms, we use the following lemma. Let $e_{11} = [1, 0, 0; 0, 0, 0]$ and similarly define $e_{22} = [0, 1, 0; 0, 0, 0]$, $e_{33} = [0, 0, 1; 0, 0, 0]$.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose $v' \in \mathbb{O} \otimes K$ is in the set $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_1^*, e_2^*, e_3^*\}$. Then $\Phi'_{u \wedge v}$, with $u = e_{11}, v = V(0, v', 0)$, $u = e_{11}, v = V(0, 0, v')$, and $u = e_{22}, v = V(v', 0, 0)$, are nilpotent elements in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{f}_4 . They satisfy $(\Phi'_{u \wedge v})^3 = 0$.*

Proof. For some context regarding this Lie algebra elements, see [Pol21, page 23]. One can verify in SAGE that the $(\Phi'_{u,v})^3 = 0$. \square

2.3. SAGE implementation of octonions. SAGE already has quaternion algebras implemented. Using the Cayley-Dickson construction, i.e., realizing \mathbb{O} as $H \oplus H$ with H equal to Hamilton's quaternions, one can realize the octonions in SAGE. Namely, octonions in SAGE are represented as a vector consisting of two elements of the quaternion algebra H , or two elements of the quaternion algebra $H \otimes K$. Our file, `g2_motives.sage`, contains functions to multiply two octonions, take their trace and conjugate, and compute the inner product of two octonions. Also included is the trilinear form $(x_1, x_2, x_3)_{\mathbb{O}}$.

To do computations, we have some specific bases of \mathbb{O} and of $\mathbb{O} \otimes K$ hard-coded into the program. The first basis is the ordered Coxeter basis, which is a \mathbf{Z} -basis of Coxeter's ring R . The second basis is the split basis of $\mathbb{O} \otimes K$, ordered as $[\epsilon_1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_1^*, e_2^*, e_3^*, \epsilon_2]$. There is some built-in code to change basis from one to another, and to go from octonions, to vectors of length 8 of elements of \mathbf{Q} or K . The Gram matrices for the trace pairing and the norm pairing, with respect to the Coxeter basis, are hard-coded into the file.

2.4. SAGE implementation of the exceptional cubic norm structure. Building upon the octonions above, we represent elements of J or $J \otimes K$ as a list of length 6, $X = [c_1, c_2, c_3, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ where the $c_i \in K$ and the $x_i \in \mathbb{O} \otimes K$ are octonions. The code contains functions to compute the norm of an element X , the element $\iota(X^\#)$ or $\iota(X \times X')$, the pairings $(X, X')_I$ and $(X, X')_E$, and the trilinear form $(X_1, X_2, X_3)_J$. The Gram matrix for the pairing $(X, X)_E$, for $X \in J_R$, is hard-coded into the file.

3. SIEGEL MODULAR FORMS OF GENUS THREE

We begin with a discussion of results of [Pol23] that apply to Siegel modular forms of genus three. We then discuss the SAGE implementation of the Fourier coefficient formula.

3.1. Fourier expansion of the holomorphic exceptional theta lift. In order to put Theorem 1.1 into context and to explain how it is proved, recall that this result asserts the existence of Siegel modular forms of genus three with all Satake parameters in $G_2(\mathbf{C})$. The Siegel modular forms arise as an exceptional theta lift from algebraic modular forms for the group G_2^c , as studied in Gross-Savin [GS98]. Specifically, there is a group H of type $E_{7,3}$, and a very special automorphic representation $\Pi_{min,H}$ on $H(\mathbf{A})$ called the minimal automorphic representation, whose study was begun by Kim [Kim93]. Gross-Savin use automorphic functions in $\Pi_{min,H}$ to lift automorphic forms on G_2^c to automorphic forms on Sp_6 , using the fact that $\mathrm{Sp}_6 \times G_2^c \rightarrow H$. Namely, if α is an automorphic form on $G_2^c(\mathbf{A})$ and $\Theta_f \in \Pi_{min,H}$, then one can define the lift

$$\Theta_f(\alpha)(g) = \int_{G_2^c(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G_2^c(\mathbf{A})} \Theta_f(gh)\alpha(h) dh$$

which is an automorphic form on Sp_6 . These lifts can be made to be vector-valued Siegel modular forms, as proved in [GS98].

Gross-Savin [GS98], Magaard-Savin [MS97] and Gan-Savin [GS22] have produced numerous and deep results on this exceptional theta lift. One question left open by these works, however, is to determine when $\Theta_f(\alpha)$ is nonzero for explicit α . One of the first main results of [Pol23] solves this question, in the case of level one: It gives explicit formulas to determine the Fourier coefficients of the Siegel modular forms corresponding to the $\Theta_f(\alpha)$.

We explicate some portion of this result, referring to [Pol23] for more details. Recall that we denote by \mathbb{O} the octonion algebra over \mathbf{Q} with positive-definite norm form and $R \subseteq \mathbb{O}$ is Coxeter's order of integral octonions. We write $J = H_3(\mathbb{O})$ the exceptional cubic norm structure, which is the 27-dimensional \mathbf{Q} -vector space consisting of 3×3 Hermitian matrices with "coefficients" in \mathbb{O} . and let J_R be the integral lattice in J consisting of elements whose diagonal entries are in \mathbf{Z} and off-diagonal entries are in R . Recall we denote by V_7 the elements of \mathbb{O} with 0 trace and $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. For $T \in J$ and $Z \in S_3(\mathbf{C})$ set $(T, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}(TZ + ZT) \in \mathbf{C}$. Recall that elements of J have a notion of *rank*, which is an integer in $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Let W_3 denote the standard representation of GL_3 , with basis w_1, w_2, w_3 , and let $V_3 = \wedge^2 W_3$ the exterior square representation, with basis $v_1 = w_2 \wedge w_3, v_2 = w_3 \wedge w_1, v_3 = w_1 \wedge w_2$. Recall that every irreducible algebraic representation V of $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbf{C})$ sits as the highest weight submodule in $Sym^{k_1}(V_3) \otimes Sym^{k_2}(W_3) \otimes \det(W_3)^{k_3}$ for integers k_1, k_2, k_3 with $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$. The highest weight of such a V is $(k_1 + k_2 + k_3, k_1 + k_3, k_3)$. Thus, if f is a level one Siegel modular form of weight (ρ, V) ,

then the Fourier coefficients of f are naturally polynomials in $v_1, v_2, v_3, w_1, w_2, w_3$ of bi-degree (k_1, k_2) .

Suppose $u, v \in V_7 \otimes K$ satisfy $u^2 = uv = vu = v^2 = 0$; such a pair is said to be *null*. Let $T \in J$ have off-diagonal entries $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \Theta$. For non-negative integers k_1, k_2 , set

$$P_{k_1, k_2}(T; u, v) = ((x_1, u)v_1 + (x_2, u)v_2 + (x_3, u)v_3)^{k_1} \\ \times ((x_1 \wedge x_2, u \wedge v)w_3 + (x_2 \wedge x_3, u \wedge v)w_1 + (x_3 \wedge x_1, u \wedge v)w_2)^{k_2}.$$

Here $(x, u) = \text{tr}(x^*u)$ is the bilinear form associated to the norm on Θ , and $(x \wedge y, u \wedge v) = (x, u)(y, v) - (x, v)(y, u)$. Moreover, in case either k_1 or k_2 is equal to 0, r^k is defined to be 1 if $k = 0$, regardless of if $r = 0$. Fortunately, this is the convention that appears to be used by SAGE.

Finally, so long as at least one of k_1, k_2 is positive, set

$$F_{k_1, k_2}^{u, v}(Z) = \sum_{T \in J_R, \text{rank}(T)=1} \sigma_3(d_T) P_{k_1, k_2}(T; u, v) e^{2\pi i(T, Z)}$$

where d_T is the largest integer for which $d_T^{-1}T \in J_R$.

Theorem 3.1 (See [Pol23]). *Let the notation be as above, with at least one of k_1, k_2 positive. Let $V \subseteq \text{Sym}^{k_1}(V_3) \otimes \text{Sym}^{k_2}(W_3) \otimes \det(W_3)^{k_2+4}$ be the highest weight submodule so that the highest weight of V is $(k_1 + 2k_2 + 4, k_1 + k_2 + 4, k_2 + 4)$. Then $F_{k_1, k_2}^{u, v}(Z)$ is a level one holomorphic Siegel modular form on Sp_6 of weight (ρ, V) .*

One has the following corollary, which is explained in [Pol23].

Corollary 3.2. *Let the notation be as above, and let S_{k_1, k_2}^Θ be the span of the $F_{k_1, k_2}^{u, v}$ as u, v vary over null pairs.*

- (1) (Gross-Savin) *If $k_2 > 0$, the space S_{k_1, k_2}^Θ is contained in the space of cusp forms.*
- (2) *The cusp forms in S_{k_1, k_2}^Θ are exactly the level one theta lifts of Gross-Savin, which come from algebraic modular forms on G_2^c of weights $k_1\omega_1 + k_2\omega_2$, where ω_1 is the highest weight of the 7-dimensional irrep of G_2 and ω_2 is the highest weight of the adjoint representation of G_2 .*
- (3) (Gross-Savin, Magaard-Savin, Gan-Savin) *Suppose $F \in S_{k_1, k_2}^\Theta$ is a cuspidal Hecke eigenform. Then all Satake parameters of F lie in $G_2(\mathbf{C}) \subseteq \text{SO}_7(\mathbf{C})$.*

Thus, the theorem and the corollary give explicit ways of constructing cuspidal eigenforms on Sp_6 , all of whose Satake parameters are in $G_2(\mathbf{C})$. The code implemented produces finitely many Fourier coefficients of the $F_{k_1, k_2}^{u, v}$. Having run this code, we can obtain Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose (k_1, k_2) is a pair in the table above. Chenevier-Renard [CR15] have computed the dimension $m(k_1, k_2)$ of the space of algebraic modular forms on G_2^c of weight (k_1, k_2) . From their computations combined with [CT20], one sees that for the (k_1, k_2) in the table, $m(k_1, k_2) = m(\lambda(k_1, k_2))$. Consider now the map from level one algebraic modular forms on G_2^c of weight $k_1\omega_1 + k_2\omega_2$ to polynomials in $v_1, v_2, v_3, w_1, w_2, w_3$ that is the composition of the theta lift

with the T^{th} Fourier coefficient, for $T = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Computing the T^{th} Fourier coefficient

of a few $F_{k_1, k_2}^{u, v}$, one finds a space of polynomials of dimension at least $m(k_1, k_2) = m(\lambda(k_1, k_2))$. Consequently, the theta lift is bijective in these cases. Applying part (1) and (3) of Corollary 3.2 gives the theorem. \square

Remark 3.3. The theta lift from G_2^c to Sp_6 is not expected to be injective in general. For example, as explained to the author by Gaetan Chenevier, for every level one cuspidal eigenform f of weight $2k$ for PGL_2 , there should be an associated level one algebraic modular form on G_2^c of weight

$(k-2)\omega_2$. And, moreover, when k is odd so that the central L -value $L(f, 1/2) = 0$, the Arthur multiplicity conjecture predicts that this eigenform should not lift to Sp_6 . As a specific example, when $k = 9$, the dimension $m(0, 7) = 1$, but computing a few Fourier coefficients of the $F_{0,7}^{u,v}(Z)$ in SAGE for various specific u, v gives 0.

3.2. Sage implementation to find Fourier coefficients. If $T = [c_1, c_2, c_3; x_1, x_2, x_3]$ define the projection of T to be $T_0 = [c_1, c_2, c_3; \mathrm{tr}(x_1), \mathrm{tr}(x_2), \mathrm{tr}(x_3)]$, which is a half-integral symmetric matrix. To calculate the T_0 Fourier coefficient of $F_{k_1, k_2}^{u,v}(Z)$ on Sp_6 , one must sum $\sigma_3(d_T)P_{k_1, k_2}(T; u, v)$ for all rank one $T \in J_R$ whose projection is T_0 . The SAGE code will do this for those T_0 with $c_1 = 1$. Note that in this case, d_T is always equal to 1, so the factor $\sigma_3(d_T) = 1$.

To find those $T \in J_R^{rk=1}$ with $\mathrm{proj}(T) = T_0$, the code implicitly uses the following easy lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $T = [1, b, c; x_1, x_2, x_3] \in J$. Then T is rank one if and only if $n(x_2) = c$, $n(x_3) = b$ and $x_1 = (x_2x_3)^*$.*

The SAGE code takes in an element $T_0 \in S_3(\mathbf{Z})^\vee$, with $c_1(T_0) = 1$ and finds all rank one T with projection equal to T_0 using Lemma 3.4. Then, for each such T , the code computes $P_{k_1, k_2}(T; u, v)$ for a given choice of u, v , provided by the user, and sums up the results. The output is a polynomial in the variables $v_1, v_2, v_3, w_1, w_2, w_3$. To aid the user in constructing null pairs u, v , the user must only enter 6 elements of K , $[r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5, r_6]$. The code will then produce for you $u_{new} = \exp(n)e_3^*$, $v_{new} = \exp(n)e_1$, where $n = \sum_{j=1}^6 r_j \ell_j$ in the notation of Lemma 2.1

4. QUATERNIONIC MODULAR FORMS ON G_2

In this section, we give our results on quaternionic modular forms on split G_2 . We begin by recalling some of the results of [Pol23] in this setting, and then explain the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof of this theorem uses SAGE computations, which are also explained in this section.

4.1. Fourier expansion of the quaternionic exceptional theta lift. To set up the first result, recall $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. If $w = (a, b, c, d) \in W_J$, define $pr_I(u, v)$ to be the binary cubic form given as $pr_I(u, v) = au^3 + (b, I^\#)u^2v + (c, I)uv^2 + dv^3$. Set $J_K = J \otimes K$ and J_K^0 to be the trace 0 elements of J_K . Set $W_J(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus J_R \oplus J_R^\vee \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. For $w \in W_J(\mathbf{Z})$, let d_w be the largest integer so that $d_w^{-1}w \in W_J(\mathbf{Z})$. Finally, set $P_{m, I}(w; X, Y) = ((b, X)_I(c, Y) - (b, Y)_I(c, X))^m$.

Theorem 4.1 (See [Pol23]). *Suppose $X, Y \in J_K^0$ are singular in the sense that $X \wedge Y \in \wedge^2 J_K^0$ is a highest weight vector of V_1 for some Borel. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer. Then there is a cuspidal quaternionic modular form $\Theta_I(X, Y; m)$ on G_2 of level one and of weight $4 + m$ with Fourier expansion*

$$\Theta_I(X, Y; m)_Z(g) = \sum_{w=(a,b,c,d) \in W_J(\mathbf{Z})^{rk=1}} \sigma_4(d_w) P_{m, I}(w; X, Y) W_{pr_I(w), 4+m}(g).$$

For the cubic norm structure (J_R, E) , there is an analogous result. Fix $\delta \in M_J^1(\mathbf{Q})$ with $\delta E = I$, as in subsection 2.2. Set $(u, v)_E = \frac{1}{4}(E, E, u)(E, E, v) - (E, u, v)$. For $w = (a, b, c, d) \in W_J$, define $pr_E(w) = au^3 + (b, E^\#)u^2v + (c, E)uv^2 + dv^3$. Similar to the above, define $P_{m, E}(w; X_E, Y_E) = ((b, X_E)_E(c, Y_E) - (b, Y_E)_E(c, X_E))^m$.

Theorem 4.2 (See [Pol23]). *Suppose $X, Y \in J_K^0$ are singular in the sense that $X \wedge Y \in \wedge^2 J_K^0$ is a highest weight vector of V_1 for some Borel. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer, and set $X_E = \delta^{-1}X$, $Y_E = \delta^{-1}Y$. Then there is a cuspidal quaternionic modular form $\Theta_E(X_E, Y_E; m)$ on G_2 of level one and of weight $4 + m$ with Fourier expansion*

$$\Theta_E(X_E, Y_E; m)_Z(g) = \sum_{w=(a,b,c,d) \in W_J(\mathbf{Z})^{rk=1}} \sigma_4(d_w) P_{m, E}(w; X_E, Y_E) W_{pr_E(w), 4+m}(g).$$

We can now explain the proof of Theorem 1.2, which uses our SAGE implementation to compute finitely many Fourier coefficients of the $\Theta_E(X_E, Y_E; m)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $m = 5$ or $m = 7$. Computing a single Fourier coefficient of $\Theta_E(X_E, Y_E; m)$ for a somewhat randomly chosen X_E, Y_E , one sees that these quaternionic modular forms are nonzero. Thus, by Dalal's dimension formula [Dal21], these quaternionic modular forms must be F_9 and F_{11} . It is proved in [Pol23] that, for each m , the span of the $\Theta_I(X, Y; m)$ and the $\Theta_E(X_E, Y_E; m)$ has a basis consisting of cusp forms with integral Fourier coefficients. Consequently, F_9 and F_{11} can be normalized to have Fourier coefficients in \mathbf{Z} . It is always true that all Fourier coefficients of $\Theta_E(X_E, Y_E; m)$ corresponding to cubic rings $S = \mathbf{Z} \times B$ are 0; this follows from [EG96, Proposition 5.5]. This concludes the proof. \square

Fix an integral binary cubic form $f(u, v) = au^3 + bu^2v + cuv^2 + dv^3$. In order for the above Theorems to make sense, one needs to know that the set of rank one $w \in W_J(\mathbf{Z})$ with $pr_I(w) = f$ is finite; likewise for $pr_E(w)$. This is true. To make the SAGE implementation work, we need an explicit form of this finiteness, which we give in the following lemma for the case when the binary cubic form f is monic, i.e., when $a = 1$.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $f(u, v)$ is as above, with $a = 1$. Let $\Omega_{f,I} = \{w \in W_J(\mathbf{Z}) : \text{rk}(w) = 1, pr_I(w) = f\}$ and likewise for $\Omega_{f,E}$. Then*

$$\Omega_{f,I} = \{(1, T, T^\#, n_J(T)) : (T, I^\#) = b, (T^\#, I) = c, n_J(T) = d\}$$

and

$$\Omega_{f,E} = \{(1, T, T^\#, n_J(T)) : (T, E^\#) = b, (T^\#, E) = c, n_J(T) = d\}.$$

Moreover, if $(1, T, T^\#, n_J(T)) \in \Omega_{f,I}$, then $(T, T)_I = b^2 - 2c$. Likewise, if $(1, T, T^\#, n_J(T)) \in \Omega_{f,E}$, then $(T, T)_E = b^2 - 2c$.

Note that the lemma implies the finiteness in an explicit way, because the quadratic forms $(T, T)_I$ and $(T, T)_E$ are positive-definite. The lemma is an easy consequence of properties of rank one elements (see, for instance, [Pol21]) and the definitions.

The SAGE file `g2_motives.sage` includes the function `Dalal_dim_k(k)`, that takes Dalal's explicit formula [Dal21] for the dimension of the space of level one QMFs on G_2 of weight $k \geq 3$ and puts it into the computer. The smallest weight in which there is a nonzero cusp form, according to Dalal's formula, is in weight $k = 6$. In this case, the space of weight 6 level one quaternionic modular forms is one-dimensional, spanned by an element F_6 . Computing with the SAGE code, one finds the F_6 can be normalized to have the following Fourier coefficients. (The computation took about one hour on my laptop.). In the table, the ordered 3-tuple is the (b, c, d) of the monic binary cubic $f(u, v) = u^3 + bu^2v + cu^2v + dv^3$.

$f(u, v) = u^3 + bu^2v + cu^2v + dv^3$	$a(f)$
(0, -3, -1)	48600
(0, -3, 0)	1620
(0, -2, -1)	15
(0, -2, 0)	1680
(0, -1, 0)	-7
(1, -3, -3)	-10080
(1, -3, -2)	25575
(1, -3, -1)	28800
(1, -3, 0)	-1485
(1, -2, -2)	-30
(1, -2, -1)	12600
(1, -2, 0)	-63

4.2. SAGE implementation: (J_R, I) . To make the formulas used in Theorem 4.1 explicit, so that it can put into SAGE, one uses the following easy lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $T = [u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2, v_3]$, $X = [x_0, x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4; x_5]$ and $Y = [y_0, y_1, y_2; y_3, y_4, y_5]$.*

- (1) $(T, T)_I = u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 + 2n(v_1) + 2n(v_2) + 2n(v_3)$.
- (2) $(T, I^\#) = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$
- (3) $(T^\#, I) = u_1u_2 + u_2u_3 + u_3u_1 - n(v_1) - n(v_2) - n(v_3)$
- (4) $\det(T) = u_1u_2u_3 - u_1n(v_1) - u_2n(v_2) - u_3n(v_3) + (v_1, v_2, v_3)_\mathbb{O}$.
- (5) $(X, T)_I = x_0u_1 + x_1u_2 + x_2u_3 + (v_1, x_3) + (v_2, x_4) + (v_3, x_5)$
- (6) $(X, T^\#) = A_1 - A_2 + A_3$ where
 - (a) $A_1 = x_0(u_2u_3 - n(v_1)) + x_1(u_3u_1 - n(v_2)) + x_2(u_1u_2 - n(v_3))$
 - (b) $A_2 = u_3(x_5, v_3) + u_2(x_4, v_2) + u_1(x_3, v_1)$
 - (c) $A_3 = (x_3, v_2, v_3) + (x_4, v_3, v_1) + (x_5, v_1, v_2)$.

and one has similar formulas for $(Y, T)_I$ and $(Y, T^\#)$.

Now every piece of the computation of $P_{m,I}((1, T, T^\#, n_J(T)); X, Y)$ is completely explicit, including how to find all T with $pr_I((1, T, T^\#, n_J(T))) = f(u, v)$. Indeed, to find all such T , it suffices to find all $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in R$ with $u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 + 2n(v_1) + 2n(v_2) + 2n(v_3) = b^2 - 2c$. Because this quadratic form is visibly decomposable, to find such u_i and v_j , one can do it piecewise for the quadratic forms $x \mapsto x^2$ for $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $v \mapsto n_\mathbb{O}(v)$ for $v \in R$. This fact leads to our implementation to compute the Fourier coefficients of Θ_I being faster than its Θ_E counterpart.

To find various suitable singular pairs X, Y to use as inputs, the code has implemented the exponential of the elements $\Phi'_{u \wedge v}$ of Lemma 2.4.

4.3. SAGE implementation: (J_R, E) . To implement the formulas in Theorem 4.2, one uses the following straightforward lemma:

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose $T = [c_1, c_2, c_3; x_1, v_2, v_3]$. Then $(E^\#, T) = 2(c_1 + c_2 + c_3) + (\beta^*, x_1 + v_2 + v_3)$ and*

$$(E, T^\#) = 2(c_1c_2 + c_2c_3 + c_3c_1 - n(x_1) - n(v_2) - n(v_3)) - (\beta, c_1x_1 + c_2v_2 + c_3v_3) \\ + (\beta, v_2, v_3) + (\beta, v_3, x_1) + (\beta, x_1, v_2).$$

Moreover, the value $(T, X)_E$ can be computed using the Gram matrix for the quadratic form $(T, T)_E$.

Remark 4.6. The x_1 in the above lemma is not a typo; we have called that octonion x_1 , instead of v_1 , so that the lemma is similar to the variables used in the SAGE code (which, unfortunately, are not completely parallel.)

To compute the $f(u, v)$ Fourier coefficient of $\Theta_E(X_E, Y_E; m)$, one first finds all $T \in J_R$ with $(T, T)_E = b^2 - 2c$. This uses SAGE's `short_vector_list_up_to_length` function. Then, having found all such $T = [c_1, c_2, c_3; x_1, x_2, x_3]$, we group them by those that have the same c_1, c_2, c_3 and x_1 . This allows some of the computation implicit in Lemma 4.5 that is identical for multiple T to be done once, instead of repeatedly. SAGE computes each $P_{m,E}(w; X_E, Y_E)$ and sums up the results.

To find various suitable singular pairs X_E, Y_E one again uses the exponential of the elements $\Phi'_{u \wedge v}$ of Lemma 2.4, together with the element δ_E^{-1} , which is also implemented in SAGE.

REFERENCES

- [Cox46] H. S. M. Coxeter, *Integral Cayley numbers*, Duke Math. J. **13** (1946), 561–578. MR 19111
- [CR15] Gaëtan Chenevier and David Renard, *Level one algebraic cusp forms of classical groups of small rank*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **237** (2015), no. 1121, v+122. MR 3399888

- [CT20] Gaëtan Chenevier and Olivier Taïbi, *Discrete series multiplicities for classical groups over \mathbf{Z} and level 1 algebraic cusp forms*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **131** (2020), 261–323, https://otaibi.perso.math.cnrs.fr/levelone/siegel/siegel_genus3.txt. MR 4106796
- [Dal21] Rahul Dalal, *Counting Discrete, Level-1, Quaternionic Automorphic Representations on G_2* , arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv:2106.09313.
- [EG96] Noam D. Elkies and Benedict H. Gross, *The exceptional cone and the Leech lattice*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1996), no. 14, 665–698. MR 1411589
- [GGS02] Wee Teck Gan, Benedict Gross, and Gordan Savin, *Fourier coefficients of modular forms on G_2* , Duke Math. J. **115** (2002), no. 1, 105–169. MR 1932327
- [GS98] Benedict H. Gross and Gordan Savin, *Motives with Galois group of type G_2 : an exceptional theta-correspondence*, Compositio Math. **114** (1998), no. 2, 153–217. MR 1661756
- [GS22] Wee Teck Gan and Gordan Savin, *The local langlands conjecture for g_2* , 2022.
- [GW96] Benedict H. Gross and Nolan R. Wallach, *On quaternionic discrete series representations, and their continuations*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **481** (1996), 73–123. MR 1421947
- [Ibu02] Tomoyoshi Ibukiyama, *Vanishing of vector valued siegel modular forms*, Unpublished note (2002).
- [Kim93] Henry H. Kim, *Exceptional modular form of weight 4 on an exceptional domain contained in \mathbf{C}^{27}* , Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **9** (1993), no. 1, 139–200. MR 1216126
- [Li] Chao Li, *Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms on G_2 and central values of twisted L -functions*, Unpublished note.
- [MS97] K. Magaard and G. Savin, *Exceptional Θ -correspondences. I*, Compositio Math. **107** (1997), no. 1, 89–123. MR 1457344
- [Pol19] Aaron Pollack, *Modular forms on G_2 and their standard L -function*, Proceedings of the Simons Symposium “Relative Trace Formulas” (accepted) (2019).
- [Pol20] ———, *The Fourier expansion of modular forms on quaternionic exceptional groups*, Duke Math. J. **169** (2020), no. 7, 1209–1280. MR 4094735
- [Pol21] ———, *Exceptional algebraic structures and applications*, Notes from a topics course at UCSD (2021), https://www.math.ucsd.edu/~apollack/course_notes.pdf.
- [Pol23] ———, *Exceptional theta functions and arithmeticity of modular forms on G_2* , Preprint (2023).
- [Pol24] ———, *Modular forms of type g_2* , A Github repository (2024), Available at https://github.com/aaronpollack/modular_forms_of_type_G2.
- [PWZ19] Aaron Pollack, Chen Wan, and Michał Zydor, *A G_2 -period of a Fourier coefficient of an Eisenstein series on E_6* , Israel J. Math. **234** (2019), no. 1, 229–279. MR 4040827
- [Sag22] Sage Developers, *Sagemath, the Sage Mathematics Software System*, 2022, <https://www.sagemath.org>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, LA JOLLA, CA USA
 Email address: apollack@ucsd.edu